

CSPAN Transcript of Stephen Coughlin's Speech at the ACT! For America conference, 6 September 2016 in Washington, DC

HOST: OUR NEXT SPEAKER, I'M SO EXCITED TO HEAR HIM SPEAK, HE'S AN ATTORNEY, A DECORATED INTELLIGENCE OFFICER, STEPHEN COUGHLIN WAS A VISITING FELLOW OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND SECURITY CENTERS NATIONAL SECURITY LAW PROJECT. AND HE WAS THE PENTAGON'S LEADING EXPERT ON ISLAMIC LAW.

HE IS IN DEMAND AS A LECTURER AT THE NAVAL WAR COLLEGE, MARINE CORPS, HEADQUARTERS QUANTICO, AND THE FBI AND OTHER AGENTS AND PRIVATE GROUPS. AS A MAJOR IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY RESERVES, HE'S BEEN ASSIGNED TO U.S. CENTRAL COMMAND IN STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS ROLE, U.S. ASSIGNMENTS INCLUDING THE PENTAGON'S NATIONAL MILITARY JOINT INTELLIGENCE CENTER, AND THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL'S INTERAGENCY PERCEPTION MANAGEMENT THREAT PANEL. THAT'S A MOUTHFUL.

CULMINATING IN HIS ASSIGNMENT TO THE JOINTS CHIEF OF STAFF. HIS WORK INCLUDED COUNTERPROPAGANDA AND SUPPORT OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT AS WELL AS TARGET DEVELOPMENT IN THE IMMEDIATE POST 9/11 PERIOD.

IN 2008, AFTER WARNING THE PENTAGON ABOUT THE ISLAMIC SOCIETY OF NORTH AMERICA'S AFFILIATION WITH THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD, TERRORIST SYMPATHIZER LOBBIED TO GET COUGHLIN FIRED, RESULTING IN THE PENTAGON NOT RENEWING HIS CONTRACT. IN IGNORING COUGHLIN'S WARNINGS, THE PENTAGON TURNED A DELIBERATE BLIND EYE TO THE REALITY OF ISLAMIC TERRORISM LEADING DIRECTLY TO NIDAL HASAN'S NOVEMBER 2009 JIHADIST ATTACK ON FT. HOOD.

THE NEGATIVE IMPLICATIONS OF COUGHLIN'S TERMINATION WERE DISCUSSED REPEATEDLY INCLUDING IN MANY BOOKS INCLUDING *THEY MUST BE STOPPED*, *WHY WE MUST DEFEAT RADICAL ISLAM AND HOW WE CAN DO IT* BY OUR OWN BRIGITTE GABRIEL, AND PUBLISHED ONLY THREE WEEKS BEFORE THE FT. HOOD TRAGEDY, *MUSLIM MAFIA*, *INSIDE THE SECRET UNDERWORLD THAT IS CONSPIRING TO ISLAMIZE AMERICA*. AFTER HE'S DONE SPEAKING, HE WILL BE SIGNING HIS BOOKS, BUT I WANT TO REMIND YOU WE HAVE SOME GREAT SPEAKERS AND YOU DON'T WANT TO MISS THEM. SO IF YOU WANT TO GET HIS BOOK AND GET IT SIGNED, TRY TO DO IT QUICKLY BECAUSE WE HAVE GOT AWESOME SPEAKERS HERE. SO I WOULD ASK YOU TO PLEASE JOIN ME IN WELCOMING MR. STEVE COUGHLIN.

[APPLAUSE]

COUGHLIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, THANK YOU FOR ACT FOR AMERICA FOR HAVING ME HERE. IT IS AN HONOR.

FOR PEOPLE WHO KNOW ME, THEY KNOW I JUST BRUTALIZE THEM WITH BRIEFINGS AND SLIDES THAT GO SIX HOURS BECAUSE MY VIEW IS WE OWN THE FACTS IN THE WAR ON TERROR. AND THE OTHER SIDE DESPERATELY, DESPERATELY, DESPERATELY SEEKS YOU TO GET OFF THE FACTUAL STANDARD AND USE THEIR LANGUAGE TO DISCUSS THINGS THAT DON'T REACH FACTS, SO THAT YOU WILL ALWAYS SOUND SILLY.

WE'RE NOW AT THE POINT, THIS IS WHAT I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THIS MORNING, WHERE I THINK THIS BECOMES ONE OF THE CENTRAL ISSUES TO DO THIS. WHAT I'M GOING TO DO TODAY, WHICH IS DIFFERENT, AND YOU CAN GO AHEAD IS I'M GOING TO THROW A COUPLE OF VIGNETTES.

THE NAME OF THIS BRIEF IS WE'RE AT WAR, THE CALM BEFORE THE STORM. EVERYBODY KIND OF FEELS IT. WE KIND OF FEEL LIKE AM I BEATING MY HEAD AGAINST THE DOOR? HOW MANY PEOPLE FEEL THAT WHO ARE BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS? HOW MANY PEOPLE RECOGNIZE THAT HERE WE ARE, EIGHT YEARS INTO A WAR, OR 12 YEARS INTO A WAR, 15 YEARS INTO A WAR, WHERE WE HAVE PERFECT INTELLIGENCE ON WHO THESE PEOPLE ARE AND A NATIONAL COMMITMENT TO MAKE SURE THOSE FACTS AND A DISCUSSION BASED ON FACTUAL TERMS NEVER COMES TO THE FRONT?

WE HAVE SOME PEOPLE HERE WHO I'M FAMILIAR WITH, I WANT TO POINT OUT, BECAUSE I WANT TO MAKE THIS PART OF THE DISCUSSION.

EARLIER TODAY WE WERE TALKING ABOUT POLITICAL CORRECTNESS AND HOW WE HAVE TO ATTACK POLITICAL CORRECTNESS. I THINK IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT FOR PEOPLE TO UNDERSTAND THIS. AND IT IS GOING TO BE SOMETHING THAT SUBSUMES A LOT OF WHAT I'LL BE TALKING ABOUT TODAY. AND THAT IS THIS: THE POLITICAL CORRECTNESS IS AN ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM TO A CULTURAL MARXIST NARRATIVE THAT SEEKS TO DESTROY YOUR IDENTITY.

WHEN WE TAKE A LOOK AT THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD'S MAIN STRATEGY TO DEFEAT THE WEST, THAT STRATEGY IS TO JUST RIDE ON THIS NARRATIVE THAT THE LEFT CREATED IN THE 1980s. THEY SAW THAT THIS RACISM, SEXISM, HOMOPHOBIA ISSUE WOULD BRING DOWN THE WEST, AND THEY JUST CAME UP WITH THE WORD ISLAMOPHOBIA AND INSERTED IT INTO THAT SILIGISM AND ENFORCED THE AGENDA ON AUTOMATIC PILOT.

THE REASON I'M BRINGING THIS UP IS BECAUSE WHEN YOU START TO SAY POLITICAL CORRECTNESS GETS IN THE WAY, WHEN YOU HEAR A GENERAL WITH COMBAT RESPONSIBILITIES SAY, POLITICAL CORRECTNESS GETS IN THE WAY OF MY DOING MY JOB, WHAT HE JUST TOLD YOU WAS IT WAS MORE IMPORTANT FOR HIM TO BE POLITICALLY CORRECT THAN TO KEEP YOUR SONS AND DAUGHTERS ALIVE AND TO WIN THE WAR.

I THINK IT IS SUPER IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT THAT IS WHAT POLITICAL CORRECTNESS IS. AND THAT HAS TO BECOME THE NUMBER ONE OBJECTIVE HERE TO LOOK AT, BECAUSE IT IS THROUGH NARRATIVES THEY SEEK TO WIN THE WAR.

THERE IS A COUPLE OF PEOPLE HERE, VALERIE FROM CANADA, ELIZABETH FROM AUSTRIA, AND DAVID PETTY AND SOME OTHERS WHO WE MADE A POINT OF GOING TO EUROPE FOR THE ORGANIZATION OF SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE (OSCE). YOU HAVE TO CHECK ME ON THAT, OSCE AND IOC SOMETIMES FLOW IN MY MIND. THE REASON I SAY THIS IS BECAUSE WE STARTED GOING THERE A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO TO THINK ABOUT HOW IN THE INTERNATIONAL -- THE OSCE IS AN OFFICIAL -- AN OFFICIAL FORMAL INTERNATIONAL DELEGATION THAT THE U.S. IS A PARTICIPATING MEMBER. FORMALLY. SO THIS IS A DIPLOMATIC EVENT. AND WE STARTED GOING THERE.

WE ACTUALLY GOT A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO TO GET THE PEOPLE -- AGAIN, AN OFFICIAL EVENT, THE PEOPLE ON THE LEFT WHO HELPED CRAFT THE ISLAMOPHOBIA NARRATIVE AND THE TURKISH DELEGATION THAT WAS THERE REPRESENTING THE IOC, WE GOT THEM TO ADMIT THAT THE WORD ISLAMOPHOBIA HAS NO DEFINITION. IN A VERY CONFERENCE WHERE THE EFFORT WAS TO CRIMINALIZE PEOPLE ENGAGING IN ISLAMOPHOBIA.

NOW, I THINK PEOPLE LAUGH AT THAT, BECAUSE I'M A LAWYER. WHAT IS THE CONSEQUENCES OF DELIBERATELY CONSTRUCTING A TERM THAT HAS NO MEANING, BUT CAN BE USED TO PROSECUTE

PEOPLE IF IT DOESN'T MEAN WE'RE GOING TO GO AFTER YOU FOR THE THINGS YOU SAY. WE'LL FIGURE OUT WHETHER IT WORKS AND BANKRUPT YOU IN THE PROCESS. IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT.

A YEAR AGO WE WENT TO AUSTRIA TO ANOTHER OSCE FORUM AND WE HEARD THE PANEL, AN OFFICIAL PANEL SAY THE COLONY ISLAMIC STATE, THE ISLAMIC STATE SHOULD CONSTITUTE A HATE CRIME. SO WE LINE PEOPLE UP TO CHALLENGE THAT. AND THE FIRST POINT WAS, WAIT A SECOND HERE, THE ISLAMIC STATE CALLS ITSELF THE ISLAMIC STATE AND WE'RE STATING A FACT THAT IT IS THE ISLAMIC STATE. THE ANSWER WAS, WELL, YES, WHEN THEY CALL THEMSELVES THE ISLAMIC STATE, THAT'S THAT IT IS. BUT WHEN YOU CALL IT THE ISLAMIC STATE, YOU'RE REINFORCING A NARRATIVE, YOU'RE REINFORCING A STEREOTYPE AND THEREFORE FOR YOU IT IS A HATE CRIME.

SO WE HAD THE NEXT PERSON COME UP TO BAT AND THE QUESTION WAS, WELL, WAIT A SECOND HERE, ARE YOU SAYING THAT SOMETHING KNOWN TO BE TRUE, THAT EVERYBODY ACCEPTS TO BE TRUE, AND TRUE AS A MATTER OF FACT, THAT SPEECH, KNOWN TO BE TRUE, CAN CONSTITUTE A HATE CRIME? AND THE ANSWER AT THIS FORMAL INTERNATIONAL DECLARATION WAS YES.

SO I THINK IT IS REALLY, REALLY IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT WHEN YOU SEE THE ATTACK VICTIMERS COMING AT US, THIS IS WHAT MY BRIEF WILL BE REALLY -- IT IS GOING TO BE A CALL TO ACT, REALLY, I'M NOT GOING TO REALLY EDUCATE PEOPLE EXCEPT WITH WHATEVER TIME I HAVE LEFT OVER

I'LL START TALKING ABOUT THE CVE, IT IS REALLY ABOUT USING A COUPLE OF VIGNETTES TO SAY, THIS IS THE GAME, AND WE DON'T SEE ANYBODY TALK ABOUT THIS. BUT IT IS THE GAME. AND THE GAME IS THIS WAR IS INTENDED TO BE FOUGHT AT THE POLITICAL WARFARE LEVEL THROUGH CONTROL OF SPEECH, THE ENEMY AND IT IS NOT JUST THE ISLAMIC ENEMY AT THE POINT AT WHICH THEY TOUCH YOU, IT IS THESE NARRATIVES THAT WE'RE NOT NECESSARILY CONSTRUCTED BY THEM, BUT ARE BEING ENFORCED THROUGH WHAT ARE CALLED THE HATE SPEECH NARRATIVES. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

AND WHAT IS THAT? WELL, WE'LL TALK ABOUT IT A LITTLE BIT. BUT IT IS DESIGNED TO SUPPRESS YOUR SPEECH. AND ALL THE OIC DID WAS MAKE SURE WHEN THE HATE SPEECH NARRATIVE CAME IN, ALL THEIR ISSUES ON NOT DEFAMING ISLAM WAS SUBSUMED IN WHAT IS CALLED AND THE TERM WE USE FACIALLY NEUTRAL LANGUAGE.

NOW, I'M A LAWYER, SO I'M FAMILIAR WITH THAT TERM. WHAT IS FACIALLY NEUTRAL LANGUAGE? ALL THESE THINGS THAT WILL BE USED TO REALLY DESTROY YOUR FREE SPEECH RIGHTS WILL BE STATED AND FACIALLY NEUTRAL LANGUAGE WHEN YOU JUST READ IT, YOU DON'T REALLY GET THE SENSE OF WHAT IT IS SAYING.

IT SOUNDS NEUTRAL. IT SOUNDS HARMLESS. IT IS ONLY WHEN YOU SEE HOW IT STARTS TO TAKE EFFECT THAT YOU REALIZE THIS IS A REAL ATTACK ON SPEECH. A REAL ATTACK ON SPEECH THAT IS DESIGNED TO INTIMIDATE YOU. IT IS DESIGNED TO INTIMIDATE YOU AND TO SHUTTING YOUR MOUTH. AND WE HAVE PRETTY GOOD REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE ENTIRE FORCE OF THE STATE IS BEHIND THAT. AND IT IS SHOCKINGLY BIPARTISAN. YOU WANT TO COME AND TELL ME WHAT PRESIDENT OBAMA HAS DONE WITH ISIS AND SYRIA? AND I'LL SHOW YOU LINDSEY GRAHAM AND JOHN MCCAIN WRITING POINT THERE.

IT WAS BROUGHT UP I WAS PUSHED OUT OF THE PENTAGON FOR BRIEFING FACTS THAT COULD NOT BE CONINTROTROVERTED. THAT WAS THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION. I WANT TO PUT THAT IN FRAME HERE AS WE TALK ABOUT THIS, BECAUSE, YOU KNOW WHAT, I WAS TALKING TO SOMEONE LAST NIGHT,

THEY'RE RETIRING FROM LEGISLATURE AND I TALKED TO SOME OTHER PEOPLE AND THEY SAID, DO YOU WANT TO GIVE A SPEECH IN HER LEGISLATURE AND THE ANSWER WAS, NO, WHAT AM I GOING TO SAY THAT THEY DON'T ALREADY KNOW? DOES ANYBODY FEEL THAT WAY?

DO YOU UNDERSTAND IN INFORMATION OPERATIONS WHEN YOU HAVE MORE POWERFUL ENEMY, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY WANT YOU TO THINK. BUT THINK ABOUT THIS. HOW MANY PEOPLE REALIZE -- HOW MANY PEOPLE TAKE A LOOK AND THINK WE'RE LOSING BECAUSE THE POLITICAL ESTABLISHMENT IS ALL IN? BUT THEY'RE LOSING THEIR BASE.

THINK ABOUT THAT. IF YOU LEAVE THE POLITICAL VIEWS ASIDE, HAD THE PARTY IN POWER NOT BEEN IN POWER, COULD BERNIE SANDERS, A RENEGADE ON THE LEFT, DEFEATED HILLARY CLINTON? AND DID DONALD TRUMP, WELL, THE DEFINING CHARACTERISTIC OF BOTH THESE PEOPLE IS THEY WERE SAYING THEY HAVE NO FAITH IN THIS POLITICAL ESTABLISHMENT. SO THEY'RE DOUBLING DOWN ON THEIR POWER, PRECISELY BECAUSE IT MAY WELL BE THAT WITH THE FOLKS WE'RE WINNING, AND I THINK WE NEED TO REMEMBER THAT. SO LET'S GO.

THE FIRST LITTLE VIGNETTE I WANT TO DO IS SOMETHING CALLED AL QAEDA 2.0. WHY DO I DO THAT? BECAUSE THAT'S HOW WE CALLED IT WHEN I WAS READING THIS AL QAEDA 2.0 WHEN IT CAME OUT IN 2002-2003.

YOU HAVE AN ARTICLE WRITTEN IN 2005, WRITTEN BY A MAN NAMED HUAD HUSSEIN WHEN HE WAS IN JAIL WITH AN AL QAEDA LEADER NAMED ZARQAWI. AND AT THE TIME HE WAS JUST AL QAEDA. OF COURSE, AL QAEDA BECAME AL QAEDA IN IRAQ AND IT BECAME ISIL AND IS BECAME ISIS, OKAY. I'M ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO THINK PEOPLE GET WAY TOO WORKED AROUND THE AXLE ON MAKING DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN AL QAEDA AND ISIS. BECAUSE AL QAEDA WILL ALWAYS BE SPINNING OUT OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES THAT ONCE THEY GET BEATEN, THEY CAN SPIN SOMETHING ELSE OUT.

IN 2005, HE WAS INTERVIEWED IN THIS ARTICLE TO TALK ABOUT WHAT AL QAEDA'S PLAN WAS AS PUBLISHED IN 2005. AND ALL I WANT TO DO IS POINT OUT THAT THEY SAID, IN THE YEAR 2002 THAT BETWEEN 2010 AND 2013 THEY WOULD COLLAPSE THE ARAB STATES, AND THEY WOULD -- AND THEY WOULD -- THEY WOULD COLLAPSE THE ARAB STATES.

THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO KNOW ME FROM BACK IN 2010 THAT I WAS BRIEFING ON CAPITOL HILL AT THE END OF 2010, WATCH OUT, THE BROTHERHOOD IS GOING TO BE LEADING THE CHARGE TO TAKE DOWN THESE ARAB STATES, IT IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE A FREEDOM MOVEMENT, AND NOBODY IS GOING TO UNDERSTAND THAT FROM BEGINNING TO END IT IS GOING TO BE A TAKEDOWN.

AND THEN FIVE MONTHS LATER, IN FEBRUARY, WE SAW THE ARAB SPRING. OF COURSE THEY KNEW THE ENGLISH SPEAKING JOURNALISTS WOULD GO TO TAHRIR SQUARE AND INTERVIEW ENGLISH SPEAKING PEOPLE TO TALK ABOUT FREEDOM. THEY KNOW IT IS AS EASY AS GIVING A KID CANDY, GIVING THE WEST WHAT IT WANTS TO HEAR, SO THEY CREATED A PARTY CALLED FREEDOM AND JUSTICE.

BUT, YOU KNOW, THEY KNOW WHAT YOU THINK ABOUT THAT. BUT THEY ALSO KNOW WHAT IT MEANS IS FREEDOM FROM THE LAWS OF MAN, JUSTICE ACCORDING TO SHARIA.

SO THE POINT IS AT NO TIME WAS THERE AN ARAB SPRING MOVEMENT AND I HAVE THE BRIEFINGS INCLUDING THE VIDEO OF BEING ON CAPITOL HILL SHOWING THAT WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN THAT DID HAPPEN.

THE FIFTH PHASE WAS TO BEGIN IN 2013 WITH THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE CALIPHATE, AND THE FOUNDATION OF THE ISLAMIC STATE. AND, OF COURSE, PHASE SIX WOULD BEGIN IN 2016, AND THAT WOULD BE TOTAL CONFRONTATION.

I THINK THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE HAVE A 2005 ARTICLE WRITTEN BY SOMEBODY INTERVIEWING AL QAEDA ON A DOCUMENT THEY WROTE IN 2002 TELLING YOU WHAT THEIR TIMELINE IS, AND THEY ARE EXACTLY WHERE THEY SAID THEY WOULD BE. EXACTLY WHERE THEY SAID THEY WOULD BE.

WHEN WE TAKE A LOOK AT THE BALANCE SHEET, WE'RE BATTING ZERO ON WHAT YOU'RE BEING TOLD, AL QAEDA'S DEFEATED, WE COULD PEG THE NARRATIVE, AL QAEDA IS DEFEATED, AL QAEDA IS RESURGING, AL QAEDA HAS TO BE FOUGHT. AL QAEDA IS GOING TO UNDERGROUND. NOT BASED ON ANYTHING GOING ON OVER THERE. IT WAS THE NEWS CYCLE. THAT'S JUST KIND OF THE TRENDING CYCLE. WE KNEW FROM THE BEGINNING, BRIGITTE, DO YOU HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT? ABSOLUTELY NOT. IT IS ALL NONSENSE.

THE OTHER THING I WANT TO BRING UP IS ORLANDO. IT IS 2016. SO WE SHOULD SEE ALL-OUT CONFRONTATION. I WOULD ARGUE IT ACTUALLY STARTED IN DECEMBER 2015 WITH WHAT WE SAW IN SAN BERNARDINO. WHY? BECAUSE THERE IS SOMETHING CALLED THE TEN-YEAR PROGRAM OF ACTION THAT THE OIC IMPLEMENTED IN 2005 TO MAKE DEFINITION OF ISLAM A CRIME IN EVERY JURISDICTION. AND IN DECEMBER, IT EXPIRED. AND ACTUALLY ALMOST TO THE VERY WEEK WHERE IT EXPIRED WE SAW THE EVENTS IN FRANCE, WE SAW EVENTS IN ORLANDO. SO I KIND OF SAY THIS TRIGGERS THE BEGINNING. AND THIS IS GOING TO BE THE MODEL.

WHAT I'M SAYING HERE IS ON MAY 26th, I WROTE SOMETHING TELLING PEOPLE WATCH OUT, WE'RE GOING TO SEE SOME STRIKE HERE. AND THEN WHAT DID WE SEE? WE SEE THE DECLARED JIHADI ATTACK, JUST ACCORDING -- JUST THE WAY ISIS DECLARED IT, AND JUST THE MANNER AL QAEDA SAID ISIS IN *INSPIRE* MAGAZINE IT SHOULD BE DONE. THE ACTIONS WERE COMPLETELY IN LINE.

AFTER THE EVENT, WE HAD AL QAEDA ACTUALLY WRITE A LITTLE PAPER DOING A LITTLE ANALYSIS, AND AFTER ACTION REVIEW. PEOPLE IN THE MILITARY KNOW EXACTLY WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT. HERE YOU HAVE A MAN WHO CLAIMED IT, HERE YOU HAVE ISIS CALLING FOR IT, AL QAEDA CALLING FOR IT, AND SHOWING WHAT HAS TO BE DONE, AND YOU HAVE AFTER THE EVENT AL QAEDA CRITIQUING IT, YOU HAVE THE MAN CALLING THE POLICE AND SPENDING A LOT OF TIME SAYING THAT HE IS A MEMBER OF ISIS, AND HE'S KILLING BECAUSE OF THEIR CALL FOR THAT EVENT.

YET YOU SAW ON TV THAT THE BIGGEST STRUGGLE WAS TO GET THE DISCUSSION OFF THE FACT OF THE MATTER, THE FACTS OF WHAT HAPPENED AND TRANSITION IT TO THE VIOLENT EXTREMISM NARRATIVE. IS THAT RIGHT?

FROM THAT POINT ON, FACTS THAT DEFINE THE EVENT HAD TO COMPETE IN A SMOTHERING FASHION WITH NARRATIVES DESIGNED TO PRESIDENT IS IT. AND PUT GARBAGE OUT THERE AND MAKE IT COMPETE WITH IT TO GIVE YOU THE IMPRESSION THAT THERE WAS REALLY A QUESTION ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED.

I WANT TO TALK ABOUT SAN BERNARDINO, WHY AM I SHOWING YOU THESE THINGS? WHY AM I SHOWING YOU AL QAEDA 2.0 OR ORLANDO AND SAN BERNARDINO? BECAUSE WE'RE SEEING AN UNROLLING OF A HOSTILE ACTIVITY THAT IS RIGHT WHERE IT IS SUPPOSED TO BE. ALL WE HAVE TO DO

IS READ IT. AND HERE IS THE THING. THEY TRANSLATE IT IN ENGLISH FOR YOU. AND WHETHER IT IS OUR GOVERNMENT LEADERS, OR WHETHER IT IS ELITE MEDIA, THEY HAVE MADE A DECISION THAT THEY WANT TO TALK ABOUT WHAT THEY WANT TO TALK ABOUT. AND THEY DON'T WANT TO TALK ABOUT THIS.

I THINK THAT SAN BERNARDINO WAS REALLY SCARY. WHY? BECAUSE OF WHAT HAPPENED THAT WE DON'T TALK ABOUT. AND YOU KNOW WHAT THAT IS? WITH SAN BERNARDINO, THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD TOOK CONTROL OF THE NARRATIVE FROM BEGINNING TO END. THEY TOOK CONTROL OF IT.

SO HERE YOU SEE CAIR BEFORE THE FBI COULD GET UP AND GIVE THEIR EXPLANATION OF EVENTS, CAIR IS UP THERE SETTING THE NARRATIVE. THE COUNCIL ON AMERICAN ISLAMIC RELATIONS. USUALLY IF I GIVE SOMETHING LIKE THIS, I GIVE A PRECURSOR ON WHO THE BROTHERHOOD IS. I DON'T THINK THAT'S NECESSARY HERE. IS THAT FAIR? OKAY. CAIR SET THE NARRATIVE. YOU HAVE AN IMAM WITH THE FIRST RESPONDERS. DO YOU THINK THAT JUST HAPPENS?

THIS IS SOMETHING I THINK IS SCARY. EVERYBODY REMEMBERS THAT DAY, LORETTA LYNCH BASICALLY TALKING ABOUT ANTI-MUSLIM SPEECH EDGES TOWARD VIOLENCE. REMEMBER, ISLAMOPHOBIA HAS NO DEFINITION. JUST LIKE EDGING TOWARDS VIOLENCE MEANS ANYTHING SOMEONE WANTS IT TO MEAN WHEN THEY ARREST YOU AND THEN BANKRUPT YOU AFTER THEY -- AND THEN DROP CHARGES.

BUT, YOU KNOW, IT IS NOT JUST THAT SHE SAID THAT. I WANT YOU IT LOOK AT WHAT IS BEHIND HER WHEN SHE SAID IT. IT SAYS, MUSLIM ADVOCATES PROMOTING FREEDOM AND JUSTICE FOR ALL. SO HERE YOU HAVE AT SAN BERNARDINO A MAN WHO GOES TO A CHRISTMAS PARTY TO KILL A JEW OR WHAT HE THOUGHT TO BE A JEW. I KNOW FRIENDS IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY WILL ARGUE. BUT THAT'S WHAT HE THOUGHT. THAT'S GOOD ENOUGH FOR THIS DISCUSSION, IS IT NOT?

AND HERE YOU HAVE LORETTA LYNCH, WHO CALLS HIMSELF FREEDOMS OF JUSTICE? THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD. HERE SHE IS ON A DIAS COMMITTING, TELLING AMERICANS ON A DIAS THAT IF YOU DO ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT YOU JUST SAW, SHE'S GOING TO COME AFTER YOU. DOES THAT NOT SCARE PEOPLE?

HOW MANY PEOPLE THINK IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT MUSLIM ADVOCATES PROMOTING FREEDOM AND JUSTICE FOR ALL REALLY MEANS? AND HOW MANY PEOPLE THINK TO THE EXTENT THEY SAW IT, IT MEANS WELL, THAT'S COOL, IT MUST BE LIKE FREEDOM, LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

I HAVE THAT MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD THING BASICALLY SAYING, THEY SAY THAT'S WHO THEY ARE. THE MOTHER OF THE KILLER WAS A MEMBER OF ISNA. GO AHEAD. TOWARD THE END OF THE EVENT, YOU HAD JEH JOHNSON SAYING WHAT? HE SAID, WELL, HE WENT TO THEIR FORUM, HE WENT TO -- WHO RUNS THE ISLAMIC SOCIETY, WHO RUNS THE ISLAMIC SOCIETY OF -- HERE YOU HAVE FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE EVENT TO THE VERY END OUR NATIONAL LEADERS DECIDED THAT THEY WERE GOING TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD TO INCLUDING TO ALLOW THEM TO SET THE DEBATE TO ALLOW THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO STAND ON A MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD DIAS TO THREATEN AMERICANS AND TO HAVE THE LET'S TALK ABOUT HOW MUCH OF A TRAGEDY THIS IS, AT A MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD MOSQUE.

HOW MANY PEOPLE PUT THAT TOGETHER BEFORE I SAID THIS? RAISE YOUR HANDS. IS THAT NOT SCARY? I'LL TELL YOU WHY IT IS NOT SCARY. HOW MANY PEOPLE DO YOU THINK MISSED THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD COMMUNITY AROUND THE WORLD?

HERE YOU HAVE CAIR TELLING MUSLIMS IN AMERICA NOT TO TALK TO THE POLICE. BUT I LIKE TO BRING THIS UP TO YOU. BECAUSE WHERE AS I COULD MAKE A PRETTY GOOD ARGUMENT THAT THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD REPRESENTS LEADERSHIP ELEMENT OF THE MUSLIM COMMUNITY IN AMERICA BECAUSE THEY GET THE MEDIA AND THEY TALK TO OUR GOVERNMENT, IT IS NOT AT ALL CLEAR THAT MOST MUSLIMS AGREE OR EVEN PARTICIPATE IN THAT.

SO THE QUESTION BECOMES, IF YOU ARE MUSLIM, AND YOU WANT TO BE AN AMERICAN CITIZEN AND OBEY THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, DO YOU THINK YOU'RE GOING TO GO TO THE FBI OR DHS TO REPORT A POSSIBLE TERRORIST EVENT WHEN YOU KNOW THEIR OUTREACH PARTNERS OF THE BROTHERHOOD? ARE YOU GOING TO DO THAT?

WHO GUARANTEES, REALLY, THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET REPORTS FROM THAT COMMUNITY BESIDES THE BROTHERHOOD WHO ARE THREATENING PEOPLE RIGHT HERE?

I WOULD LIKE TO ARGUE THAT WE ARE DOING THIS, WE'RE SEEING THE BROTHERHOOD ACTUALLY ADVERTISE WHAT THEY'RE DOING, WE'RE SEEING OUR GOVERNMENT COMPLETELY AFFILIATE WITH THEM AT THE TIME OF TRAGIC EVENTS, AND IT GOES RIGHT BY PEOPLE.

I WOULD ARGUE THAT OUR WHOLE ORIENTATION TO THE WAR ON TERROR HAS BEEN REDUCED TO INCOHERENCE. AND THAT WE HAVE LOST THE BATTLE AND THE INFORMATION BATTLE SPACE. WE HAVE LOST IT.

THE VERY WAY WE TALK ABOUT THIS WAR ENSURES THAT WE CANNOT EFFECTIVELY ENGAGE IT. LEADERLESS JIHAD, HUMAN TERRAIN, VIOLENT EXTREMISTS, LONE WOLF. EVERY ONE OF THEM ARE NONSENSE. THEY SOUND ANALYTICAL. THEY'RE NOT. THEY ARE DESIGNED TO GET YOU TO TALK ABOUT WHAT A POLITICAL SCIENTIST, A SOCIOLOGIST OR ANTHROPOLOGIST THINKS IS INTELLECTUALLY INTRIGUING WHEN THEY BUILT A MODEL TO UNDERSTAND EVENTS THAT COULD BE EXPLAINED WITHOUT THE MODEL.

BUT YOU KNOW WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE MODEL? YOU'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT THE CAUSE AND FACT. YOU'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT THE FACT THAT ISIS HAS A TIMELINE. AND THEY'RE RIGHT WHERE THEY SAID THEY WOULD BE. NOW, YOU'RE A REPORTER. AND YOU START TO REALIZE THAT THE FREIGHT TRAIN THAT IS RUNNING PEOPLE OVER RIGHT NOW IS SOMETHING THEY DECIDED NOT TO CARRY, ARE THEY GOING TO GET ON BOARD WITH THAT AND ADMIT THEY WERE COMPLETELY LOST?

IS NATIONAL SECURITY STAFF GOING TO ADMIT THEY GOT IN BED WITH PEOPLE WHO DECLARED THEIR OBJECTIVE WAS TO SUBVERT AMERICA FROM WITHIN BY AMONG OTHER THINGS WORKING WITH THEM? NO. ALL SPEAK TO BEHAVIORAL MODELS.

WHEN WATCHING REPORTS AFTER A TERRORIST EVENT, WE POINTED THIS OUT TO PEOPLE, I TALKED TO SOMEBODY THAT DOES SPECIAL OPERATIONS AT THE SPECIAL OPERATIONS LEVEL, WE WOULD WATCH HOW THE INFORMATION ACTIVITIES WOULD GET INVOLVED WHEN YOU WATCHED -- YOU WATCHED THE JOCKEYING AFTER ORLANDO. OR THE WHOLE THING WAS ABOUT GETTING PEOPLE TO

TALK ABOUT, WOW, WAS IT A LONE WOLF? WAS IT A LEADERLESS JIHAD? WAS IT SELF-RADICALIZATION?

YOU SEE, YOU CAN START OFF BY SAYING LEADERLESS JIHAD, BUT HAVE TO GET TO SELF-RADICALIZATION. ONCE YOU GET TO SELF-RADICALIZATION, YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT NONSENSE. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING THAT PEOPLE WRITE POSITIONS ON WHERE YOU CAN SPEND YOUR WHOLE LIFE TALKING ABOUT VIOLENT EXTREMISM AND NEVER ONCE TALK ABOUT AL QAEDA.

THE ATTACKS ARE THE BASIS OF THE THREAT'S MAIN EFFORT. THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND. THEY DON'T PLAN TO WIN THE WAR ON THE BATTLEFIELDS OF IRAQ AND SYRIA. **THEY PLAN TO WIN THE WAR ON THE INFORMATION BATTLE SPACE HERE.** THEY EXECUTE AT THE POLITICAL WARFARE LEVEL, TARGETING CONTROL, TARGETING CONTROL AT DECISIONMAKING TO CONTROL NARRATIVES USED TO ANALYZE AND DISCUSS EVENTS.

THE TWO PRIMARY VECTORS THAT WE SEE THIS HAPPENING ON ARE U.N. RESOLUTION 1618, AND THE COUNTERING VIOLENT EXTREMISM NARRATIVES IMPLEMENTED BY OUR GOVERNMENT AND MANY GOVERNMENTS. WE'LL TALK ABOUT THE CVE LATER. IN MORE DETAIL IF WE HAVE TIME. BUT THE VERY USE OF THE WORD RADICALIZATION IS ITSELF A DEAD END. WHY?

THIS IS A BUSY SLIDE, I APOLOGIZE FOR IT, BUT SISI, IN HIS SPEECH, HE WASN'T TALKING ABOUT RADICALIZED MISINTERPRETATION TO TEXT WHEN HE WENT TO ALAZAR. ACADEMICALLY IT IS THE PRINCETON, HARVARD, YALE, DARTMOUTH COMBINED TOGETHER TIMES TWO. YOU WEAR THE HAT THAT TELLS EVERYBODY HE IS THE TOP ONE HALF OF 1% OF THE WELL, YOU KNOW, I USE THE WORD ORDAINED, CREDENTIALLED IMAMS. THAT'S WHERE HE WENT.

HE TOLD THE ELITES OF ALAZAR THEY HAD TO REDETERMINE AND REDEFINE SACRED TEXT KNOWN TO BE SACRED TEXT. YOU SEE THE VERY MINUTE WE TALK ABOUT HIM TALKING TO RADICALIZED IMAMS, WE INSTANTLY MISDEFINE WHAT HE SAID. DID WE NOT? BECAUSE THAT'S NOT WHAT HE SAID AND THAT'S NOT WHO HE TALKED TO. BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, ONCE WE GOT INTO THE RADICALIZED LANGUAGE, WE ENDED UP NOT BEING ABLE TO SUPPORT SISI AND HIS EFFORTS, AT THE SAME TIME SUPPORTING THE NARRATIVE THAT IS PREFERRED BY THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD.

THE BROTHERHOOD PUTS US THERE. GO AHEAD. AND MAKE NO MISTAKE, THIS IS ALL REALLY ABOUT CONTROLLING SPEECH. THIS IS AN EXAMPLE HERE.

HOW MANY PEOPLE HEARD WHEN WE HEAR OUR POLITICIANS TALK ABOUT ISIS, THE FIRST THING THEY SAY IS THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH ISLAM. HOW MANY PEOPLE HEARD THAT? OKAY. WHY IS IT THAT THEY GET TO TELL YOU WHAT ISLAM IS BUT IF YOU COME UP WITH A FACT-BASED, CITED, USE OF ISLAMIC SOURCES RESPONSE, YOU'RE THE PERSON WHO IS A HATER WHO HAS TO JUSTIFY WHAT HE SAID?

I SAY THIS BECAUSE AL AZHAR CAME OUT AND STATED, YOU HAVE TWO DIFFERENT SOURCES TELLING YOU FLAT OUT WHAT? THAT YOU CANNOT SAY WHAT ISIS DOES IS APOSTASY. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? IT MEANS THAT IT IS NOT UNISLAMIC.

FOR EVERY POLITICIAN AND REPORTER AND PEOPLE WHO WANT TO SOUND SMART WHO STARTS OFF THEIR NARRATIVE BY SAYING THAT WHAT ISIS DOES HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH ISLAM, I HAVE A

SOURCE THAT BEATS EVERY ONE OF THEIR SOURCES AND BEATS IT 50 TIMES OVER. WE ARE BEING CONTROLLED BY NARRATIVES.

LET'S LOOK AT THIS GRAPHIC FROM A PRESTIGIOUS NGO THAT GOES THROUGH THE INTERNATIONAL CIRCUITS. SEE WHAT THEY HAVE? THEY ACTUALLY GET IT RIGHT.

66% OF TERRORISM COMES FROM AL QAEDA, TALIBAN, BOKO HARAM AND ISIS. HOW MANY PEOPLE THINK WE REALLY DON'T KNOW WHAT CAUSES THEM TO FIGHT? HOW MANY PEOPLE KNOW, LIKE THEIR LIFE DEPENDS ON IT, THAT THEY FIGHT TO RE-ESTABLISH THE CALIPHATE AND INSTITUTE ISLAMIC LAW THROUGH JIHAD? DEFINED IN VIOLENT TERMS? HOW MANY PEOPLE THINK THAT ANY OTHER EXPLANATION BESIDES THAT IS DISINFORMATION?

WHAT I WANT PEOPLE TO THINK ABOUT IS THE VERY PROCESS OF MAKING THE LANGUAGE AND DISCUSSION SOUND ACADEMIC IS THAT ITSELF THE POINT AT WHICH IT BECOMES A LIE. IT IS DISINFORMATION. BECAUSE THE VERY NEXT SLIDE TALKS ABOUT THE CAIR -- THE MOTIVATION, WHAT CAUSES THIS TERRORISM? AND WHAT ARE THEY TELLING YOU? IT IS ALL THIS NONSENSE.

NOW, YOU CAN READ THAT AND SAY, WELL, YOU KNOW, IF YOU LOOK IN, READ WHAT THEY'RE SAYING, YOU CAN SEE WHERE YOU CAN PUT ISIS IN THERE, MY POINT IS, YOU WOULD HAVE TO DO THAT. WHAT ARE THEY MAKING A POINT OF NOT DOING? THEY'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT THIS.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE ARE AT WAR. WE ARE AT WAR WITH AN ENEMY WHO OPENLY DECLARES HIMSELF, THEY IDENTIFY THEIR STRATEGIES, THEY WRITE THEM IN ENGLISH BECAUSE THEY HAVE CONVINCED YOU THAT IF YOU READ THEM, THEY DON'T MEAN ANYTHING, BECAUSE THERE ARE A THOUSAND DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS OF ISLAM.

BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, THEY GOT YOUR GOVERNMENT TO FIRE YOU. THEY GOT YOUR MEDIA OUTLET, IF YOU'RE A REPORTER, TO FIRE YOU, IF YOU SHOULD JUST HAPPEN TO DECIDE TO READ WHAT AL QAEDA SAYS WHEN THEY SAY THEY'RE GOING TO KILL YOU AND POINT OUT THAT THEY DID IT. THEY'RE EXACTLY ON TRACK.

DO YOU SEE, THE MINUTE WE START TALKING FACTUALLY TODAY ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE DOING, YOU HAVE THE WHOLE QUESTION ABOUT WHAT PERCENTAGE OF OUR NATIONAL SECURITY ESTABLISHMENT IS JUST SIMPLY INCOMPETENT? THEY BASE THEIR STRATEGIES ON PUBLISHED DOCTRINES. WE KNOW THAT THE BROTHERHOOD HAS OPERATIONALIZED, WE KNOW JIHADI ORGANIZATIONS MAKE IT RUN.

WE GET THE KINETIC PART OF IT, WE'RE ALSO AT WAR WITH THE NONKINETIC STRATEGIES THAT ARE EXECUTING IN PLAIN SIGHT. AGAIN, WITH PUBLISHED ISLAMIC LAW, THAT THE OIC IMPLEMENTS INTERNATIONALLY AT PLACES LIKE THE OSCE, THAT THE BROTHERHOOD EXECUTES AS WELL.

HERE I CALL THIS THE SPLINTER. WHY IS IT THE SPLINTER? I'M NOT GOING TO HAVE TIME TO TALK ABOUT SPLINTER MOVEMENT THEORY, BUT WHEN YOU CREATE GROUPS THAT WANT TO GET AN OPINION, YOU CREATE ONE, THE DARWA ENTITY, THE BROTHERHOOD, YOU CREATE THAT -- OR WE CAN COME UP WITH A MAOIST EXAMPLE, AND A SPLINTER THAT IS VIOLENT. THEN AS SOON AS THE VIOLENT GROUP HITS, THE NONVIOLENCE SPLINTER SAYS IF YOU WORK WITH US, WE CAN KEEP THEM FROM KILLING YOU. WELL, WHAT DO WE HAVE TO DO? WELL, YOU HAVE TO DO WHAT THEY SAY. OH, OKAY. AND YOU SEE WE'RE GOING TO HELP YOU. WE'RE MODERATE. WE WANT TO HELP YOU. OKAY.

THIS IS CLASSICAL SPLINTER MOVEMENT OPERATION. OF COURSE, THE JIHADI ELEMENTS ARE GROUPS LIKE AL QAEDA, OR ISIS, AND THE UMA PAR EXCELLENCE IS THE OIC.

WE'RE AT WAR AND IT IS CONCEPT OF WARFARE CALLED POLITICAL WARFARE AND I'M ACTUALLY RELATING HERE TO THE MAOIST MODEL. BASED ON USING VIOLENCE, TERRORISM IN THIS WAR, AS A SUPPORT MECHANISM TO THE NONVIOLENT, TO GET YOU TO -- OR THE NONVIOLENT PART IS THE MAIN EFFORT.

IN THE SENSE THIS IS JUST WHAT NORTH VIETNAM DID. HOW MANY PEOPLE REMEMBER A CERTAIN COLONEL SUMNER ASKING THE GENERAL IN VIETNAM, GENERALS, YEAH, WE WIN EVERY BATTLE AND HE SAID, YOU IDIOTS, WE WON THE WAR.

WE DO NOT EVEN UNDERSTAND IN AMERICA THAT OUR NATIONAL SECURITY ESTABLISHMENT DOESN'T EVEN SPEAK IN TERMS OF STRATEGIC DESIGN. SO THEY DO NOT UNDERSTAND STRATEGIC INFORMATION OPERATIONS, AND THEY WOULDN'T RECOGNIZE POLITICAL WARFARE INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS COMING AT THEM IF THEIR LIFE DEPENDED ON IT.

HOW DO WE KNOW? BECAUSE THEY -- THEIR OUTREACH PARTNERS ARE THE PEOPLE WHO SAY THEIR JOB IS CIVILIZATION JIHAD.

WE KNOW WHO THE OIC IS. WE KNOW WHO THE BROTHERHOOD IS. RIGHT. WE KNOW THE OTHER PEOPLE THAT -- ARE THE OUTREACH PARTNERS FOR OUR GOVERNMENT. SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS LOOK AT THE POLITICAL WARFARE SLIDE BECAUSE THIS IS THE MAOIST INSURGENCY MODEL. WHY AM I PUTTING THIS UP HERE?

I'LL HAVE A SLIDE, I'LL BEAT MY OWN LINES UP, I'M JUMPING TO MY OWN CONCLUSIONS HERE, BECAUSE IF WE SAW WHAT THE BROTHERHOOD WAS DOING HERE AS AN INTELLIGENCE OFFICER WATCHING WHAT WAS GOING ON IN EUROPE OR ASIA, WE WOULD SAY, THAT LOOKS LIKE AN INSURGENCY.

POLITICAL WARFARE IS ABOUT CREATING THE COUNTERSTATE WHERE THE OTHER SIDE HAS PEOPLE, TECHNICAL SKILLS, WEAPONS, PROPAGANDA, MEDIA, AND CREATE LINES OF OPERATION THAT ATTACK THE POLITICAL, VIOLENT, NONVIOLENT, ALLIES AND INTERNATIONAL SPEAR HERE. WHAT YOU HAVE TO DO IS RECOGNIZE THAT AT THE STRATEGIC LEVEL AND COUNTER IT. BUT YOU HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT.

SO WHAT I THINK IS INTERESTING IS THE REASON I TALK ABOUT THE MAOIST MODEL, I CAN CONVINCE PEOPLE THERE IS SUCH A THING AS A MAOIST MODEL WITHOUT BEING CALLED A CONSPIRACY THEORIST. THAT WOULD NEVER HAPPEN, THAT CAN'T HAPPEN. I SAID, WE WRITE BOOKS ABOUT THIS HAPPENING. WE JUST CAN'T GET OVER OURSELVES. AND THAT IT CAN HAPPEN TO US. SO I JUST THINK IT IS INTERESTING, HERE YOU HAVE MAO ZEDONG, THE PEOPLE ARE THE SEA THAT THE REVOLUTIONARY SWIMS IN. AND THE CHIEF JURIST OF THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD SAYING SOMETHING SIMILAR, THE AWAKENING IS A TRIBUTARY.

THE ENEMY'S MAIN EFFORT IS A SUSTAINED STRATEGIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGN AT THE POLITICAL WARFARE LEVEL.

WHAT IS THE OBJECTIVE? TO WIN THE WAR BY DENYING YOU THE ABILITY TO IDENTIFY HIM, THEREBY ALLOWING HIM TO CONTROL YOU.

WE SEE THESE HATE SPEECH NARRATIVES COMING. DO WE NOT? WE KNOW WE CAN FEEL IT. SO HERE YOU HAVE A FAMOUS QUOTE FROM SUN TZU, TACTICS WITHOUT STRATEGY IS THE NOISE BEFORE DEFEAT.

NOW ONE OF THE SENIOR GENERALS AT OUR SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND RECENTLY MADE THIS STATEMENT. "WE DO NOT UNDERSTAND THE MOVEMENT, AND UNTIL WE DO, WE ARE NOT GOING TO DEFEAT IT. WE HAVE NOT DEFEATED THE IDEA. WE DON'T EVEN UNDERSTAND THE IDEA." THAT'S A GENERAL OFFICER ALLOCATING FORCE TO FIGHT A WAR.

DO YOU THINK THAT ISIS KNOWS THAT OUR GENERALS DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY'RE DOING? DO YOU THINK THE PEOPLE WHO ARE THE OUTREACH PARTNERS FOR OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT KNOW THAT THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY'RE DOING?

LET ME ASK YOU THIS QUESTION. DO YOU THINK THE RUSSIANS KNOW THAT? DO YOU THINK THE CHINESE KNOW THAT? DO YOU THINK THAT THEY SEE A BUREAUCRATIC ESTABLISHMENT THAT IS INSTITUTIONALIZED, ACADEMIC? I SAY ACADEMIC, SOPHISTICATED MODELS WHICH HAVE THE ALLUSION OF SCIENCE AND ARE COLLAPSING ON THEMSELVES AND THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVING TO OPT FOR NEW PARTIES BECAUSE OF IT.

I WROTE MY THESIS IN 2007. IT IS INTERESTING TO KNOW FROM THE INTRODUCTION I HAD HERE THAT WITHIN FIVE MONTHS OF WRITING THIS THESIS, I WAS REMOVED. THAT WAS THE NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE COLLEGE.

THE COST OF NOT UNDERSTANDING THE ENEMY IS GETTING HIGH. AND HIGHER EVERY DAY. IT WILL BE INCREASINGLY MEASURED BY NEWS STORIES THAT NARROW IN ON SENIOR LEADERS INABILITY TO ANSWER BASIC QUESTIONS ABOUT THE NATURE OF THE ENEMY.

IT WILL MANIFEST ITSELF IN OFFICIAL RESPONSES TO TERRORIST ATTACKS THAT BECOME PROGRESSIVELY LESS REALITY BASED. ARE WE THERE? ARE WE THERE?

IN THE NEXT ADMINISTRATION, WE NEED TO HAVE A REAL CAMPAIGN PLAN TO DEFEAT A REAL ENEMY.

HOW DO WE KNOW HE'S AN ENEMY? HE SAID SO.

HOW DO WE KNOW HE'S SERIOUS? HE KILLS PEOPLE.

HOW DO WE KNOW THAT THERE IS A COMPLETE NEXUS BETWEEN WHAT HIS STATEMENT IS WHEN HE DECLARED HIMSELF AS AN ENEMY AND PEOPLE BEING DEAD? HE PUBLISHES HIS DOCTRINE.

NOW AL QAEDA WRITES IN ENGLISH BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT OUR NATIONAL SECURITY LEADERSHIP WILL SAY, WELL, NOT GOING TO READ THAT, ISIS WROTE IT. THAT'S THE REAL ANSWER YOU GET.

WE NEED TO FIGHT THIS AS IF IT WERE A REAL WAR, DEMANDING REAL INTELLIGENCE AND ENABLING REAL CAMPAIGN PLANS AND ATTACK THE ENEMY STRATEGY. AT THE STRATEGIC LEVEL. THAT CREATES UNITY EFFORT, GENERATES ECONOMIC AND MILITARY EFFICIENCIES, COUNTERING AT THOSE VERY LINES OF OPERATION WE KNOW THEY'RE OPERATING ON.

DOES THE BROTHERHOOD CONTROL THE MEDIA? DO THEY CONTROL OUR GOVERNMENT COUNTERTERROR APPARATUS? CAN YOU GET EXPELLED FROM A CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY FOR STANDING

UP FOR YOUR FAITH IF THE MUSLIM STUDENT ASSOCIATION COMES AT YOU? HOW ABOUT A LUTHERAN? HOW ABOUT AN EVANGELICAL? HOW ABOUT A JEWISH?

I WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND THIS POLITICAL WARFARE MODEL AND I'M SPEAKING ABOUT THE MAOIST INSURGENCY MODEL. THAT'S HOW THEY'RE COMING AT YOU. OR ISLAMIC VARIATION OF IT AND WE NEED TO WIN BY COUNTERING THAT.

WHEN I SAY WE'RE IN A COMPLETE STATE OF STRATEGIC INCOMPREHENSION, DON'T YOU THINK THAT'S WHY PEOPLE ARE BAILING? I MEAN, I'M NOT A BERNIE SANDERS FAN, BUT DON'T YOU THINK THAT THE LEFT IS REALIZING THESE PEOPLE ARE JUST IN THERE TO KEEP THEMSELVES IN POWER?

IF YOU TAKE A LOOK AT WHEN PEOPLE THOUGHT THAT CRUZ AND RUBIO WERE OUTSIDERS, AND YOU TAKE A LOOK AT ALL THE VOTES IN THE REPUBLICAN CONFERENCE, 85% OF THE VOTES WERE AGAINST THE ESTABLISHMENT. I THINK PEOPLE KNOW THIS.

HOW MANY PEOPLE HERE DON'T KNOW WHO ABDEL RAKIM EL BAHAJ IS? IT IS A MAN WE CAPTURE AND RENDITIONED. WE ACTUALLY HAVE HIS DNA. HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE LIFG, A LIBYAN TERRORIST GROUP THAT WE ASSOCIATED WITH AL QAEDA. BUT THEN, OF COURSE, WE NEEDED MODERATES SO THEY BECAME MODERATE. BELHAJ IS THE LEADER OF ISIS IN LIBYA. AND WHAT YOU SEE RIGHT THERE IS LINDSEY GRAHAM AND JOHN McCAIN EITHER GIVING OR GETTING AN AWARD FROM HIM.

TOTAL STRATEGIC INCOMPREHENSION. IF YOU POINT THIS OUT TO HIM, HE'LL ATTACK YOU BECAUSE HE -- THIS IS HOW -- YOU GUYS ORIENT FACTS AND THINK THE FACT THAT AL QAEDA IS KILLING PEOPLE IS WHAT MATTERS, BUT WE HAVE THE RELATIONSHIPS. WE KNOW YOU DO. WE HAVE THAT SPECIAL NOSTIC KNOWLEDGE.

BUT THESE ARE THE PEOPLE WHO DETERMINE THOSE VETTED MODERATES FOR US, AREN'T THEY? AREN'T THEY? SO IT SHOULDN'T SURPRISE US WHO THE IMMIGRANTS ARE COMING OVER IN SYRIA BECAUSE IT IS THE SAME TYPE OF VETTED MODERATES. BELHAJ, A MAN WHO WE HAVE ABSOLUTE UNDERSTANDING OF.

HOW MANY PEOPLE FEEL THE CALM BEFORE THE STORM? HOW MANY PEOPLE CAME HERE JUST FEELING A TAD BIT DEMORALIZED? YOU KNOW, YOU BUMP YOUR HEAD AGAINST THE WALL. BUT IF YOU REALLY WERE, WHY WOULD YOU SEE PEOPLE WALKING IN AND REALLY COMING AT YOU HARD?

THE GOAL IS TO MAKE YOU FEEL HOPELESS AT A TIME WHEN IF YOU REALIZED YOU WEREN'T, YOU COULD TAKE THE UPPER HAND. AND THAT'S WHAT'S IMPORTANT TO KNOW. AT A TIME WHEN YOU HAVE TO BASICALLY REALIZE YOU'RE ASKING YOURSELF WHY YOU'RE HERE, THAT'S WHEN YOU HAVE TO TELL YOURSELF, THIS IS EXACTLY THE REASON I'M HERE. THIS IS THE OLD SAYING WHEN THE GOING GETS TOUGH, THE TOUGH GET GOING, WE'RE GOING TO ENTER THE TIME RIGHT NOW WHERE IN THIS CALM BEFORE THE STORM, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO RECOGNIZING IT IS GOING TO START GETTING ROUGH AND IT IS GOING TO START BECOMING MORE HARD BALL AS IF IT HASN'T ALREADY BEEN HARD BALL FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN PLAYING THIS. WE ALL FEEL IT. WE ALL SEE IT.

THE QUESTION IS, WHEN I THINK YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT THE GIRL IN IDAHO, PART OF THAT STORY IS THAT THE MEDICAL STAFF AND THE LOCAL CORONERS REFUSED TO GIVE THE PARENTS THE MEDICAL EXPLANATION FOR WHAT HAPPENED.

I BRIEFED IN MINNESOTA WHERE I BROUGHT UP THE QUESTION OF RAPES. AND THEY WERE ALL KIND OF LACKADAISICAL ABOUT THE BRIEFING UNTIL I BROUGHT THAT UP. THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN THEY PERKED UP, BECAUSE, OF COURSE, WHERE THEY HAD COMMUNITIES, THEY HAD A MASSIVE INCREASE IN RAPE AND THEY WANTED TO SEE THE JUSTIFICATION IN THAT. SO ALONGSIDE THE SEXUAL PREDATORY ACTIVITY YOU'RE GOING TO SEE IT IS NONREPORTING OR INEFFECTIVE REPORTING AND ATTACKING YOU FOR DRAWING THE CONNECTIONS THAT EXIST.

WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO THANK EVERYBODY AND WE'LL OPEN IT UP TO QUESTIONS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

[APPLAUSE]

AGAIN, I'D LIKE TO REMIND YOU TO KEEP YOUR QUESTIONS SHORT AND SWEET.

QUESTION: I'M TIM GREENBURG FROM LONG ISLAND. WE MUST HAVE YOU OUT THERE. AND I DO FEEL DEMORALIZED BECAUSE WE'RE KNOCKING OUR BRAINS OUT AND MY QUESTION IS, EXACTLY WHAT IS GOING ON AND WE'RE GOING TO BE ON THE HILL, IT SEEMS TO ME THERE IS SO MUCH MONEY, IT IS ALWAYS THE MONEY, BEING SPENT AROUND CONGRESS, THE CAPITOL, I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN GET THESE PEOPLE TO WAKE UP. IT SEEMS THAT THEY'RE ALREADY IN THEIR OWN ELITE LITTLE WORLD. GET MORE FOLKS IN HERE. I'M NOT SURE HOW TO CHANGE THE MIND SET.

COUGHLIN: WELL, I ACTUALLY AM VERY SYMPATHETIC TO THAT. A WOMAN JUST ASKED ME A QUESTION, I'LL MAKE THOSE SLIDES AVAILABLE TO PEOPLE WHO WANT THEM. AND I HAVE TO CONFESS OR APOLOGIZE, I DIDN'T BRING BOOKS WITH ME, THEY DIDN'T ARRIVE IN TIME, SO I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. I THINK PEOPLE HAVE TO START GETTING REALLY -- I'M NOT ADVOCATING -- THIS IS NOT IN VIOLENCE. BUT I THINK PEOPLE HAVE TO START GETTING ANGRY. I MEAN, REALLY ANGRY.

THERE IS CONGRESS, THEY HAD A HUGE ELECTION. THEY WON ON TWO ISSUES. AND IT IS THOSE TWO ISSUES THAT CAUSED REPUBLICANS TO GET ELECTED AND THE VERY NEXT DAY AFTER THE ELECTION, YOU SAW THE FUTURE MAJORITY LEADER SAY, YOU KNOW HOW WE WON THIS ELECTION ON THE TWO ISSUES? WE'RE IN THE NOT GOING TO DO ANY OF IT AND WE'LL GIVE OBAMA MORE THAN THE DEMOCRATS DID WHEN THEY WERE IN CONGRESS. AND THEY DID. AND WHAT YOU SAW THERE WAS A POLITICAL ESTABLISHMENT DISENFRANCHISE ITS OWN BASE.

IF WE WERE LOOKING AT A COUNTRY IN EUROPE OR ASIA THAT DID THAT, WE WOULD SAY, WATCH OUT. WE'RE SEEING SOME STUFF. WE'RE GOING TO SEE SOME EXPLOSIVE STUFF AND WE DO SEE THAT WITH MERKEL IN GERMANY, DO WE NOT? THE GERMANS DON'T WANT THAT. I THINK THE THING IS, ONE OF THE CONGRESSMEN CAME UP TO ME AND SAID, YOU KNOW, STEVE, WE HAVE THESE NEW CONGRESSMEN COMING IN ON THE ISSUE, THEY TOOK THE PLEDGE, DID THIS, THEY DEFEATED THE RHINO, I'M NOT -- I DON'T BELONG TO A POLITICAL PARTY, AND ONE OF THEM CAME IN AND SAID, YOU KNOW, I WON'T USE THE NAME, CONGRESSMAN AND THE PERSON I'M TALKING ABOUT IS A DEVOUT BAPTIST, HE SAID, CONGRESSMAN -- I PRAYED TO THE HOLY SPIRIT LAST NIGHT BEFORE I DID A 180 ON WHAT I GOT ELECTED FOR. AND THE CONGRESSMAN'S ANSWER TO THIS NEW MEMBER OF CONGRESS WHO RAN ON YOUR ISSUE WAS, SO HOW IS IT THAT THE HOLY SPIRIT TOLD YOU TO LIE?

I THINK PEOPLE HAVE TO STOP GIVING PEOPLE EXCUSES. YOU WERE LIED TO IF YOU VOTED FOR THOSE PEOPLE. AND THE FACT THAT THEY CONSTRUCTED NARRATIVES THAT CAUSE THEM TO AVOID THAT MEANS THAT YOU HAVE TO CONFRONT THAT. BECAUSE I THINK WE'RE WATCHING AMERICA BE

DESTROYED ON NARRATIVES THAT HAS TAKEN CONTROL NOT OF JUST OF THE PEOPLE WHO BELIEVE IN IT, BUT THE PEOPLE WHO DON'T EVEN UNDERSTAND THAT THEY'RE ENFORCING IT.

I GET THE FEELING THAT PEOPLE HAVE THAT PEOPLE HAVE TO GET SMART. THEY HAVE TO UNDERSTAND THAT WE ARE AT WAR AND WE HAVE TO KNOW THE ENEMY, BUT WE ALSO HAVE TO DEMAND THAT OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS STAND UP FOR WHAT THEY RAN ON, OR CALL THEM UP AND WE HAVE TO DEMAND THAT WHEN OUR SENIOR MILITARY FIGHTS WARS IT'S BASED ON OUR OATH AND SUPPORT TO DEFEND THE CONSTITUTION AGAINST ALL ENEMIES. NOT ON SOME -- COMPLETELY CONTRIVED THEORY THAT ONLY EXISTS TO KEEP THEM FROM DOING THAT.

QUESTION: IF HILLARY DOES BECOME OUR NEXT LEADER, WHAT HAPPENS WITH 1618 AND HOW DOES THAT RELATE TO FREEDOM OF SPEECH FOR US LEGALLY?

COUGLIN: I THINK THE THING TO KEEP IN MIND IS, I DON'T SEE THE REPUBLICANS IN CONGRESS EVEN GETTING IN THE WAY OF THAT. AND I RAISE THE POINT WE GO TO WARSAW AND THE ORGANIZATIONAL COOPERATION FUNCTIONS. WHAT HAPPENS IS YOU KNOW WHAT YOU SEE IS GROUPS AFFILIATED WITH THE OIC, I WON'T MENTION THE COUNTRY, ALL THOUGH I ALREADY DID. THEY WORK WITH THESE NGOs. ALMOST ALL ARE HEAVILY FINANCED TO CREATE THESE INTERNATIONAL TREATIES.

FOR EXAMPLE, ALONGSIDE U.N. RESOLUTION 16189 IS AN EFFORT BY A GROUP CALLED ARTICLE 19. WHAT IS ARTICLE 19 DOING AT INTERNATIONAL FORUMS? THEY'RE REDEFINING THE WORD "INCITEMENT" IN A TREATY. AND IN REDEFINING THE WORD IN THE U.S. TREATY, ALL OF A SUDDEN YOU HAD A RIGHT TO SAY TODAY IN THEORY BECOMES CRIMINAL TOMORROW. TONGUE IN GROOVE IT MATCHES WITH 1618 AND OTHER AGENDAS. SO I THINK THE THING IS, IS WE UNDERSTAND THAT OUR MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ARE IN AN ENVELOPE. WE UNDERSTAND WHAT HILLARY CLINTON WANTS TO DO, BUT I ALSO THINK WE SEE McCAIN LINDSEY GRAHAM ON BOARD WITH THAT. HERE IS THE THING, ARE WE NOT 15 YEARS INTO A WAR? ARE WE AT WAR? NO, NO, ARE WE AT WAR?

THE FACT THEY DON'T KNOW THAT AND PEOPLE HAVE DIED -- AT WHAT POINT DOES IT BECOME CRIMINAL? THAT THEY HAVE A DUTY TO BE COMPETENT AND THE DUTY TO KNOW. I THINK THAT UNDERNEATH THE ATTACK IS JUST A LITTLE BIT OF DERISION BECAUSE OUR NATIONAL SECURITY IS AT RISK.

QUESTION: THANK YOU. I'M THE CHAPTER LEADER FOR DELAWARE. LET ME REVISIT A COUPLE OF POINTS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT UP HERE. A PRESENTATION YOU HAVE GIVEN IS FANTASTIC, BUT I FEEL IT ONLY TALKS ABOUT ONE-HALF OF THE EQUATION. AN EARLIER QUESTION TOUCHED ON THE OTHER HALF. THAT IS FINANCES. I DID A LITTLE RESEARCH AND JUST IN SOVEREIGN FUNDS ALONE CONTROLLED BY ISLAMIC NATIONS, THERE IS OVER \$2 TRILLION. WHEN WE THINK OF OUR UNIVERSITIES LIKE HARVARD BASICALLY SELLING ITS SOUL FOR \$20 MILLION. OKAY. MY WIFE HAD A KIDNEY PROBLEM. WE WENT TO THE JOHNS HOPKINS MEDICAL INSTITUTE. LO AND BEHOLD A BILLION DOLLAR DONATION TO THAT MEDICAL INSTITUTE. OKAY FOR THIS IVORY TOWERS. THE POINT IS WE ARE BEING -- THERE'S A FINANCIAL WAR AS MUCH AS AN ISLAMIC WAR, AND WE HAVE TO REALIZE WHAT WE'RE UP AGAINST IN THAT. WE'RE ACTUALLY FINANCING THE PEOPLE WHO ARE WAGING THE WAR AGAINST US, AND SO WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT GOING TO LEGISLATIVE STUFF. WHAT ABOUT DEALING WITH THE FINANCIAL FACT THAT WE ARE FINANCING THE ENEMY TO THE TUNE OF TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS?

COUGHLIN: WELL, I THINK ONE OF THE REASONS I'M PUSHING INTO THE MODEL AS A WAY OF GETTING THIS YOU HAVE THE SPLINTERS. YOU HAVE GOVERNMENT ACTION. YOU HAVE RADICAL ACTION. YOU HAVE MODERATE ACTION AND THEY PLAY OFF EACH OTHER.

BUT I THINK THAT WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, THE REASON I IDENTIFY THE MODEL IS BECAUSE WHEN YOU HAVE REALIZED THEY'RE OPERATING. ONCE YOU RECOGNIZE THE BROTHERHOOD IN AMERICA AND THE LARGER MODEL ARE OPERATING ON ALL FIVE LINES OF OPERATION IN A INSURGENCY MODEL, THEN YOU KNOW YOU HAVE A FINANCE MODEL.

YOU KNOW THEY'RE OPERATING SOMEWHERE WHERE THEY HAVE THESE THINGS GOING ON. YOU KNOW, AND, YOU KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE KNOW THAT IF SOMETHING HAPPENS AND THE E MONOIF IT HAS ISLAMIC SOCIETY IN IT IT'S ALMOST ALWAYS A BROTHERHOOD MOSQUE.

THEY'LL BRING POLITICIANS OVER AND IN THE CONTEXT OF HAVING THE POLITICIANS OVER, THEY'LL EXECUTE THE SHOULDER-TO-SHOULDER CAMPAIGN. ANYBODY HEAR SHOULDER-TO-SHOULDER? IT'S A MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD OPERATION THEY BRING IN A PRIEST, MINISTER, AND RABBI. OKAY. HERE IT IS. YOU, THE LOWLY CATHOLIC, THE LOWLY JEW, THE EVANGELICAL GOES IN TO CHALLENGE THE IMAM IS GOING TO ANSWER IT BUT THEY TARGET YOU AS THE JEW SO THEY HAVE THE RABBI SLAB YOU DOWN OR IDENTIFIED AS AN EVANGELICAL AND THE EVANGELICAL MINISTER WILL SLAM YOU DOWN.

HOW DO PEOPLE SEE THIS? SO LICENSE OF OPERATION. IT'S NOT JUST YOU HAVE THE FINANCIAL LINE OF OPERATION. IT IS HOW IS IT THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD GOT EVERY MAIN STREAM RELIGIOUS NOMINATION IN AMERICA. WE'LL TAKE A FEW HITS HERE WHERE THEY DIDN'T TO BACK THEM AGAINST THEIR OWN BELIEVERS. ALMOST ALL THE TIME. THAT DID NOT JUST HAPPEN.

I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND WHERE YOU GET BUFFETTED IN A PLACE YOU DIDN'T EXPECT, THAT TELLS YOU THAT YOUR ENEMY SAW THIS HAPPENING 15 OR 20 YEARS AGO WHEN THEY STARTED BUILDING UP THAT CAPACITY. AND, OF COURSE, WHAT THEY DID IS CO-OPED AND TOOK OVER THE INNER FACE MOVEMENT.

QUESTION: THANK YOU FOR COMING. ON THE SLIDE ON THE THREE SPLINTERS COMING OUT OF THE SHARIA. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE IOC AND DAWA.

COUGHLIN: THE IOC IS THE SECOND LARGEST GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION. IT'S NOT EVERY MEMBER STATE. IT'S WHAT THE OIC DOES BY ITSELF AND THREE COUNTRIES STAND OUT SAUDI ARABIA, PAKISTAN, AND TURKEY. AND, YOU KNOW, I GOT A BOOK WRITTEN BY THE BROTHERHOOD IN THE '80s TALKING ABOUT THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD WORKING WITH THE OIC. IT TURNS OUT TURKEY WAS WORKING WITH THEM. THEY REPRESENT THE STATE ACTION. IF I BRIEF A GOVERNMENT CENTER, I DON'T SAY WHO IS THE OIC, I HAVE PEOPLE SAY THAT'S THE OFFICER IN CHARGE.

WHAT THE OIC IN 1991 CAME UP WITH SOMETHING CALLED THE CAIRO DECLARATION ON THE HUMAN RIGHTS IN ISLAM. HOW MANY HAVE HEARD OF THAT? THAT'S WHERE THE OIC DECLARED WHEN A MUSLIM ENTITY SAYS HUMAN RIGHTS THEY MEAN SHARIA LAW AND NOTHING ELSE BUT. SO WHEN CARE CALLS ITSELF AMERICA'S HUMAN RIGHTS GROUP, DOESN'T THAT MATTER?

WHEN THE OIC IN 1999 CAME UP WITH THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON COMBATTING TERRORISM OR THE FIRST TWO BULLETS IS TERRORISM ACCORDING TO ISLAMIC LAW, WHAT DOES NOT QUALIFY ISLAMIC LAW? WHAT DOES NOT QUALIFY AS ISLAMIC LAW. JIHAD. YOU HAVE A STATE ACTOR,

THE OIC. OUR PEOPLE DON'T KNOW IT EXISTS AND THEY'RE PUTTING TREATIES IN THE UNITED NATIONS THAT REDEFINE CORE DOCTRINES.

THE DAWA ENTITY IS THE PREPARATION STAGE. IT'S THE PLACE WHERE THEY TRY TO CONVERT OR BRING OVER. IT'S ALSO MORE BETTER UNDERSTOOD, ESPECIALLY WITH THE BROTHERHOOD MISSION AS A SUBVERSION PHASE. THE PENETRATION PHASE.

WHY DOES THE BROTHERHOOD SAY THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE VIOLENT? BECAUSE WHEN THEY WANT TO BE VIOLENT THEY CREATE A SPLINTER TO BECOME VIOLENT. THEY OPERATE IN THE PREPARATION STAGE. AND, OF COURSE, GROUPS LIKE AL QAEDA AND ISIS. I SHOULD POINT OUT IT DISTINGUISHES THEM IN SOME DEGREE FROM THE BROTHERHOOD IN THAT REGARD. WHAT YOU HAVE IS IF WE UNDERSTAND ALL THREE OF THOSE GROUPS ALL AGREE THEIR GOAL IS REESTABLISH THE CALL FIGHT AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SHARIA LAW WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY'RE PAYING OFF EACH OTHER IS A COMMON UNDERSTANDING OF THAT.

QUESTION: ALL OF THAT IS EXPLAINED IN YOUR BOOK. "CATASTROPHIC FAILURE." THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THIS. THANK YOU TO OUR CHAPTER LEADER WHO BRINGS IN SPEAKERS LIKE YOU TO KEEP US INFORMED. ONE SENTENCE HERE, ONE QUOTE YOU USE "WHEN A FOREIGN POWER CONTROLS A COUNTRY'S SPEECH WILL ULTIMATELY CONTROL ITS THOUGHT." BUT I JUST WANT TO -- I HAVE THE SAME QUESTION AS . . .

COUGHLIN: CAN I STOP YOU?

YEAH.

COUGHLIN: HOW MANY PEOPLE WORRIED THEY MIGHT BE THROWN INTO JAIL FOR SAYING SOMETHING AT THIS CONFERENCE THEY HAD A RIGHT TO SAY TODAY NEXT YEAR? HOW MANY PEOPLE? IS THAT NOT WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT?

QUESTION: YEAH. I'M WORRIED ABOUT THAT BECAUSE -- BUT I WANT TO ASK THE SAME QUESTION SOMEONE ASKED BEFORE. DO YOU HAVE ANY TRACK TO TRUMP'S CAMPAIGN. IS HE LEARNING WHAT YOU HAVE TO TELL US?

COUGHLIN: I KEEP ANYTHING POLITICAL I'M DOING PRIVATE. I DO RUN A 501 C 3 SO I HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT. YOU KNOW, I WAS IN CALIFORNIA ABOUT TEN DAYS AGO AND GIVING A SPEECH TO A GROUP, AND SOMEBODY, I THINK, PAM GELLER GAVE A SPEECH AND SHE MADE A POINT AND I WAS, YOU KNOW, FOLLOWING PAM GELLER. THIS IS WHERE PEOPLE GET COFFEE, RIGHT. THE QUESTION ABOUT TRUMP CAME UP. OF COURSE, IN THE DISCUSSION YOU HAD ALL THESE SUPER PURE CONSERVATIVES WHO ARE SO CONSERVATIVE. THEY CAN'T GET PAST THEMSELVES. I THINK THE POINT IS TRUMP IS NOT A CONSERVATIVE. AND I SAID TO PEOPLE HE IS A NATIONALIST. YOU CAN SENSE THAT'S IN HIS DNA. I THREW OUT A LINE AND GOT A GOOD BUZZ. BUT I SAID, LISTEN, TRUMP'S VICTORY MAY NOT BE YOUR VICTORY, BUT YOU BETTER UNDERSTAND THIS. HIS DEFEAT IS YOUR DEFEAT.

[APPLAUSE]

THAT'S WHAT PEOPLE NEED TO KNOW. AND I WILL TELL YOU, I SAW WHAT HAPPENED WITH TRUMP WITH THE KHAN THING, AND MY MIND SWEEPED RIGHT BACK TO WHAT HAPPENED TO MICHELE BACHMANN. I SAID THAT WAS A REPLAY. THE DEMOCRATS SAY SOMETHING AND THE REPUBLICAN LEADERSHIP IN LOCK STEP GO AFTER ONE OF THEIR OWN. YOU KNOW WHAT. UNTIL PEOPLE

RECOGNIZE THAT LITTLE RELATIONSHIP, I DON'T RECOGNIZE THEIR RIGHT TO GO AFTER THE DEMOCRATS.

[APPLAUSE]

I DON'T BELONG TO A PARTY AND THAT'S NOT A POLITICAL ENDORSEMENT. THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

[APPLAUSE]