

unconstrained
analytics

in a struggle, analysis must be unconstrained by preconceptions

“Countering Violent Extremism” 101: A Program Collapsing Into Absurdity

By Patrick Poole
June 20, 2016

In February 2015, President Obama hosted a three-day summit on “Countering Violent Extremism” (CVE) that featured a roll-out of three local programs in Boston, Minneapolis, and Los Angeles. This continued CVE efforts by the Obama administration going back to 2011.

But nearly a year and a half from the White House Summit on Countering Violent Extremism,¹ the programs are now admittedly a complete failure—and publicly rejected by elements of the very communities they intend to serve.

Even at the time of the summit, the Obama administration’s CVE programs had already been deemed a failure.²

These programs are also a practical failure in preventing violent extremism. In April, the Associated Press reported on one Somali youth leader in Minneapolis associated with government-funded CVE programs who later attempted to join the Islamic State.³

Remarkably, as the Obama CVE programs are in complete meltdown, Republican leaders such as Rep. Mike McCaul,⁴ chairman of the House Homeland Security Committee, and conservative organizations such as the Heritage Foundation⁵ are openly embracing Obama’s CVE agenda—and even calling for its expansion following the recent terror attack in Orlando.⁶

1 The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, “FACT SHEET: The White House Summit on Countering Violent Extremism,” Feb. 18, 2015; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/02/18/fact-sheet-white-house-summi-countering-violent-extremism>

2 Michael Crowley, “No Answer for Homegrown Terrorism?” *Politico*, Jan. 8, 2015; <http://www.politico.com/story/2015/01/homegrown-terrorism-obama-administration-114100>

3 Amy Forliti, “Surrounded by Positives, Young Somali Chose Islamic State,” *Associated Press*, Apr. 9, 2016; <http://bigstory.ap.org/article/5e567bc68a5847fc82d4f02ebd492f3e/surrounded-positives-young-somali-chose-islamic-state>

4 House Homeland Security Committee, “McCaul Leads Government Efforts to Counter Violent Extremism,” July 9, 2015; <https://homeland.house.gov/press/mccaul-leads-government-efforts-counter-violent-extremism/>

5 Josh Sielgel, “Houston’s Muslim-Led Plan to Protect the Homeland,” *The Daily Signal*, Apr. 17, 2016; <http://dailysignal.com/2016/04/17/houstons-muslim-led-plan-to-protect-the-homeland/>

6 House Homeland Security Committee, “Leader McCarthy and Chairman McCaul Announce Counterterrorism Legislation,” June 14, 2016; <https://homeland.house.gov/press/leader-mccarthy-chairman-mccaul-announce-counterterrorism-legislation/>

After a recent string of attacks on their fellow citizens by Islamic radicals, including Wednesday’s massacre in Paris by a pair of French nationals, critics complain that the plan [to prevent violent extremism] has been halfheartedly implemented, produced bureaucratic turf fights, lacks funding, and does little to make Americans safer at a moment when the Islamic extremist message is more prevalent than ever.

—*Politico* article²

Kicking off their CVE programs in December 2011, the administration issued the "White House Strategic Implementation Plan for Empowering Local Partners to Prevent Violent Extremism," which articulated its goals:

To support our overarching goal of preventing violent extremists and their supporters from inspiring, radicalizing, financing, or recruiting individuals or groups in the United States to commit acts of violence, **the Federal Government is focused on three core areas of activity: (1) enhancing engagement with and support to local communities that may be targeted by violent extremists; (2) building government and law enforcement expertise for preventing violent extremism; and (3) countering violent extremist propaganda while promoting our ideals.**⁷

So Obama's own stated goals fall into three areas: 1) engagement; 2) training; and 3) counter-propaganda. In each of these areas, Obama's CVE programs have been a complete failure.

1. Engagement

Obama's CVE policies were developed in 2011 specifically at the demand of U.S. Muslim groups.⁸ Now, the very **same Islamic groups that demanded CVE are some of its loudest opponents.** They claim that the administration is promoting "Islamophobia" through their programs.

Just a few months after the February 2015 White House Summit, Islamic groups in Boston—one of the cities selected for funding local CVE programs—were openly attacking those policies:⁹

Islamic and civil rights groups in Boston and two other cities spoke out Thursday against a federal government initiative to counter violent extremism, saying it unfairly targets the nation's Muslim communities.

"There's no evidence programs like this are effective," said Liza Behrendt, organizing consultant for Jewish Voice for Peace, an anti-discrimination group. "It's a federal program that singles

Obama's CVE policies were developed in 2011 specifically at the demand of U.S. Muslim groups.

7 Executive Office of the President of the United States, "Strategic Implementation Plan for Empowering Local Partners to Prevent Violent Extremism in the United States," Dec. 2011, pp. 1-2; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/sip-final.pdf>

8 Patrick Poole, "57 Top U.S. Muslim Groups Demanded Government-Wide 'Islamophobia Purge' in Letter to White House," *PJ Media*, Sept. 25, 2012; <https://pjmedia.com/blog/57-top-u-s-muslim-groups-demanded-government-wide-is-lamophobia-purge-in-letter-to-white-house/>

9 Rosa Nguyen, "Civil Rights Groups Protest Federal Program to Combat Extremism, Saying It Targets Muslims," *Boston Globe*, Aug. 7, 2015; <https://www.bostonglobe.com/metro/2015/08/06/civil-rights-groups-protest-federal-program-combat-extremism-saying-targets-muslims/XefStmtvTujAyBr8Z8XWLJ/story.html>

out Muslim communities and reinforces false notions of the link between Islam and terrorism.”

Nadeem A. Mazen, a Cambridge city councilor, called the program “authoritarian.” He urged an alternative approach that would increase community engagement and community policing, rather than using “violent practices like surveillance and racial profiling.”

Shannon Erwin, cofounder of the Muslim Justice League, said the program could rely on false indicators to identify potential at-risk youths, targeting people who grow beards, express interest in foreign policy, and adhere to strict religious beliefs.

More recently, Islamic groups in Minneapolis denounced the administration’s CVE policies.¹⁰ Again, one of the three cities targeted by the White House for CVE funds:

A diverse group of leaders representing civil rights and religious organizations Tuesday called on Minnesotans to stand against Islamophobia **and oppose a federal anti-terror program.**

The leaders, who met at the State Office Building for an event organized by the local chapter of the Council on American-Islamic Relations, said they are concerned about recent anti-Muslim comments from presidential candidates and **the “negative impact” that the federal government’s Countering Violence Extremism program might have on the Muslim community.**¹¹

These engagement efforts targeting communities vulnerable to terrorist recruitment have been such a failure that National Public Radio had to recently report that even if the CVE programs aren’t effective, they somehow mystically still benefit these communities.¹²

2. Training

One of the first federal agencies to openly embrace the White House CVE initiative was the Defense Department.

Nearly two months before the White House Strategic Implementation Plan was issued, the Joint Chiefs of Staff issued an October 14, 2011 memorandum

¹⁰ Mukhtar Ibrahim, “Local Leaders Speak Against Islamophobia, Federal Anti-Terror Program,” *MPR News*, Apr. 5, 2016; <http://www.mprnews.org/story/2016/04/05/local-leaders-against-islamophobia-cve-program>

¹¹ *Ibid.*

¹² “Whether It Works Or Not, U.S. Anti-Radicalization Plan Can Benefit Communities,” National Public Radio, Apr. 3, 2016; <http://www.npr.org/2016/04/03/472844122/whether-it-works-or-not-u-s-anti-radicalization-plan-can-benefit-communities>

These engagement efforts targeting communities vulnerable to terrorist recruitment have been such a failure that National Public Radio had to recently report that even if the CVE programs aren’t effective, they somehow mystically still benefit these communities.

directing all elements of the DOD to screen CVE trainers and speakers.¹³ This was specifically tasked to the service academies and other academic centers, including the Joint Special Operations University and the National Intelligence Defense University. Particular emphasis for this new undefined and secretive CVE screening process targeting "Military Information Support Operations, Information Operations, and Military Intelligence curriculum."

But at the time, some warned that the administration's "purge"¹⁴ targeting both counter-terrorism training and instructors was based on highly partisan reporting,¹⁵ and that the DOD's efforts would lead to a blinding of the foremost elements of the U.S. government conducting the War on Terror.¹⁶ Unable to define the enemy, the U.S. would wander aimlessly, fighting an unending war against an ever-shifting opponent.

Those predictions of the Pentagon's intentional blinding of its war-fighting units has proved catastrophically true.

In late 2014, the *New York Times* reported that Major Gen. Michael Nagata, then-head of Special Operations Command Central, had held a series of conference calls attempting to understand why the Islamic State had grown so dangerous:

Trying to decipher this complex enemy—a hybrid terrorist organization and a conventional army—is such a conundrum that General Nagata assembled an unofficial brain trust outside the traditional realms of expertise within the Pentagon, State Department and intelligence agencies, in search of fresh ideas and inspiration. Business professors, for example, are examining the Islamic State's marketing and branding strategies.¹⁷

Unable to define the enemy, the U.S. would wander aimlessly, fighting an unending war against an ever-shifting opponent.

13 Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, "MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR, JOINT STAFF: Request for Joint Staff Coordination," Oct. 14, 2011; <http://dailycaller.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/Joint-Staff-Action-Trainers.pdf>

14 Patrick Poole, "A Detailed Look at 'The Purge' of U.S. Counter-Terrorism Training by the Obama Administration," *The Blaze*, Mar. 26, 2014; <http://www.theblaze.com/blog/2014/03/26/a-detailed-look-at-the-purge-of-u-s-counter-terrorism-training-by-the-obama-administration/>

15 J.E. Dyer, "Pentagon Memo Fishing for Counterterrorism Training Standards Leaned Heavily on WIRED Reporter's Assertions," *The Daily Caller*, Nov. 30, 2011; <http://dailycaller.com/2011/11/30/pentagon-memo-fishing-for-counterterrorism-training-standards-leaned-heavily-on-wired-reporters-assertions/>

16 Westminster Institute, "White House Review Threatens Counter-Terrorism Operations," Nov. 28, 2011; <http://www.westminster-institute.org/articles/white-house-review-threatens-counter-terrorism-operations/>

17 Eric Schmitt, "In Battle to Defang ISIS, U.S. Targets its Psychology," *New York Times*, Dec. 28, 2014, p. A1; <http://www.nytimes.com/2014/12/29/us/politics/in-battle-to-defang-isis-us-targets-its-psychology-.html>

In the midst of these discussions, Gen. Nagata issued this damning indictment on how the Obama administration's CVE policies had blinded the very tip of the American war-fighting spear:

"We do not understand the movement, and until we do, we are not going to defeat it," he said, according to the confidential minutes of a conference call he held with the experts. **"We have not defeated the idea. We do not even understand the idea."**¹⁸

Having intentionally purged the DOD's training of any ability to define the enemy, America's top warriors admit they have lost any ability to identify, and then defeat, the enemy.

3. Counter-Propaganda

On September 8, 2011, Obama signed Executive Order 13584,¹⁹ which led to the establishment of the State Department's Center for Strategic Counterterrorism Communications [CSCC]²⁰ to counter terrorist propaganda.

But not even five years after the fact, the program has suffered chronic leadership changes and a string of public embarrassments in the face of the growing international terrorist threat from the Islamic State and other terrorist groups.

As a consequence, **the State Department has closed the CSCC** in the face of widespread failure, and now the U.S. government must delegate these vital tasks to **foreign** organizations.

Among the many embarrassing CSCC episodes was a graphic video they produced called "Welcome to ISIS Land"²¹ that featured severed heads, corpses, and crucifixions interspersed with messages directed at would-be ISIS recruits about the grisly skills they would need to acquire.²² The CSCC director—a long time U.S. diplomat—was promptly replaced.

"We do not understand the movement, and until we do, we are not going to defeat it. We have not defeated the idea. We do not even understand the idea."

— Major Gen. Michael Nagata, head of Special Operations Command Central in 2014

18 *Ibid.*

19 The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, "Executive Order 13584 – Developing an Integrated Strategic Counterterrorism Communications Initiative," Sept. 9, 2011; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/09/09/executive-order-13584-developing-integrated-strategic-counterterrorism-c>

20 U.S. State Department, "Center for Strategic Communications," n.d.; <https://web.archive.org/web/20160308140734/http://www.state.gov/r/csc/>

21 Greg Miller and Scott Higham, "In a Propaganda War Against ISIS, the U.S. Tried to Play by the Enemy's Rules," *Washington Post*, May 8, 2015; https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/in-a-propaganda-war-us-tried-to-play-by-the-enemys-rules/2015/05/08/6eb6b732-e52f-11e4-81ea-0649268f729e_story.html

22 Anne Gearan, "U.S. Attempts to Combat Islamic State Propaganda," *Washington Post*, Sept. 7, 2014; https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/us-attempts-to-combat-islamic-state-propaganda/2014/09/07/c0283cea-3534-11e4-9f4d-24103cb8b742_story.html

Another CSCC effort was their "Think Again, Turn Away" program that pushed out counter-messaging via social media targeting potential ISIS recruits. The program's Twitter account would regularly "troll" ISIS adherents on Twitter.²³

But not long after the program was launched terrorism experts were openly lambasting the program,²⁴ including accusations that the CSCC's efforts were legitimizing terrorists.²⁵

Another difficulty was that the actual penetration of their social media efforts barely touched the potential terror recruits they were trying to influence. For example, when one well-known pro-ISIS Twitter user, Shami Witness, was arrested, the Soufan Group compared the Twitter followers of Shami Witness and the Think Again Turn Away account—nearly 40,000 followers between the two accounts—and found that **they only shared FIVE followers**.²⁶



Amreeki Witness, thrilled to be noticed by the U.S. Government, and given a stage on which to launch radical jihadist views toward Think Again Turn Away's thousands of followers, provided a long series of rebuttals, some of which linking to form lengthy attacks.

—Time magazine article²⁴

23 Asawin Suebsaeng, "The State Department is Actively Trolling Terrorists on Twitter," *Mother Jones*, Mar. 5, 2014; <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2014/02/state-department-cscc-troll-terrorists-twitter-think-again-turn-away>

24 Rita Katz, "The State Department's Twitter War With ISIS is Embarrassing," *TIME*, Sept. 16, 2014; <http://time.com/3387065/isis-twitter-war-state-department/>

25 Adam Edelman, "State Department's 'Embarrassing' Campaign Legitimizes Terrorists: Expert," *New York Daily News*, Sept. 16, 2014; <http://www.nydailynews.com/news/politics/state-department-embarrassing-turn-twitter-campaign-legitimizes-terrorists-expert-article-1.1941990>

26 The Soufan Group, Dec. 16, 2014; <https://twitter.com/TheSoufanGroup/status/544864503549427712>

In the comments to that tweet, some users revealed that they were among the five followers that overlapped—and they weren't even recruiting targets, but terrorism researchers or academics.

In February 2015, Obama's envoy to the Muslim world, Rashad Hussein, was appointed to lead the CSCC.²⁷ Yet by year's end, a State Department panel of experts concluded that the CSCC's efforts were not effective, and questioned whether the U.S. government should be involved in counter-propaganda at all.²⁸

Rashad Hussein was promptly reassigned to the Justice Department and the CSCC shut down.

In January 2016, a new effort, the Center for Global Engagement, was launched, but with little prospect that rebranding their efforts will be more effective.²⁹

So the Obama administration's counter-propaganda efforts were not only unsuccessful, but found to be so harmful and counter-productive that they had to be shut down and replaced.

Now, most of the U.S. government's counter-propaganda efforts have been outsourced to the Sewab Center in Abu Dhabi,³⁰ and the continued in-country counter-propaganda efforts of the Obama administration now have to be characterized as "ninja" by officials because they are so unseen (and therefore, unmeasurable).³¹

The Obama administration's counter-propaganda efforts were not only unsuccessful, but found to be so harmful and counter-productive that they had to be shut down and replaced.

27 U.S. Department of State, Office of the Spokesperson, "Appointment of Rashad Hussain as United States Special Envoy and Coordinator for Strategic Counterterrorism Communications," Feb. 18, 2015; <http://www.state.gov/r/pa/prs/ps/2015/02/237585.htm>

28 Greg Miller, "Panel Casts Doubt on U.S. Propaganda Efforts Against ISIS," *Washington Post*, Dec. 2, 2015; https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/panel-casts-doubt-on-us-propaganda-efforts-against-isis/2015/12/02/ab7f9a14-9851-11e5-94f0-9eeaff906ef3_story.html

29 Greg Miller, "Obama Administration Plans Shake-Up in Propaganda War Against ISIS," *Washington Post*, Jan. 8, 2016; https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/obama-administration-plans-shake-up-in-propaganda-war-against-the-islamic-state/2016/01/08/d482255c-b585-11e5-a842-0feb51d1d124_story.html

30 Adam Schreck, "US, UAE Launch Anti-IS Online Messaging Center in Abu Dhabi," *Associated Press*, Jul. 8, 2015; <http://bigstory.ap.org/article/d3f1c9942d-f94a40a71071ec8aca2cde/us-uae-launch-anti-online-messaging-center-abu-dhabi>

31 Kimberly Dozier, "Anti-ISIS Propaganda Czar's Ninja War Plan: We Were Never Here," *The Daily Beast*, Mar. 15, 2016; <http://www.thedailybeast.com/articles/2016/03/15/obama-s-new-anti-isis-czar-wants-to-use-algorithms-to-target-jihadis.html>

CVE: A Catastrophic Failure

By the three key areas of activity established by the December 2011 White House Strategic Implementation Plan—namely community engagement, counter-terrorism training, and counter-propaganda—all of the CVE efforts have been at best ineffective, and at worst an aid to the enemy.


Meanwhile, reports about the dismal performance of their local CVE programs announced at the White House Summit in February 2015 continue to emerge.³² The whole CVE industry of government, academics, and community groups has devolved into farce, as noted recently following the “CVE Summit” held in Washington D.C.³³

This has transpired as the domestic and global terror threat continues to grow. The Obama administration demonstrates time and again that it is not up to the task of protecting Americans from those threats. Solutions to these problems are undoubtedly out there, but they will continue to be crowded-out and dismissed by the “experts” as long as the absurdity of CVE continues.

All of the CVE efforts have been at best ineffective, and at worst an aid to the enemy.

32 Philip Marcelo, “Effort in 3 Cities to Combat Extremism Off to Slow Start,” *Associated Press*, Mar. 24, 2016; <http://bigstory.ap.org/article/6a4063c-3de964717bc537213f4c41b31/effort-3-us-cities-combat-extremism-slow-start>

33 Patrick Poole, “U.S.-Backed CVE Symposium Exposes Farce of ‘Countering Violent Extremism’ Programs,” *PJ Media*, Apr. 6, 2016; <https://pjmedia.com/home-land-security/2016/04/06/us-un-backed-cvesymposium-exposes-farce-of-countering-violent-extremism-programs/?singlepage=true>



Unconstrained Analytics is a 501(c)3 dedicated to analysis of evidence unconstrained by preconceptions and biases. In the War on Terror, this includes thorough analysis of an enemy's threat doctrine unconstrained by bias, preconceptions and influence operations coming from the same.

Unconstrained Analytics
1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 27307
Washington, DC 20038

*unconstrained*analytics.org