

unconstrained
analytics

in a struggle, analysis must be unconstrained by preconceptions

U.S. Domestic Security Estimate October 2020: Assessment and Update of the 2019 Estimate of the Situation



U.S. Domestic Security Estimate October 2020: Assessment and Update of the 2019 Estimate of the Situation

An Unconstrained Analytics Report

©2020 Unconstrained Analytics, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Unconstrained Analytics is a 501(c)3 dedicated to analysis of evidence unconstrained by preconceptions and biases. This includes thorough analysis of an enemy's threat doctrine unconstrained by bias, preconceptions and influence operations coming from the same.

Unconstrained Analytics
1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 27307
Washington, DC 20038

unconstrainedanalytics.org

Introduction

In February 2019, [Unconstrained Analytics, Inc](https://unconstrainedanalytics.org) released “Re-Remembering the Mis-Remembered Left: The Left’s [Strategy and Tactics](#) to Transform America” [“*Strategy & Tactics*”]. Part II of *Strategy and Tactics* provided an extensive **Estimate of the Situation**.

This **U.S Domestic Security Estimate, October 2020** will assess and update the 2019 Estimate. *Strategy & Tactics* defined the American left in Marxist terms, explained that it operates along Maoist Political Warfare lines, and **warned of its building capacity to execute classic mass line attacks to attack citizens and destroy America**. This assessment validates the original estimate. The same events that validated the original estimate also demand that it be updated. What was written as warning is now a crisis. Leading into the 2020 year, from:

- The Republican leadership legitimized by acceptance the star chamber tactics the Democratic Party used as the process for undertaking formal **impeachment** proceedings signaling its full cooptation by Marxist narratives at the expense of Constitutional principles and the rule of law;
- To the imposition of rehearsed mass line enforcement narratives – under cover of protecting the population from an **epidemic** based on failed epidemiological models, leading to the suspension of citizens’ Constitutional, due process and economic rights;
- The suppression of high-value American doctrines on protected **speech** whenever that speech violates internationally enforced speech cannons influenced by international organizations under Marxist influence and leadership;
- That set the conditions for coordinated and broadly deployed mass line attacks directed at citizens and property;
- Facilitated by the political leadership, **in both parties**, either by acts of commission or omission that allow it to sustain.


What was written as warning is now a crisis.



Most of the events we see today were foreseeable and foreseen. For example;

Defeating the Islamic Movement included identifying Black Lives Matter as a Marxist organization in formal alignment with the Islamic Movement for the purpose of “staging a revolution.”


- Prior to **Strategy & Tactics**, the 2016 [Defeating the Islamic Movement Inside the United States: A Strategic Plan, Unconstrained Analytics, Inc](#) identified political warfare as the mechanism the Islamic Movement adopted to align its objectives with Neo-Marxist objectives in a united front effort. **Defeating the Islamic Movement** included identifying **Black Lives Matter** as a Marxist organization in formal alignment with the Islamic Movement for the purpose of “staging a revolution.” Upon President Trump’s surprise election in 2016, the report highlighted CAIR leadership using Muslim Brotherhood language signaling revolution when declaring “the people want to topple the regime.” The parallels of events today in the United States when compared to the “Arab Spring” color revolution should not be overlooked



- As part of the July 2019 update, [Strategy & Tactics](#) added the textbox **“A Political Warfare Template to Understanding Current Events”**, warning that Democratic leaders engaging in Marxist revolutionary rhetoric would force them into engaging in revolutionary behavior at some later point in time. With candidate Biden dabbling in the rhetoric of revolution with comments like, “let’s start a real physical revolution,” the Democratic party leadership institutionalized the revolutionary rhetoric signaling the escalation of language that leads to escalation of violence. The violence ensued. The abuse of language then resulted in the abuse of power today.

The Democratic party leadership institutionalized the revolutionary rhetoric signaling the escalation of language that leads to escalation of violence. The violence ensued.

In November 2019, [Warning on Racism](#) opened with the warning: **“There are indicators that the Left will initiate a strategic information effort leveraging the full range of political warfare activities to delegitimize the United States. The effort will pivot off the upcoming election cycle and include intimidation and violence.”**





Physically isolated, the targeted public was prevented from sharing their skepticism and disbelief about the appropriateness of the measures imposed on them.

- Alarmed by the actions taken under color of protecting the population from the COVID19 epidemic in March 2020, **UA, Inc** released “[Formation of a COVID19 Red Team](#)” and “[Narrative Dominance in COVID19 Reporting](#).” **UA Inc** raised concerns that actions and narratives associated with C19 restrictions were severable from C19 and should be assessed that way. Of paramount concern, **UA Inc** pointed out that the “dominant narrative” on C19 follows mass line enforcement tendencies; that social media continues to enforce United Nations and WHO speech standards hostile to American protected speech doctrines; and that the UN and WHO are led by Marxists.
- In early May 2020, “[McChrystal, Information Warfare and Trump](#)” called out a former SOCOM Commander for using actual Information Warfare trade-craft to undermine a serving president. McChrystal’s activities reflect a disturbing pattern in which retired senior generals leverage their military expertise in the domestic political arena.
- In later May, “[Requiem for Minnesota – When a State becomes a Counter-State](#)” was socialized as the Floyd incident occurred in Minneapolis. Using political warfare metrics, **Requiem** explained why the Left’s escalation to violence would begin in Minnesota and warned of its immanence.
- The fit between the political warfare perspectives used to assess current events and their ongoing ability to sustain accurate predictive forecasting validates the process. It also explains the necessity of updating the original Estimate to reflect today’s realities moving forward.
- **The situation is concerning.** Concerns regarding C19 actions and narratives have borne out. **C19 narratives have proven to be arbitrary, extra-legal, and imposed by fiat on the American people. By design, they continue to suppress freedoms, liberties and the rule of law.** Within the envelope created by C19 narratives, mass line violence was unleashed on the American public along the lines forecast. Physically isolated, the targeted public was prevented from sharing their skepticism and disbelief about the appropriateness of the measures imposed on them. Therefore, beyond physical isolation, they were alone and isolated in their thinking which resulted in the inability to publicly question and oppose what was being done to them in the name of public health. In that same period, the BLM/Antifa base, was supercharged and prepared.
- Pivoting off Floyd’s death, BLM and Antifa launched a classic mass line attack that staggered the country using a weaponized variation of the

Marxist “Intersectionality” [[watch UA video on this](#)] narrative fronted by BLM. Within days of Floyd’s death, large scale Floyd-designated riots materialized, but not just in Minneapolis, but also in Washington, DC, New York City, and even London, Berlin, and Sidney among others. **This suggests international level synchronization and command and control which also suggests unified operational planning and execution from local, to national, to international.**

- **Omission – The Dogs that Don’t Bark.** Among the elements of political warfare enumerated in **Strategy & Tactics** is the role establishment Republicans play in the context of a controlled opposition. Silence in the face of C19 restrictions—even when those restrictions fail to demonstrate a reasonable nexus to the epidemiological threat while at the same time effectively suppressing rights and freedoms remains an indicator—and a deep concern.

Even more concerning, however, is the **Republican’s sustained silence in the face of organized mass line street violence.** Whether it’s [Senator Rubio](#) adopting the “peaceful protest” narrative or the [Heritage Foundation President](#) conforming to the mass line narrative requirements, “racism in America is a fatal wound,” **the political warfare effort proceeded with the assurance that it would face no real resistance from Republicans.**

Actually, the Left was re-assured. The initial indicator that Republicans would fold into mass line narratives was confirmed when they accepted the Democratic leadership’s jarringly extra-legal star chamber tactics to impeach the president.

The updated **Estimate of the Situation** reflects the changed realities of the Left successfully imposing its will on American institutions, governance, and the people. It has successfully maneuvered this election cycle into a classic Marxist binary [[watch UA video on this](#)] that **seeks the delegitimization and destruction of America.** As Marxists would say, ‘America is a contradiction in need of resolution.’ Or, as Marcuse might say, ‘America is the negatively tolerant that must be negated by as many acts of liberating tolerance as it takes.’

The immediate worldwide riots after Floyd's death suggests international level synchronization and command and control.

The Left's political warfare effort proceeded with the assurance that it would face no real resistance from Republicans.

■ **NOTE:** 2020 new indicators, information and updated are shown as this shaded box in this report.

Re-Remembering the Mis-Remembered Left: The Left's Strategy and Tactics To Transform America[©]

PART II (Estimate of the Situation) Political Warfare; the Maoist Insurgency Model

A Concept Primer: The Counter-State and Political Warfare

Marxism flows through the Maoist political warfare model. Just as the dialectical engine of Marx seeks an operational plan, the Maoist Insurgency seeks a strategic design that infuses as it informs. They are intrinsic to each other's nature. As Marcuse recognized, Mao executed Marx's strategy.

Mao Zedong, along with the leadership cadre of the early Chinese Communist Party, was classically trained along Marxist-Leninist lines. Lenin created the Communist International (the Comintern) in Moscow in 1919,¹ a Comintern agent showed up in China in 1920, the Communist Party of China was formed in 1921;² and the Chinese Party's Third National Congress elected Mao Zedong to the Central Executive Committee and the Central Bureau in 1923.³

In the early 1920s, key Chinese Communist Party leadership cadre formed cells in Paris from which Zhou Enlai made frequent visits to Berlin to meet with the

Just as the dialectical engine of Marx seeks an operational plan, the Maoist Insurgency seeks a strategic design that infuses as it informs.

¹ Zhou Enlai, "[The Communist International and the Chinese Communist Party](#), July 14 and 15, 1960, from the *Selected Works of Zhou Enlai*, Foreign Languages Press, Beijing 1989 First Edition 1989, Vol. II, pp. 306-19, 306, Marx2Mao; "The Communist International, also known as the Third International and the Comintern, was founded in Moscow in March 1919 under the leadership of Lenin. The Chinese Communist Party joined the International in 1922. In May 1943 the Presidium of the Executive Committee of the International adopted a resolution proposing the dissolution of the organization, and in June it was formally dissolved."

² Anna M. Cienciala, "[The Chinese Revolution and Chinese Communism to 1949](#)," *The Communist Nations Since 1917*, History Lecture Notes, University of Kansas, 1999.

³ "[Mao Zedong](#)," *China Daily*, 2007; "In 1923, he attended the Third CPC National Congress at which he was elected into the Central Executive Committee of the CPC, thus becoming involved in the central leadership;" and "[Mao Zedong](#)," China.org.cn, undated; "In June 1923 he attended the Third National Congress of the CPC and was elected member of the Central Executive Committee and the Central Bureau and secretary."

Maoist methodology can be described as synchronized violent and non-violent actions, which Mao called “political warfare.”

Comintern leadership.⁴ The Chinese Communist Party relations with the Comintern were generally amicable. When the Comintern was disbanded in 1943, Mao reflected on its great utility in the early days.⁵


Maoist Concept of Political Warfare

Maoist methodology is described as synchronized violent and non-violent actions, which Mao called “political warfare.” This approach **envisions the direct use of non-violent operational arts and tactics as elements of combat power.**⁶ Political warfare operates as one of the five components of an activity undertaken by the “counter-state.”

In Maoist insurgencies, **the counter-state is essential to seizing state power.**⁷ Functioning as a competing state within an existing state, it is complete with an alternate infrastructure, which “tightly controls and regulates the insurgency, recruiting manpower from a wide variety of strata.”⁸

Nowhere was this more dramatically indicated than when the Mayor of Minneapolis, the Minnesota State Attorney General, and the Minnesota Governor sanctioned mass line violence, and later with the Left’s physical occupations of Seattle and Portland.

The Narrative in Political Warfare Form – How Dialectical Narratives Synchronize with Insurgency Pyramids



⁴ “Zhou Enlai,” Wikipedia, October 10, 2018. [Chinese communist cadre spent extensive time in a Paris commune with, including Zhou Enlai, Zhang Shenfu, Liu Quinyang, Zhao Shiyan and Chen Gongpei and later Cai Hesen, Li Lisan, Chen Yi, Nie Rongzhen, Deng Xiaoping and also Guo Longzhen. Zhou Enlai made many visits between Paris and Berlin, where the Comintern was located.]

⁵ Mao Tse-tung, “The Comintern has Long Ceased to Meddle in Our Internal Affairs,” Speech delivered May 26, 1943, Selected Works of Mao Tse-tung, Marxist Internet Archive. [Left the Comintern at its dissolution]

⁶ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People’s War in Post-Vietnam Asia*, Bangkok, White Lotus Press, 2007, 12.

⁷ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People’s War*, 2.

⁸ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People’s War*, 5.

To achieve the objectives set for the counter-state, Mao developed the concept of mass line along with five components: united fronts, violence, political warfare, international action and non-violence. Mass Line and the five components are listed below:

- **MASS LINE***: Organizing an **alternative society through the construction of clandestine infrastructure, that is, a counter-state**. In dialectical terms, if the state is thesis, then the counter-state is its anti-state. Local socio-economic grievances and aspirations are addressed by cadres, who then connect solutions to the party's political mechanism. As with all political action, **appeal to perceived needs** (not only grievances but also hopes and aspirations) is sought **in order to win allegiance for the purpose of mobilization**. The approach seeks a mass base. As noted, Marcuse centered America's Mass Line strategy in the academy.
- **UNITED FRONT***: Making **common cause with individuals and groups who share concerns but not necessarily party goals**. While armed political movement are capable of addressing perceived needs, this does not necessarily bring with it the momentum to overcome the natural fear of participating in what is, after all, an illegal, underground, and dangerous endeavor. "Fellow traveler" status (even if this status is concealed by the organization concerned) offers an alternative route that provides benefits to insurgencies in the form of **advancing legal, open organizations that swell the mass base**.⁹
- **VIOLENCE**: The new alternative society, existing as it does illegally and clandestinely, necessarily **relies upon armed action to maintain its security within and without**. The "liberation" struggle progresses through three phases. Initially, the revolutionary movement will be on the **defensive**, then it will seek to achieve **stalemate**, and finally, it will transition to the **offensive**. During each phase, a particular form of warfare drives the dynamic.
 - During the defensive stage, terror and guerrilla actions lead.
 - During the stalemate phase, mobile warfare (maneuver warfare) dominates. This phase will see insurgent "main force" units, equivalents to government formations, take the field but not to hold territory.
 - Then, in the final phase, offensive operations will seek the seizure of terrain, the so-called "war of position."¹⁰

* Mass Line, United Fronts, and related terms are associated with the political warfare lexicon

Mass line activities seek direct control of the information infrastructure of the United States, including the mainstream media and the educational infrastructure.

⁹ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People's War*, 7.

¹⁰ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People's War*, 7.

- **POLITICAL WARFARE:** Using **nonviolent methods as an adjunct to violence**; for example, participating in processes calculated to undermine the morale of enemy forces or offering to engage in negotiations. These methods can be implemented at the strategic, operational, or tactical levels of warfare. Unlike the united front, Mao conceived of political warfare as a force-multiplier. The united front is a line of operation unto itself.¹¹
- **INTERNATIONAL ACTION:** Although not as prominent an element during Mao's struggle as it became to his pupils, **international pressure upon the state, or in favor of the insurgents**, was recognized as an important element in the equation.¹²
- **NON-VIOLENCE:** The purpose of this line of effort is to grow the movement, increase allies through **information operations and agitprop**, etc., that synchronize with other direct and indirect action.

Mass line activities seek direct control of the information infrastructure of the United States, including the mainstream media and the educational infrastructure. Through this control, the Left can identify local grievances and package their solutions within narratives and lexicons that further their overall support from within the general population. Expressed as a united front activity, Mao recognized this back in 1944:

"To link oneself with the masses, one must act in accordance with the needs and wishes of the masses. All work done for the masses must start from their needs and not from the desire of any individual, however well intentioned. **It often happens that objectively the masses need a certain change, but subjectively they are not yet conscious of the need**, not yet willing or determined to make the change. In such cases, we should wait patiently. We should not make the change until, through our work, most of the masses have become conscious of the need and are willing and determined to carry it out. Otherwise we shall isolate ourselves from the masses. Unless they are conscious and willing, any kind of work that requires their participation will turn out to be a mere formality and will fail . . . There are two principles here: one is the actual needs of the masses rather than what we fancy they need, and the other is the wishes of the masses, who must make up their own minds instead of our making up their minds for them."¹³

Maoist political warfare model is the preferred attack vector along which the Left has chosen to operate in America.

¹¹ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People's War*, 7.

¹² Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People's War*, 8.

¹³ "The United Front in Cultural Work", *Selected Works*, October 30, 1944, Vol. III, 236-37 as cited in "Mao Tse Tung, "Quotations from Mao Tse Tung, II. *The Mass Line*, Marxist Internet Archive.

That the masses “objectively need a certain change” is the **universal**. That they are “subjectively . . . not yet conscious of the need” is the **particular** in need of [a dialectical] negation to purify the subjective so it can merge with the objective.

Under the Marxist concept of democracy, the totalitarian leadership is democratic because, with scientific certitude [scientific socialism [which is scientism]], it possesses the objective consciousness to recognize the people’s needs which gives them the authority to take charge in order to guide the people to where they ought to go, and would go themselves if they too shared in the objective awareness [the gnostic knowledge]. In this role, the totalitarian leadership truly can declare itself to be the vanguard of the proletariat leading a people’s democracy. (Hegel’s Napoleonic figure as explained by Dostoyevsky)

As this analysis transitions from Hegelian informed Marxism to Mao’s political warfare schema, the language of analysis will likewise transition. This does not, however, reflect a transition in kind but rather of emphasis; **from strategic design to strategic execution**.

Though there are generic concepts of insurgency and differing variations of political warfare, this analysis follows the Maoist political warfare model because, **for the last 47 years, the American Left has put America on notice that this is the attack vector they adopted to seek America’s negation**.

Theoretical explanations of political warfare can make it sound more complicated than it is. Yet, the Left’s activities must be conceptualized along the strategic and operational lines it uses when acting to destroy America. For this reason, it is necessary to apply dialectical concepts of understanding to the conflicts associated with them. Specific orientation to the Maoist counter-state platform drives the requirement to use its associated doctrinal lexicon to the exclusion of competing terminologies. It is vital that we understand Maoist terminology, as defined above, **in order to conceptualize not only what the Left is doing and why, but also how, so that we may identify weaknesses in the Left’s overall approach in order to exploit them**. Adjusting for the contemporary information environment and global communications and travel, the “doctrinal template” of Maoist political warfare accurately captures the activities the American Left uses today at the strategic level. For this reason, a review of the Maoist insurgency is in order.¹⁴

On the transition from strategic design to strategic execution, while the Maoist insurgency lexicon is used to discuss the Colorado Democracy Alliance, it could just as easily be explained in terms of Marx, from critical philosophy to the otherisms of repressive tolerance.

¹⁴ This discussion relies on Thomas A. Marks’ treatment of the Maoist model as discussed in *Maoist People’s War in Post-Vietnam Asia*, White Lotus Press, Bangkok, Thailand, 2007], 1 – 14.

“Criticism dealing with this content is criticism in a hand-to-hand fight . . . The people must be taught to be terrified at itself!”


–KARL MARX, “A CONTRIBUTION TO THE CRITIQUE OF HEGEL’S PHILOSOPHY OF RIGHT,” 1843

“You have to create an environment of fear and respect. The only way to do that is to get aggressive and go out and actually beat them up [politically]. . . If people hear the same negative message often enough, they believe it.”

–TED TRIMPA, DEMOCRATIC STRATEGIST AND ORIGINAL MEMBER OF THE ROUNDTABLE (WHICH BECAME DEMOCRACY ALLIANCE)

“If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it.”

–JOSEPH GOEBBELS, NAZI FASCIST PROPAGANDA CHIEF



**Democracy Alliance:
a national network of
progressive donors
that support a donor-
advised fund that
funds hundreds
of grassroots
organizations to fulfill
the core mission of a
radicalized political
party.**

For example, from the description of the Colorado Democracy Alliance provided below, one can see the double-meaning use of the term “democracy,” the leading role played by over-privileged men, a middle-class proletariat of operators, an emphasis on the negative because such plans have nothing positive to offer,¹⁵ the use of united fronts, and the overarching drive to center objectives in statist outcomes.

Ominously, from an American political party perspective, while the Alliance reflects a “campaign apparatus never seen in Colorado or the United States,”¹⁶ from a larger historical perspective it does reflect the Left’s ongoing transition to its historic archetype form: the “vanguard of the proletariat.” The transition from design to execution is seamless. The Colorado Democracy Alliance will serve as an illustrative example of the political warfare concept.

There are good reasons to know that this type of activity is going on in other states—Minnesota for example.

An Illustrative Example – The Colorado Democracy Alliance

Transitioning to today’s political warfare environment, the Colorado Democracy Alliance as discussed in Adam Schrager and Rob Witwer’s 2010 [*The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado \(and Why Republicans Everywhere Should Care\)*](#)¹⁷ will provide the example.

- **The Colorado Democracy Alliance.** At a Denver area conference room in 2004, deep pocketed Leftist contributor-activists called a meeting with strategists to discuss and execute a plan to remake Colorado that included a blueprint for winning elections structured to fundamentally alter the fabric of America. The four donors did not agree on public policy, they only agreed on the goal: victory. And so, the “Gang of Four”—**Pat Stryker** (Stryker Medical Implants), **Rutt Bridges** (a software developer and millionaire), **Tim Gill** (Founder of Quark and a gay-rights activist), and **Jared Polis** (a dot-com multimillionaire and now mem-

¹⁵ Adam Schrager and Rob Witwer, [*The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado \(and Why Republicans Everywhere Should Care\)*](#), Speaker’s Corner, Fulcrum Publishing, Kindle Edition, 2010, Kindle Locations 566 – 567, 877 – 881, 1433 – 1435. *The Blueprint* frequently points out the role of negative ‘tear-down’ attack strategies that highlight an underlying nihilist attitude of the Democracy Advisory. For example, “The press coverage was almost universally negative for Republicans.” Ted Trimpa, Democratic strategist and original member of the Roundtable, believes that to win, you need to go negative; “You have to create an environment of fear and respect. The only way to do that is to get aggressive and go out and actually beat them up [politically].” “If people hear the same negative message often enough, they believe it.”

¹⁶ Schrager and Witwer, [*The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado*](#), Kindle Locations 2035 – 2038.

¹⁷ Schrager and Witwer, [*The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado*](#).

ber of the Colorado Congressional Delegation]—joined forces to fund their plan of radical transformation. Originally called the Roundtable, it would later be known as the Colorado Democracy Alliance—the precursor to the [Democracy Alliance](#): a national network of progressive donors that support a donor-advised fund that funds hundreds of grassroots organizations to fulfill the core mission of a radicalized political party without the burden of political deal making.¹⁸

- **The premise was simple: victory at any cost.** Compromise inhibits victory. Political parties engage in compromise to advance a policy or political agenda that waters down the results. Unsurprisingly, the Colorado Democracy Alliance concluded that the root cause of Leftist defeats was the Democratic party itself. The solution was to **build an extra-party infrastructure that duplicates the function of a political party while fomenting the fragmentation of the Democratic party.** If the central party and its leadership cannot respond to the demands of its membership, a new center must be established. Over the span of 16 months between 2004 and 2006, the Gang of Four donated more than \$8 million to create and fund a campaign apparatus never seen in Colorado or the United States. A new “party” coordinated at the center but functionally independent began to develop. New 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4) and [527 organizations](#)¹⁹ sprang up to run voter education and registration, issue awareness campaigns and attack advertisements. In turn, established grassroots organizations including the Colorado Education Association, AFL-CIO and Colorado Conservation Voters—an affiliate of the League of Conservation Voters—provided the ground game. Wealthy donors and a network of united progressive organizations helped transform Colorado from a reliably Republican state to a deep shade of blue. **By 2006, Colorado Democracy Alliance strategies succeeded in flipping Colorado.**²⁰ With the [ProgressNow Colorado](#) Political Director, Alan Franklin’s observation that, “all that was missing was a permanent infrastructure,”²¹ one begins to discern not only the outlines

**Wealthy donors
and a network of
united progressive
organizations helped
transform Colorado
from a reliably
Republican state to a
deep shade of blue.**

¹⁸ This paragraph draws heavily from *The Blueprint*. This footnote serves as a string citation for the entire paragraph. Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 325 – 330, 111 – 112, 140 – 141, 100 – 101, 114 – 115, 132 – 133, 972 – 993, 135 – 137, 103 – 105, 273 – 276, 109 – 110, 981 – 985.

¹⁹ From Wikipedia; [A 527-organization](#) or 527 group is a type of U.S. [tax-exempt organization](#) organized under Section 527 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code [26 U.S.C. § 527]. A 527 group is created primarily to influence the selection, nomination, election, appointment or defeat of candidates to federal, state or local public office.

²⁰ This paragraph draws heavily from *The Blueprint*. This footnote serves as a string citation for the entire paragraph. Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Edition, 2010, Kindle Locations 981 – 985, 965 – 975, 111 – 112, 2035 – 2038, 102, 95, 101, 126.

²¹ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 2471 – 2473.

of a **counter-state** from the actions taken, but the modern platform to support today's proletariat.

- **The Colorado Blueprint became the model for campaigns and control across the United States.** In 2005, Rod Stein founded the [Democracy Alliance](#)²² as “the **largest network of donors dedicated to building the progressive movement in the United States.**”²³ At the 2008 Democratic National Convention held in Denver that chose Obama as the Democratic candidate, Stein spoke of the need to consolidate counter-state activities in the state through the placement of committed personnel at the senior levels of the government in what has popularly come to be known as the “deep state”:

“The reason it is so important to control government is because government is the source of enormous power. One president in this country, when he or she takes office, appoints ... 5,000 people to run a bureaucracy, nonmilitary, nonpostal service of 2 million people, who hire 10 million outside, outsource contractors—a workforce of 12 million people—that spend \$3 trillion a year. That number is larger than the gross domestic product of all but four countries on the face of the earth.”²⁴

Defeat Mechanism 1. Controlled oppositions often serve as unrecognized allies of the Left. By obstructing President Trump from making appointments, including recess appointments, the Republican Senate supported the Left in its effort to institutionalize the “5000 people [President Obama] appointed to run the bureaucracy” thus securing the Left’s deep state mission. The Republicans, as controlled opposition, undermined the president from being able to effectively execute his Article II responsibilities in times of crisis. When the Left escalated its critical race agenda to street violence in May 2020, it had reason to assess that it could do so knowing that counter-state nodes within the bureaucracy would neutralize and diffuse President Trump's responses.

This would be roughly 40 years after Marcuse initiated a campus strategy designed to stream into public and private sector cadres. In the 1970s, Rudi Dutschke and Herbert Marcuse **declared a strategy based on Mao’s “Long March” designed to negate America using Mao’s Insurgency model, i.e., politi-**

²² “[Board of Directors](#),” Democracy Alliance webpage.

²³ “[About the DA](#),” Democracy Alliance webpage.

²⁴ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 314 - 318.

cal warfare. Masked beneath generic terms like “the Left” and “progressive” are specific organizations with specific plans articulating attack strategies.


More recently, **the Left has extended its influence into emerging technology and social media platforms.** In line with the Colorado Democracy Alliance,²⁵ insurgents seek to duplicate the functions of a political party outside of the traditional party infrastructure. In the case of [ACTBlue](#)²⁶ and social media, the Left employs a shared service organization outside social media as a focal point for the activists of each individual group to engage in focused, online information and narrative distribution efforts.

²⁵ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 109 – 110.

²⁶ “[ACTBlue](#)”, ACTBlue homepage, also “[ACTBlue](#),” Wikipedia. See Appendix C – Organizations.

See next page

The main priority for the Left is control of the masses through the control of the public’s perception of events—a job made much easier with more than 170 Democracy Alliance-affiliated groups working in concert.



"Finally, progressives' long-term success hinges on our ability to fundamentally change our current political system - including large questions about who can vote, the role money should play in politics, and what our courts look like. ACS, the Brennan Center, and Fund for the Republic are all tackling these larger democracy reform issues and will play a central role in ongoing efforts to broaden the coalition of reformers, helping to provide the intellectual and financial firepower needed to reshape our democracy."

<https://s3.amazonaws.com/s3.documentcloud.org/documents/1202744/da-portfolio2012-2014-042714.pdf>

The Democratic party is a funding source and contributor to major Marxist-identified organizations. At what point can you make the call that the Democratic Party is Marxist?


In 2019, half the donations to **ActBlue** came from untraceable “unemployed” donors raising red flags of possible foreign involvement. “After downloading hundreds of millions of [dollars in] donations to the *Take Back Action Fund* servers, we were shocked to see that almost half of the donations to **ActBlue** in 2019 claimed to be unemployed individuals. The . . . more than 4.7 million donations came from people who claimed they did not have an employer. Those 4.7 million donations totaled \$346 million **ActBlue** raised.” [FoxNews](#), September 12, 2020

On a June 12, 2020 [YouTube](#) video [Black Lives Matter Exposed](#), Joel Patrick takes viewers to BLM’s “**Urgent: Fund the Movement**” webpage where it shows that contributions to BLM are to go through **ActBlue**. “What is **ActBlue** and what do they do? Well, they’re actually a funding campaign for Democratic candidates. And they funded **Bernie Sanders’** campaign, **Joe Biden’s** campaign, **Elizabeth Warren’s** campaign, and **Pete Buttigieg’s** campaign. They donated over a billion dollars to Democratic candidates. Yet, oddly, not a single one in the top ten was a person of color.”

On October 15, 2020 [Politico](#) reported “Democratic candidates and left-leaning groups raised \$1.5 billion through ActBlue over the last three months – a record-smashing total that reveals the overwhelming financial power small-dollar donors have unleashed up and down the ballot ahead of the 2020 election.”

Yaacov Apelbaum of [XRVision](#) discovered that the **Clerk of the House of Representatives** disclosed that Nancy Pelosi bought 5000 shares of **CrowdStrike** valued at up to \$1,000, 000 on September 3, 2020. CrowdStrike was behind the Russian Collusion narratives that targeted the Trump administration.

Filing ID #20017460



PERIODIC TRANSACTION REPORT

Clerk of the House of Representatives • Legislative Resource Center • 135 Cannon Building • Washington, DC 20515

FILER INFORMATION


Name: Hon. Nancy Pelosi
Status: Member
State/District: CA12

TRANSACTIONS

ID	Owner Asset	Transaction Type	Date	Notification Date	Amount	Cap. Gains > \$200?
SP	CrowdStrike Holdings, Inc. - Class A (CRWD) [ST]	P	09/03/2020	09/03/2020	\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>

FILING STATUS: New
DESCRIPTION: Purchased 5,000 shares.

* For the complete list of asset type abbreviations, please visit <https://fd.house.gov/reference/asset-type-codes.aspx>.


SITUATIONAL AWARENESS AND ACTIONABLE INTELLIGENCE

This mass line approach exploits real or perceived grievances in order to introduce socialist solutions that appear to emanate from the populace itself.

To this end, the Left focuses on students and youth, labor groups, media and elites because these are the groups that tend to have influence disproportionate to their size. Through the control of these groups, the Left engineers cultural and social perceptions to garner support for policy prescriptions that directly benefit the Left and their allies while negating the opposition or anyone holding non-conforming views.

The main priority for the Left is control of the masses through **control of the public's perception of events**—a job made much easier with more than 170 Democracy Alliance-affiliated groups working in concert.²⁷ For example, immigration is an issue of state sovereignty, but the Left packages it to the public as an issue of child separation and familial repatriation. School shootings are another example. The Left manipulates these events to systematically re-purpose the crisis to leverage its ongoing assault on the 2nd Amendment right to bear arms.

This following introduction to mass line and the five concepts will help frame the Estimate of the Situation after which they will be further developed in the section “Lines of Effort.”

Estimate of the Situation

GENERAL. The United States was founded on the idea that the people are sovereign. This philosophy is enshrined in the *Declaration of Independence* which the Constitution's Bill of Rights is supposed to protect. In his July 4, 1926 speech, President Calvin Coolidge was aware of the dangers inherent in the Wilsonian ideas on progressive political evolution:

“About the Declaration there is a finality that is exceedingly restful. It is often asserted that the world has made a great deal of progress since 1776, that we have had new thoughts and new experiences which have given us a great advance over the people of that day, and that we may therefore very well discard their conclusions for something more modern. But that reasoning cannot be applied to this great charter. If all men are created equal, **that is final**. If they are endowed with inalienable rights, **that is final**. If governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed, **that is final**. No advance, no progress can be made beyond these propositions. If anyone wishes to deny their truth or their soundness, the only direction in which he can proceed his-

Foreign and domestic opposition to America—from states, entities and individuals—has undertaken long-term strategic efforts to fundamentally change America through cultural and institutional means aimed at negating the Declaration of Independence and the Bill of Rights.

²⁷ [Democracy Alliance Investment Recommendations](#), Spring 2014, Democracy Alliance, 2014, 54 – 56, also Kenneth P. Vogel, “[Inside the Vast Liberal Conspiracy](#),” *Politico*, June 23, 2014, and Lachlan Markay, “[Busting Democracy Alliance Myths – As Secretive Liberal Donor Club Gathers Attention, Misconceptions Remain](#),” *The Washington Free Beacon*, November 18, 2014.

The Left agitates grievances while propagandizing the population with socialist prescriptive actions and responses that channel actions.

torically is not forward, but backward toward the time when there was no equality, no rights of the individual, no rule of the people. Those who wish to proceed in that direction cannot lay claim to progress. They are reactionary.”²⁸

From the lofty perch where all men are created equal, are endowed with inalienable rights and are only governed by the consent of the governed, Coolidge understood that Wilson’s statist notions of “progress” could only result from the negation of the principles ensconced in the Declaration. Foreign and domestic opposition to America—from states, entities and individuals—has undertaken long-term strategic efforts to fundamentally change America through cultural and institutional means aimed at negating the Declaration of Independence and the Bill of Rights.

This estimate of the situation will focus on the Neo-Marxist “Left” as the primary instrument of internal political dissent. Where appropriate, the language of the Left will be used. As such, it will examine—

1. The economic, social and political grievances that are cited by the Left, in their own narrative;
2. The Left’s overall strategic intent and operational design;
3. The mainstream and conservative approach to answering and countering the Left.

Grievances:

Economic

The Left’s principle grievance with the American economic system is that free market economies are intrinsically unjust. In order to address this grievance, the Left uses narratives that increase the coercive power of the State in order to acquire and then redistribute the wealth domestically and internationally.

Additionally, the oppression of workers, abuse of laborers, exclusion of minorities, the exploitation of immigrants and related “otherism” grievances are manipulated by networks of single-issue groups controlled by the Citizen Engagement Lab’s Center²⁹ to project an America that is fundamentally flawed.

The Left also raises the abuse of workers and the middle class by Wall Street

²⁸ Calvin Coolidge, President of the United States, July 4, 1926 as quoted by Thomas West and Douglas Jeffrey in *The Rise and Fall of Constitutional Government in America: A Guide to Understanding the Principles of America’s Founding*, The Claremont Institute, Claremont, CA, 2006, 39.

²⁹ “[Citizen Engagement Lab](#),” Citizen Engagement Lab homepage, also, from “[About CEL](#),” CEL About page. See Appendix C – Organizations.

investors and the lack of overall fairness and transparency within global commercial efforts including those in the G7, the G20, and the World Bank.

While there may be a basis for many of the grievances, the Left's leadership often doesn't ascribe to them, but simply co-opts them as part of a voter mobilization strategy. **The leadership realizes that these grievances can be used to channel people to action in support of their objectives.** The Left agitates grievances while propagandizing the population with socialist prescriptive actions and responses that channel actions [votes, violence, protests, etc.].

Once a community has been mobilized around an issue, it must have an opportunity to redress its grievances. In economic cases, business is the target where shareholder activism serves as a weapon. Following the Supreme Court decision on *Citizens United*,³⁰ the Left declared open season on enterprise and entrepreneurs. From 2011 to 2016, there was a dramatic increase in shareholder proxy resolutions filed against publicly traded companies by funds and individuals motivated by societal change rather than expanding shareowner value.

For example, Walden Asset Management celebrated the submission of its 500th shareholder proposal in 2018.³¹ These shareholder proposals cover the landscape of popular leftist issues, but deal primarily with **Environmental**, **Social** (justice) and **Governance** (transparency and government influence), often referred to as ESG issues, that rarely have the best interests of the shareholders or the company in mind. Rather, **the resolutions often serve as dog whistles that signal activists to organize and engage in street theater in furtherance of altering the fabric of American civil society**, not through political advocacy, but rather through corporate change campaigns.

Consistent with narrative driven mass line campaigns, the Left seeks to overwhelm the corporate structure through manipulation of public opinion.

³⁰ As mentioned in Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 2489 – 2493; the Supreme Court Opinion - [Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission](#), 558 U.S. 310 [2010], as provide by the Legal Information Institute, Cornell University Law School; and a brief explanation of the decision by Brian Duignan, "[Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission](#)", Encyclopedia Britannica, 2018, Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission, case in which the U.S. Supreme Court on January 21, 2010, ruled [5–4] that laws that prevented corporations and unions from using their general treasury funds for independent “electioneering communications” [political advertising] violated the First Amendment’s guarantee of freedom of speech. In so doing the court invalidated Section 203 of the federal Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 [BCRA]—also known as the McCain-Feingold Act for its sponsors, Sen. John McCain and Sen. Russ Feingold—as well as Section 441[b] of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 [FECA], which the BCRA had amended. The court also overturned in whole or in part two previous Supreme Court rulings: *Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Commerce* [1990] and *McConnell v. Federal Election Commission* [2003].”

³¹ “[History of Leadership](#)”, About Walden Asset Management webpage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

Another example, in 2017, activist investors affiliated with [ProxyPreview](#),³² an ESG investing advisory operated by the nonprofit [As You Sow](#),³³ filed a series of proxies against McDonalds on antibiotic use in chickens and wage and labor policy. Each boardroom issue was campaigned by outside activist groups: [Color of Change](#)³⁴ and the [Public Interest Research Group](#).³⁵ Thousands of protesters took to the streets as part of a coordinated pressure campaign.³⁶ The result: McDonalds changed policies.

Consistent with narrative driven mass line campaigns, **the Left seeks to overwhelm the corporate structure through manipulation of public opinion.** The Left now works to change companies, their products, policies and board compositions, and as a second order benefit, to change civil society and from there the law.

And overwhelm it did. When BLM/Antifa launched its mass line assault in late May, it struck with enough force to scurry, intimidate many corporations into contributing substantial sums of money, and, before a watching global audience, subject citizens and their property to politically sanctioned street violence.

The Bill of Rights was drafted to protect the people from the very statist inclinations that consume the Left.

Grievances: Social

The main effort of the Left is social penetration. Because the American concept recognizes that sovereignty vests in the people as individuals, the *Bill of Rights* was drafted to protect the people from the very statist inclinations that consume the Left. The *Bill of Rights* was written with the specific intent of assuring that **what a person says, who he or she associates with, and what faith, if any, that person chooses to follow** are situated in such a manner that they are placed **above and beyond the authority of the state**. The social structure around which this vision is constructed is natural and foundational to all functional societies: **the family**. The **Left targeted each of these American elements**, including the vision itself, for destruction through the formation of competing identity groups formed for the purpose of attacking each of the elements.

³² [ProxyPreview](#), Proxy Preview homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

³³ “[As You Sow](#)”, As You Sow homepage, also “[About Us](#)”, As You Sow About Us webpage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

³⁴ “[Color of Change](#)”, Color of Change homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

³⁵ “[Public Interest Research Group](#)”, U.S. PERG homepage, also “[About U.S. PERG](#)”. See Appendix C – Organizations.

³⁶ Kari Lydersen, “[Thousands of Protesters Brand McDonald’s the ‘Trump of Corporations’](#),” *The Guardian*, May 24, 2017.

Each of the groups identified below orients an antithetical “other” to a specific element of America. Political warfare efforts in the social domain execute narratives as social mass line efforts with the objective of powering down into the political domain where an evolving fidelity to narratives will result in the non-enforcement of laws that, over time, will become institutionalized thus overwhelming rule of law cultures as it consumes them.

There are strategic and operational applications to this principle:

- **Strategic** control of the operational battle space was established through the imposition of C19 enforcement narratives that continue to sustain the suspension of due process and rule of law.
- BLM and Antifa have obvious Marxist pedigrees. **Operationally**, BLM/Antifa mass line attacks remain textbook examples of synchronized non-violent and violent political warfare lines of effort executed in tandem and interoperably against a common objective. BLM/Antifa successfully unleashed a mass line attack that “powered down” into the political space while “overwhelming the rule of law” to terrorize American citizens in America.

As the discussion on social grievances proceeds, it should be recognized that the groups identified below **seek to secure privileges for the “other” that are granted to them by the state** which necessarily replaces an individual’s inalienable rights which the Bill of Rights was written to safeguard. By design, “otherist” group rights depend on statist concepts of the state advanced by Hegel to negate rights endowed by the Creator. Consider how some state bars, like the Minnesota State Bar, condition the retention of an attorney’s right to practice law on his/her taking “bias” continuing legal education (CLEs) courses that, through practice, position group rights at the pinnacle of rights.

Seamlessly integrated into a political warfare execution matrix, this is Mar-cuse’s repressive tolerance seeking the complete destruction of a culture—America—through negation processes (*aufheben*) that simply apply Marx’s critical philosophy through the efforts of a skilled, nihilistically committed, antithetical proletariat. Soft science scientism plays a role in structuring a pseudo-science in support of pseudorealities with [intersectionality](#)³⁷ being among the latest scientized applications of the dialectic to a targeted cultural group. Not really science, these scientized concepts seek to impose a competing cultural cosmology based on the Hegelian notion of higher science.

³⁷ “[Intersectionality](#)”, Wikipedia.org; “Intersectionality is an analytic framework that attempts to identify how interlocking systems of power impact those who are most marginalized in society. Intersectionality considers that various forms of social stratification, such as class, race, sexual orientation, age, disability and gender, do not exist separately from each other but are interwoven together. While the theory began as an exploration of the oppression of women of color within society, today the analysis is potentially applied to all social categories (including social identities usually seen as dominant when considered independently).”



The American political system assigns responsibility to the individual and frames society under the rule of law and the Constitution. The Left uses narratives to skillfully cast the American system as fundamentally unjust through a constellation of “other” constructs. Many of these narratives enforce pseudo-issues that are irrational and often plainly unnatural.

The use of pseudo-issues to motivate and mobilize voters has proven particularly effective by the Left.

The use of pseudo-issues to motivate and mobilize voters has proven particularly effective by the Left. For example, immigration is among the “other” lines of effort that is used to attack national sovereignty in furtherance of the destruction of national identity. Rather than honestly address societal concerns relating to border security and illegal immigration, **leftist activists focus on events calculated to generate outrage** while slowly grinding down the very idea of sovereignty. As an example of how seemingly disparate “other” organizations can be predictably relied upon to swarm on a single issue, note the coordinated response to immigration—

- **Color of Change**, an African American advocacy group, has demanded Greyhound stop aiding the deportation machine and for Salesforce to end its contract with the Department of Homeland Security Bureau of Customs and Border Protection.

- [Presente.org](#),³⁸ a LatinX39 advocacy group, has demanded Wells Fargo and JP Morgan Chase stop financing GEO group. GEO group is a for-profit prison company providing holding facilities for detained illegal immigrants.
- [UltraViolet](#),⁴⁰ a gender and women's equality group, has demanded the Trump Administration end its attacks on immigrant women and children.
- [18MillionRising](#),⁴¹ an Asian-American advocacy group, has demanded a new DREAM act as well as the exclusion of citizenship questions from the 2020 census. As an Allied Media Projects (AMP) Sponsored Projects Network, 18MR conforms to the AMP Network Principles.⁴²

As early as [late March](#), 2020, indicators raised concern that COVID19 narratives were being exploited by the Left to establish mass line enforcement narratives by organizations with conspicuously “progressive” names like [COVIDACTNOW](#).

Rather than openly address the immigration issue through legislation, debate or electoral politics that they know the American people reject, the Left relies on its constellation of “others”, complete with a broad array of pseudo-issues, to swarm individuals and entities in a united front effort.

Each of the groups listed above, and many more like them, can be affiliated with a single Oakland, California group - the [Citizen Engagement Laboratory](#) (CEL) —the Center for online activism and community building.⁴³ Another prominent clearing house for funding runs through the CREDO Mobile, a prepaid virtual network that runs on the Verizon network.⁴⁴

Another indicator that the Left was posturing for escalation to violence was the rebranding of neutrally themed organizations like **"Citizen Engagement Laboratory"** to brands suggesting direct action like **"CultureStrike."**

³⁸ [“Presente.org”](#), Presente.org homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

³⁹ [“LatinX”](#), Wikipedia.org. See Appendix C – Organizations.”

⁴⁰ [“UltraViolet”](#), UltraViolet homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁴¹ [“18MillionRising”](#), 18MR homepage, also [“About 18MR.org”](#).

⁴² [“About Allied Media Projects”](#). See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁴³ [“Citizen Engagement Lab.”](#) Citizen Engagement Lab homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations. Note: CEL has since been rebranded as [CultureStrike](#).

⁴⁴ [CREDO Mobile](#), CREDO Mobile homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

**The Left's principle
political grievance against
America is that it exists.**

The Left uses irrational, anti-knowledge, anti-reason, and anti-critical thinking narratives structured to subordinate a population to Left-wing statist objectives. Overall, the Left intends to enforce this line of thinking through the popular culture that brands its thinking as modern, scientific, kind, open, and thoughtful, **when it is, in fact, none of these things.** Rather, the Left is arrayed against the Declaration of Independence and the concept of rights that it proposes.

Grievances: Political

If societal grievances are used to secure *de facto* authority over a population, then their powering down into the political domain reflects the effort to make that authority *de jure*. The Left's principle political grievance against America is that it exists. Among the grievances is **resentment of the very idea of individual sovereignty that assigns responsibility to citizens for the wellbeing of their own persons and of society.** A governing principle dedicated to the protection of individual rights is antithetical to the sovereignty of states (as god bestriding the land) on which the Left's statist ambitions are built.

Political grievances are created by stoking up resentment against successful individuals. For example, the 1% (of which **all** the founding members of the Roundtable belong). The Left packages its grievances in bundles of "don't have but covet" issues regarding societal resource allocation schemes brokered by an empowered wealth redistribution-oriented government, which coercively deploys to establish social justice.

To advance social justice, the Left frames issues in terms of group identity and then assigns individuals to specific identity groups. Communicated to the public as an effort to increase fairness in the (re)allocation of resources, the intended effect of identity group assignment is the diminishment of the individual, whose rights become increasingly associated with the value of the identity group to which he or she is assigned. Citizen Engagement Lab [coordinates and directs](#) the activity of a constellation of identity and single-issue groups including African Americans, Latinos, Asians (as a homogenous group), women, the LGBT community, as well as single-issue groups for employees, meteorologists, parents affected by climate change, people near Hydraulic Fracture sites, and the list goes on.⁴⁵

The narrative that the American political system is archaic and flawed leads to the conclusion that the Constitution is obsolete, oppressive and stands in the way of progress (and hence must be negated). As such, **Marxists programmatically define America as necessarily flawed because it is archaic and necessarily oppressive because it is obsolete.**

⁴⁵ "[Who We Work With](#)", Citizens Engagement Laboratory webpage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

Aside from whatever historical narratives are applied to the Left's characterization of America, dialectal movements are hardwired to see in everything that exists today the need for its being negated for tomorrow, which will always be better precisely because it represents the forward movement of history. It is the perfect nihilist construct on which to negate all that is today.

As Marcuse noted, America is repressive because it exists today. Therefore, it must be negated. Hence, alongside the slow-burn assaults on the American political system will be the **unperceived replacement of America's historical understanding of itself with delegitimizing historical narratives calculated to quietly alter America's perception of itself.**

Dialectal movements are hardwired to see in everything that exists today the need for its being negated for tomorrow, which will always be better precisely because it represents the forward movement of history.

As identified in November 2019, the *New York Times Magazine* launched [The 1619 Project](#). From "[Warning on Racism](#)":

- "As an indicator of intent, on August 18, 2019, The *New York Times Magazine* dedicated the entire edition to **The 1619 Project**. Through The 1619 Project, The New York Times launched a backdrop narrative committed to "reframing American History" with the understanding that America's "founding ideals are false."

The *New York Times* is directly involved in the delegitimization of America

Austrian economist Friedrich Hayek recognized this slow suffocating process when commenting on it back in 1944:

"The whole apparatus for spreading knowledge—the schools and the press, radio and motion picture—will be used to spread those views which, whether true or false, will strengthen the belief in the rightness of the decisions taken by the authority; and all information that might cause doubt or hesitation will be withheld."⁴⁶

⁴⁶ Friedrich A. Hayek, *The Road to Serfdom*, New York, Routledge Classic, 1944 (republished in 2001), 164.

Leading up to the COVID19 “Lockdown,” social media leaders like [YouTube](#) forcefully suppressed information on COVID19 that violates “community guidelines.” For example, YouTube suppressed:

- Advocacy of hydroxychloroquine.
- The [#filmyourhospital](#) videos in which citizens documented media disinformation by filming the abandoned local hospitals that media declared to be C19 “warzones” and to “stay away.”
- The professional judgments of competent epidemiologists whose assessments conflicted with WHO’s guidelines; for example, the [two Bakersfield doctors](#) who challenged the scientific basis of the lockdown.

The First Amendment is the cornerstone of American doctrines on protected speech. Social media pegs the community standards it imposes on Americans on anti-free speech strategies arising out of international forums like the United Nations. ([Warning on Racism](#), 4) With COVID19, the designated extra-legal authority is The WHO. Both the General Secretary of the UN and Secretary General of the WHO are Marxists. Social media enforces COVID19 narratives using a variation of Neo-Marxist “[Discourse Theory](#)” principles based on controlling microphones (access), turning the volume up when they speak, and turning them off when the dissent speaks.



As Hayek rightly recognized back in 1944, the path the Left charts is truly a *Road to Serfdom*. The Left does not generally attack the Constitution directly. Instead, it obscures, detracts, and diminishes. Hence, direct attacks will indicate an escalation demanded by a “scientific” assessment of the correlation of forces.

In the meantime, for example, the Left uses America’s history of slavery to delegitimize the founding fathers. This **targeting of the Founders is used to delegitimize the nation they fought to create and the Constitution they formed to run it**. As the narratives are structured to suggest, how can institutions created by such evil and fundamentally flawed white men be given respect today?

Would this not, by definition be a “white nationalist” government? The flogging of American history finds precedence in the writings of Mao:

“Two principles must be observed. The first is, “punish the past to warn the future” and the second, “save men by curing their ills.” Past errors must be exposed with no thought of personal feelings or face. We must use a *scientific attitude* to analyze and criticize what has been undesirable in the past ... this is the meaning of “punish the past to warn the future.”⁴⁷

These economic, social and political grievances resonate at the international level as well. **Many of the Left’s objections to the United States are supported, propagated and reinforced internationally through forums like the UN, the EU, the OSCE, the OIC, and others.**

The Left utilizes grievances to mobilize their base, generate resources, frame narratives, and justify their actions as part of the collective good they successfully portray themselves as leading, just like a vanguard. *This is not to say that there are not genuine grievances in need of redress or wrongs in need of being righted.* The purpose of this discussion is not to delegitimize or ridicule what may be genuine grievances per se but rather to **point out that the Left manipulates them in the service of “otherism” campaigns of cultural negation.** As a tool of the Left, **there will never be a solution to the grievances because the purpose for their use is in the undermining of freedoms, reducing liberties, and destroying America.** This assessment will examine the strategic approach used by the Left.

Overall Strategic Appreciation

The **Left seeks to establish institutional control throughout government and culture while conservatives focus narrowly on political power, tactical political advantage, and incrementalism**, all the while yielding to narratives that render them docile to the Left. These narratives operate to establish a series of binary choices—often false—structured to make it easier for people to comply than to resist. **As the narratives mature, resistance is perceived as obstructive stubbornness at which point those individuals and groups become vulnerable to escalating attacks.**

While postmodern narratives have been generally enforced by political correctness, **the standard of enforcement is escalating to hate speech memes.** There

**As a tool of the Left,
there will be NO
SOLUTION to the
grievances as their utility
is for their usefulness in
undermining freedoms,
reducing liberties, and
destroying America.**

The disruption of the present organization is the first step toward community organization . . . All change means disorganization of the old and organization of the new. This is why the organizer is immediately confronted with conflict. The organizer dedicated to changing the life of a particular community must first rub raw the resentments of the people of the community; fan the latent hostilities of many of the people to the point of overt expression. He must search out controversy and issues, rather than avoid them, for unless there is controversy people are not concerned enough to act . . . An organizer must stir up dissatisfaction and discontent; provide a channel into which the people can angrily pour their frustrations.

—SAUL ALINSKY,
RULES FOR RADICALS

⁴⁷ Mao Zedong, “Correcting Unorthodox Tendencies in Learning, the Party, and Literature and Arts,” in C. Brandt, B. Schwartz, and J. Fairbank, *A Documentary History of Chinese Communism*, Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1951, 392 as cited in Robert Jay Lifton, M.D., *Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism – A Study of “Brainwashing” in China*, originally published by W. W. Norton & Co., 1961, republished by the University of North Carolina Press, 1989, Kindle Version, 13.

**The Communist's
theory of getting
where it wants is
through CONFLICT—
CREATING CONFLICT.**

—BELLA DODD

is only the illusion of a middle ground or third ways. Properly understood, when mainstream or conservative values “move to the middle”, they are actually positioning themselves for negation in a process that establishes the dialectical dualism required for *aufheben*. This approach allows the Left to frame the problems of society as a way to provide their solutions without opportunity for compromise. Bella Dodd explained the effectiveness of this tactic back in the early 1950s from her own experience as a CP-USA executive in the 1930s:

“The Communist's theory of getting where it wants is through **conflict—creating conflict**. They will very often create an organization for the purpose of engendering conflict. **If no conflict exists, they will engender the conflict and engender it in a certain position which drags the whole public opinion to the left in the direction of Communism**. And so, when I was in the teacher's union we had Communists, we had Socialists, **we had all kinds of splinter groups** . . . They never create the conflict and confusion way over on the right, but they will create a right in order to have the left oppose to it so they can drag people in the direction of the left.”⁴⁸

In the United States, the Left **wields power within society through direct and indirect control of the masses through the control of culture**. As noted, Mao Zedong called this approach mass line and described it as “from the masses, to the masses.” This was Mao's way of describing the **introduction of institutionally led Marxist solutions that appear to emerge from society, but are in fact engineered through prevailing narratives reinforced by a cadre, which is a group of indoctrinated leaders within a movement, who are constantly agitating for greater socialist programs**. This is consistent with Marx's original concept of the proletariat in action, Marx 1.0. This is also the plan Marcuse ratified. As the Colorado Democracy Alliance and the organizations identified in the grievance section demonstrate, this process is well under way.

For example, a 2017 FCC determination on [Net Neutrality](#)⁴⁹ received more than 22 million public comments, 94 percent of which were multiple submissions, and some many hundreds of thousands of times.⁵⁰ Nearly every Citizen Engagement Laboratory group conducted its part in an advocacy campaign directed at [Net Neutrality](#) including a new group, [Faithful Internet](#).⁵¹

⁴⁸ Dodd, “[Bella Dodd Explains Communist Ducks](#),” YouTube.

⁴⁹ “[Net Neutrality](#),” Wikipedia.org. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁵⁰ Brian Naylor, “[As FCC Prepares Net-Neutrality Vote, Study Finds Millions of Fake Comments](#),” NPR, December 14, 2017.

⁵¹ [Faithful Internet](#)'s webpage has been suspended. From the [Groundswell](#) website on Faithful Internet. See Appendix C – Organizations.

The Left's principle effort is **political warfare through the mobilization of a vocal ideologically committed cadre who follow direction from a core leadership**, the vanguard, capable of implementing societal narratives that establish social norms. These narratives influence cultural and institutional perceptions and ultimately drive support for the Left's solutions, casting all opponents to these solutions as morally deformed, intellectually defective and unscientific.

The Left also deploys narratives that create the perception that women, workers, minorities, children, immigrants, and LGBTQ are under sustained attack in American society. A support infrastructure creates an **echo chamber that resonate these narratives at increasing volume and pitch creating the perception of crisis calling for immediate action**. This strategy works to such effect that non-conforming views are driven underground. Owing to the ongoing success of political correctness enforcement regimes, average Americans now find themselves unable to speak their own mind thus establishing the counter-states speech codes as the *de facto* speech codes among American citizens today.

Owing to the ongoing success of political correctness enforcement regimes, average Americans now find themselves unable to speak their own mind.

The COVID19 enforcement narratives set the conditions for BLM / Antifa mass line attacks which were executed to great effect beginning with the Floyd death.

Another example, in 2008, then Mozilla Chief Technology Officer Brendan Eich made a \$1,000 personal contribution in support of traditional marriage in California [Proposition 8]. It was not until Eich became CEO five years later that his political contributions became an issue. Following an 11-day online shaming campaign, Eich was forced to step down.⁵²

The Left is ratcheting up its anti-American rhetoric in an effort to further delegitimize national identity including the very idea of America. In their attacks, American history, the non-radicalized population, Judeo-Christian cultural norms, the rule of law, free speech and freedom of association are all under sustained attack. "White Privilege" and "White Nationalism" are institutionalizing themselves as the replacement terms for our Constitutional rights and American national identity in anticipation of being negated.

⁵² Kimberley Strassel, *Intimidation Game: How the Left Is Silencing Free Speech*. Place of Publication Not Identified: Grand Central PUB, 2017. pp. 346

Entire lines of analysis, including counterintelligence efforts, have been neutralized by the simple application of a Maoist tactic, the use of thought-terminating clichés.

The Left’s End State [ENDS]

The end-state objective of the Left is the **deconstruction of America through the imposition of the dialectic** along the lines discussed, **reducing American cultural awareness and ultimately Western Civilization to incoherence**. The Left does this by **imposing group rights to undermine individual rights**. The transition from inalienable rights inherent in the person to privileges granted by the state was in many respects made inevitable by Wilson’s “evolution” from the Constitutional “Newtonian” state to the statist “Darwinian”. Certainly, this movement dramatically accelerated under the Neo-Marxist Left. The Left’s transformation of America includes the following:

America	The Left’s America - Post Negation
Rights inherent in the person In which the people are sovereign	Privileges granted to groups by the state
National identity and principles grounded in the Declaration of Independence and Bill of Rights	A nameless unaccountable international order
Being American as an identity (as a matter of definition, nations have national cultures, languages and traditions)	All aspects of American identity delegitimized and criminalized
Individual sovereignty enshrined in the Bill of Rights	A statist construct driven by ‘might makes right’ will to power
A leader of Western civilization	A random player in a deformed West
Individual responsibility	Statist control of life decisions

The end state cannot be disassociated from the ideology that visualizes it. As such, it is just as important to understand the “ideological how” of the end state as it is to understand the end state itself. **The ideology is Marxism, but just try to use that term in polite society (or in national security work spaces).**

The defining language of Marxism has been suppressed. The “ideological how” has put America under such a sustained assault for so long by “that which will not be named” narratives that **our understanding of the “ideological how” has been wiped from our analytical processes, causing plans based on such analysis to misfire**. “Labels are so defining, we shouldn’t define ourselves or our movements!” Entire lines of analysis, including counter-intelligence efforts, have been neutralized by simply applying a Maoist tactic based on the use of thought-terminating clichés.⁵³ Candidate Trump was disarmingly effective in his attacks on the media at precisely this point.

⁵³ Robert Jay Lifton, M.D., *Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism – A Study of “Brainwashing” in China*, originally published by W. W. Norton & Co., 1961, republished by the University of North Carolina Press, 1989, Kindle Version, 429.

As the focus is on dialectical Marxism from a Maoist perspective, the end state assessment should reflect an awareness that cultures are to be fully immersed in such processes as part of a continuous effort.

For example, going back to Marx, the Left prides itself on its *Wissenschaftlicher Sozialismus* [scientific socialism], always analyzing engagement strategies in terms of correlation of forces assessments so that, when lines of operation fail, time is taken to assess errors in the assessment that caused the failure. President Trump's election appears to have caused the Left to seize up in just this manner.

Pondering an article arguing that the Left's defeat was because it forgot "how important identity was to people and [instead] promoted an empty cosmopolitan globalism that made many feel left behind," President Obama, in a moment of genuine honesty, mused:

"What if we were wrong. Maybe we pushed too far. Maybe people just want to fall back into their tribe. Sometimes I wonder whether I was 10 or 20 years too early."⁵⁴

This comment reveals the dialectical nature of Obama's thinking, even informing his understanding of his own place in history. As the thinking goes, had history progressed just a little farther forward by the time he became president or had he been elected just 10 or 20 years later, Obama truly might have been that Napoleonic figure in charge of a fully self-actualized rights-allocating state. He was to be Hegel's man of action – the individual in whom the particular merged with the universal.

From a dialectical perspective, Trump's easy undoing of Obama's policies does not merely reflect the give and take of the political process. Rather, it demonstrates the undoing of Obama's own inevitable march of history vision. In this, Obama's musings reflect the mental trap inherent in dialectical thinking: that all such thought becomes trapped in the grimoire of Voegelin's second reality; in Pieper's pseudoreality; and Lifton's "originators of the Word."⁵⁵ This is an exploitable vulnerability. Moreover, while it is essential to recognize the pseudoreality that the dialectic imposes, it is equally important to recognize that we do not have to play the role that the pseudoreality assigns us.

According to Ben Rhodes, Obama "urged Prime Minister Justin Trudeau of Canada to take on a more vocal role defending the values they shared," and that

Just because the Left is trapped in a dialectical delusion of its own making does not mean we have to participate in it as well. In fact, as long as we do, there is no way ahead.

⁵⁴ Peter Baker, "[How Trump's Election Shook Obama: 'What if We Were Wrong?'](#)" *New York Times*, May 30, 2018.

⁵⁵ Dr. Lifton, *Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism*, Kindle Version, 427.

CANCEL CULTURE

These are just general smear words. They are emotional words. They are words which have no definition, and first you create a sense of fear and hatred and then you apply this word to everyone against you.

—BELLA DODD, 1953

“Chancellor Angela Merkel of Germany told Mr. Obama that she felt more obliged to run for another term because of Mr. Trump’s election to defend the liberal international order.”⁵⁶

Of course, **the Left is intent on undermining national identity in favor of an international order.** This process requires the systematic destruction of national identity. In Europe, this process has advanced to the point of criminalizing defenses of national identity. One need only listen in at international forums like the OSCE to recognize that the language of diplomacy cannot be distinguished from Euro-Leftist narratives. Of course, this is happening before our eyes—in the open. But just say it, just respond to what Obama, Rhodes, Trudeau and Merkel openly speak to in critical terms and wait to be accused of being a McCarthyite,⁵⁷ a conspiracy theorist, a hater, a racist, a fascist, a genderist, etc.

⁵⁶ Baker, “[How Trump’s Election Shook Obama: ‘What if We Were Wrong?’](#)”

⁵⁷ The Left deployed **thought-terminating clichés** in the American political arena as far back as the 1940s. From Bella Dodd’s testimony to the House Committee on Un-American Activities (HCUA) in 1953, not only does one find the CP-USA’s deployment of the “**McCarthyist**” meme but also, as a precursor to “Make America Great Again”, attacks on “America Firsters.” From Dodd, Columbus Testimony, [1761-1762](#): “Mr. KUNZIG. Do they fight back by methods of fear, and if so, will you explain how that is done?”

Dr. DODD. **Anyone who opposes the Communist line, anyone who is going to hurt them in any way, is bound to get the full impact of the attacks of the Communists plus all of their friends. The attack is always in high-sounding words.** The congressional committees of the United States Government become the agents of Fascists, and therefore, **everyone is asked to organize against the “agents of fascism.”**

Mr. KUNZIG. You mean that is what the Communists say?

Dr. DODD. That is what the Communists say.

Mr. SCHERER. That is “mild,” Doctor, **anyone who opposes them is called a Fascist or an America Firster.**

Dr. DODD. Or it becomes a McCarranite, or a McCarthyite. Let me assure you that **these are just general smear words. They are emotional words.** They are words which have no definition, and **first you create a sense of fear and hatred and then you apply this word to everyone against you . . .**

. . . **The Communists do have representation in practically every key area in America . .**

. . . How do they promote this resolution? First, **they will get someone to make a statement.** They get a Communist not known as a Communist. Take a person, let say a person who is outstanding in religious fields or educational fields. He will write . . .

Mr. KUNZIG. You mean a Communist outstanding in a religious field?

Dr. DODD. Might be.

Mr. CLARDY. But you say one not known as a Communist?

Dr. DODD. An outstanding professor not known as a Communist. He and 2 or 3 others will then get a telegram saying, “We are opposed to the investigation of this committee. It promotes the interest of the McCarthyites, and so on and so forth.” Then, that telegram is sent to, let’s say, a thousand other people. **They have lists.** I have had them myself, lists of ministers, doctors, lawyers, and others.

Mr. SCHERER. You mean the lists are in the party headquarters?

Dr. DODD. Lists are in party headquarters and in some of the front committees, which they have established like the American Committee for Democracy and Intellectual Freedom, run by a secretary who is a member of the Communist Party.

Mr. CLARDY. Are you talking about a list of Communists or non-Communists?

Dr. DODD. There will be a list of both, non-Communists and Communists, predominantly non-Communists, but **people who they can use from time to time to respond to various causes.**

Mr. CLARDY. People, you mean, who are occupying positions of some importance so that their ideas will carry weight?

This brings us back to Mao's "thought reform" tactics that Dr. Lifton labeled "thought-terminating clichés" that reduce any critical discussion of the Left to an ill-informed sacrilege. Thought terminating clichés are:

"The most far-reaching and complex of human problems" compressed into "brief, highly reductive, definitive-sounding phrases, easily memorized and easily expressed. [They] become the start and finish of any ideological analysis." Associated with these clichés are a "claimed certitude of sacred science" and "an underlying assumption that language—like all other human products—can be owned and operated by the Movement." Thought terminating clichés seek the "subordination of human experience to the claims of doctrine" that have "much to do with the peculiar aura of half-reality which a totalist environment" facilitates. By design, thought terminating clichés render the individual "linguistically deprived" with the only consideration for their use being their usefulness to the cause.⁵⁸

In tandem with post-modern narratives, **thought-terminating clichés have been a staple of the American Left for decades.** As with politically correct nar-

In contrast to establishment Republicans held hostage by such narratives, the Left is unhinged by the effortless manner by which Trump cuts through those clichés and turns them in on themselves.


Dr. DODD. That is right."

See also, Johnson, Testimony to the HCUA, [2228-2229](#) and [2262-2263](#).

⁵⁸ Dr. Lifton, *Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism*, Kindle Version, 429 – 431. In greater detail: "Loading the Language - The language of the totalist environment is characterized by the thought-terminating cliché. The most far-reaching and complex of human problems are compressed into brief, highly reductive, definitive-sounding phrases, easily memorized and easily expressed. These become the start and finish of any ideological analysis. In thought reform, for instance, the phrase "bourgeois mentality" is used to encompass and critically dismiss ordinarily troublesome concerns like the quest for individual expression, the exploration of alternative ideas, and the search for perspective and balance in political judgments. And in addition to their function as interpretive shortcuts, these clichés become what Richard Weaver has called "ultimate terms": either "god terms," representative of ultimate good; or "devil terms," representative of ultimate evil. In thought reform, "progress," "progressive," "liberation," "proletarian standpoints" and "the dialectic of history" fall into the former category; "capitalist," "imperialist," "exploiting classes," and "bourgeois" [mentality, liberalism, morality, superstition, greed] of course fall into the latter. Totalist language, then, is repetitiously centered on all-encompassing jargon, prematurely abstract, highly categorical, relentlessly judging, and to anyone but its most devoted advocate, deadly dull . . . The loading is much more extreme in ideological totalism, however, since the jargon expresses the **claimed certitudes of the sacred science**. Also involved is an **underlying assumption that language - like all other human products - can be owned and operated by the Movement**. No compunctions are felt about manipulating or loading it in any fashion; the only consideration is **its usefulness to the cause**. For an individual person, the effect of the language of ideological totalism can be summed up in one word: **constriction**. He is, so to speak, linguistically deprived; and since language is so central to all human experience, his capacities for thinking and feeling are immensely narrowed. . . . As in other aspects of totalism, this loading may provide an initial sense of insight and security, eventually followed by uneasiness. This uneasiness may result in a retreat into a rigid orthodoxy . . . This sterile language reflects another characteristic feature of ideological totalism: **the subordination of human experience to the claims of doctrine . . . It has much to do with the peculiar aura of half-reality which a totalist environment seems, at least to the outsider, to possess.**"

atives, their deployment has succeeded to the point where they are primarily enforced by the controlled opposition against its own base.

In contrast to establishment Republicans held hostage by such narratives, the Left is unhinged by the effortless manner by which Trump cuts through those clichés and turns them in on themselves. “‘I don’t know why you’d say that. Such a racist question,’ Trump said.”⁵⁹ **The experience of being victimized into silence by such rhetoric is what makes Trump so popular among the base that elected him.** This carries forward to his attacks on the 24-hour news-cycle which, properly understood, is an *aufheben* engine, even when it’s fair and balanced.



The Left can simply dress its destructive courses of action in high-sounding words like progress, liberal, justice, and freedom in order to manipulate individuals and organizations into action.

From constructed narratives to thought-terminating clichés, the Left does not require its followers, “fellow travelers”, or united front allies to agree to or even understand its objectives when getting them to act in furtherance of its objectives. The Left can simply dress its destructive courses of action in **high-sounding words like progress, liberal, justice, and freedom in order to manipulate individuals and organizations** into action, often getting some to act against interest.⁶⁰ This wholesale manipulation of language is at the core of

⁵⁹ Matthew Choi, “[Trump to Journalist: I’m not a racist. Your Question is Racist.](#)” *Politico*, November 7, 2018.

⁶⁰ Historically speaking, for example: Dodd, Philadelphia Testimony, [2898](#); “Dr. DODD. I was told by Gil Green, chairman of the party in New York State, that **if ever communism came to America it would not come under the Socialist label or the Communist label but it would come under a label palatable to the American people.** I said “What do you mean?” He said “It might be liberty or democracy or something of that kind.” In other words, **they will hide themselves under labels which the American people will think are their own** . . . They will use words with a definition which you and I do not use. For instance, they regard themselves as the most democratic. I was always told that the

Josef Pieper's argument that the abuse of power, which begins with an abuse of language, **reduces individuals to tools to be manipulated**.⁶¹ As such, many of these narratives appeal to the weaknesses and pride of those to be exploited. Such appeals are what Pieper labeled "flattery"—yet they are designed solely to establish dominance.⁶²

While it is true that **the end state of the Left is America's destruction**, it accomplishes its mission through the dialectical processes **it controls**. In this respect, the "how" of the end state is the end state itself.

By way of a Civil War analogy, Lee visualized his end state in terms of winning the archaic Napoleonic set piece battle while Grant recognized the operational maneuver nature of modern warfare when issuing his famous order, "Lee's army will be your objective point. Wherever Lee goes, there you will go also." Grant's true end state was the process of destroying Lee's combat power. By the time Lee realized this, he lost the combat power to respond.

Hence, today, where America seeks to defend itself through reliance on archaic political rhetoric, so goes the *aufheben* engines of the Left. Where goes President Trump, so goes the Left's swarming mass line constellation of otherism

American form of democracy is only a limited democracy. The most perfect democracy is the democracy of the Communist movement and of the Soviet Union, so when they use the word "democracy" they are obviously not using the same terms that we are using. **The word is the same but the meaning is different.**" And also: Dodd, Columbus Testimony, 1761; "The attack is always in high-sounding words. The congressional committees of the United States Government become the agents of Fascists, and therefore, everyone is asked to organize against the 'agents of fascism'"

⁶¹ Pieper, *Abuse of Language – Abuse of Power*, 20 – 23; "The very moment that someone in full awareness employs words yet explicitly disregards reality, he in fact ceases to communicate anything to the other . . . It really implies that from one moment to the next the human relationship between the speaker and the listener changes . . . Whoever speaks to another person – not simply, we presume, in spontaneous conversation but using well-considered words, and whoever in doing so is explicitly not committed to the truth . . . such a person no longer considers the other as partner, as equal. In fact, he no longer respects the other as a human person. The decisive element is this: having an ulterior motive. I address the other not simply to please him or to tell him something that is true. **Rather, what I say to him is designed to get something from him.** The other whom I try to influence ceases to be my partner; he is no longer a fellow subject. **Rather, he has become for me an object to be manipulated, possibly to be dominated, to be handled and controlled.** It appears, especially to the one so flattered, as if a special respect would be paid, while in fact this is precisely not the case. His dignity is ignored; I concentrate on his weakness and on those areas that may appeal to him – all in order to manipulate him, **to use him for my purposes.**

⁶² Pieper, *Abuse of Language – Abuse of Power*, 29; "Be this as it may – this much remains true: wherever the main purpose of speech is flattery, there the word becomes corrupted, and necessarily so. And instead of genuine communication, there will exist something for which domination is too benign a term; more appropriately we should speak of tyranny, of despotism. On one side there will be a sham authority, unsupported by any intellectual superiority, and on the other a state of dependency, which again is too benign a term. Bondage would be more correct. Yes, indeed: there are on the one side pseudoauthority, not legitimized by any form of superiority, and on the other a state of mental bondage [which constitutes] the counterfeit usurpation of power, a power that belongs to the legitimate political authority alone."

**The end state of the Left
is America's destruction.**

**Where goes President
Trump, so goes the Left's
swarming mass line
constellation of otherism
organizations acting in a
united front with standing
orders to attack, negate,
and, most importantly, to
never break contact.**

The brainwashing tactics of the Left today, like those of the Chinese Communists, are anti-democratic and un-American. Yet the resources to bring these techniques to the table are impressive.

* Arthur Schopenhauer, *The World as Will and Idea*, Volume 2 [of 3], 1859, trans R. B. Haldane and J. Kemp, Kegan Paul, Trench, Trubner & Co, 1909 from the original German written in 1859, Project Gutenberg EBook 2012, 239 257.

organizations acting in a united front with standing orders to attack, to negate, and, most importantly, to never break contact. It is in recognizing the “how” of the Left’s end state that one realizes that the situation will not change until this relationship is recognized, then halted, and then reversed.

Secondary Effects of the Left’s End State

In the 1961 treatise [*Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism—A Study of “Brainwashing” in China*](#), Psychiatrist Robert Jay Lifton analyzed the forced **political indoctrination programs** used by the Chinese Communists, labeled them “totalism”,⁶³ and said that it subjugates the human to the ‘ahuman’. He explained, from Camus, that it puts “an abstract idea above the human life, even if they call it history, to which they themselves have submitted in advance and to which they will decide quite arbitrarily, to submit everyone else as well.”⁶⁴ Under the rubric of “sacred science”, Dr. Lifton further explained **the elevation of the Leftist ideology to the level of the sacred while also providing it with the status of scientific certainty thus rendering opposition to it both immoral and unscientific.**⁶⁵ Sound familiar?

The Chinese fleeing the Communist takeover identified its forced political indoctrination programs as “*hsi nao*” (literally “wash brain”), i.e., brainwashing.⁶⁶ In archetype form, these brain washing programs are simply the viscous application of the same higher-form metaphysical science by a god-like state following Hegel’s dialectical form that Arthur Schopenhauer labeled “the unparalleled scribblings of nonsense” back in the 1840s.* This is the true face of Hegel’s sci-

⁶³ Dr. Lifton, [*Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism*](#), Kindle Version, 419; “Ideological totalism [is] the coming together of immoderate ideology with equally immoderate individual character traits—an extremist meeting ground between people and ideas.”

⁶⁴ Dr. Lifton, [*Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism*](#), Kindle Version, 431.

⁶⁵ Dr. Lifton, [*Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism*](#), Kindle Version, 427 – 428; The “Sacred Science” The totalist milieu maintains an aura of sacredness around its basic dogma, holding it out as an ultimate moral vision for the ordering of human existence. This sacredness is evident in the prohibition (whether or not explicit) against the questioning of basic assumptions, and in the reverence which is demanded for the originators of the Word, the present bearers of the Word, and the Word itself. While thus transcending ordinary concerns of logic, however, the milieu at the same time makes an exaggerated claim of airtight logic, of absolute “scientific” precision. Thus the ultimate moral vision becomes an ultimate science; and the man who dares to criticize it, or to harbor even unspoken alternative ideas, becomes not only immoral and irreverent, but also “unscientific.” In this way, the philosopher kings of modern ideological totalism reinforce their authority by claiming to share in the rich and respected heritage of natural science. The assumption here is not so much that man can be God, but rather that man’s ideas can be God: that an absolute science of ideas (and implicitly, an absolute science of man) exists, or is at least very close to being attained; that this science can be combined with an equally absolute body of moral principles; and that the resulting doctrine is true for all men at all times. Although no ideology goes quite this far in overt statement, such assumptions are implicit in totalist practice.

⁶⁶ Dr. Lifton, [*Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism*](#), Kindle Version, 3.

entism [Hegel's a priori science from Hegel's concept of Reason] and statism [a state with god-like power bestriding the land]; the true face of Hegel applied.

These are the brainwashing tactics used by the Left today. Like those of the Chinese Communists, they are anti-democratic and un-American. The resources to bring these techniques to the table are impressive. The systematic attack on America's economic, social, and political structures cannot be analyzed in exclusively domestic terms, as the long-term effects of this *Aufheben der Kultur* will weaken America's position as a global leader.

As this process continues inside the United States, it should not be forgotten that there are at least two foreign beneficiaries of the domestic activities of the Left: **China** and the **transnational Islamic Movement**. The following chart illustrates the alignment.

CHINA	DOMESTIC LEFT	ISLAMIC MOVEMENT
Replace United States as a global Leader	Subordinate the United States to regional and international legal and regulatory regimes	Undermine America's global leadership
Reduce American Influence	Support the international neo-Marxist and Islamic movements through identified lines of effort	Islamic control of national and regional culture
Undermine America domestically	Control institutions and culture to drive Neo-Marxist outcomes	Weaken America's international and regional allies
Chinese Communist Ideology	<i>Aufheben der Kultur</i>	Islamic Movement shariah principles
International leadership	An international order	Global Caliphate

The Left's Lines of Effort and Operation [WAYS]

"In all the practical work of our Party, all correct leadership is necessarily "from the masses, to the masses". This means: take the ideas of the masses [scattered and unsystematic ideas] and concentrate them [through study turn them into concentrated and systematic ideas], then go to the masses and propagate and explain these ideas until the masses embrace them as their own, hold fast to them and translate them into action, and test the correctness of these ideas in such action. Then once again concentrate ideas from the masses and once again go to the masses so

The Left employs common organizations in the infrastructure, grassroots and network arenas to prevent duplication of effort and decrease operational cost.

that the ideas are persevered in and carried through. And so on, over and over again in an endless spiral, with the ideas becoming more correct, more vital and richer each time. Such is the Marxist theory of knowledge.”—Mao Zedong, 1943⁶⁷

It is through “ways” that political warfare executes “lines of effort” and “lines of operation” that bring the counter-state’s mass line into play along five components: Political, Alliances, Violence, International (and Cyber Sanctuary) and Non-Violence. These five components can be remembered by the acronym **PAVIN** and will be further explained in the context of the “ways” of the American Left in this section.

Lines of effort “link multiple tasks and missions using the logic of purpose - cause and effect—to focus efforts toward establishing operational and strategic conditions. In operations involving many. . . factors, lines of effort may be the only way to link tasks, effects, conditions, and the desired end state.”⁶⁸

Understanding the Left’s strategic design includes recognizing the interplay between political warfare’s hard and soft approaches within its lines of effort. Disconnected lines of effort and factional groups can be coordinated through the use of shared services and support organizations that increase the intensity of the operation.

The Left employs common organizations in the infrastructure, grassroots and network arenas to prevent duplication of effort and decrease operational cost. The level of capitalism and entrepreneurialism in the Left’s political machine is noteworthy and consistent with the historical trend of highly affluent leadership cadres. For example, each of the groups listed below is funded and/or affiliated with Democracy Alliance⁶⁹:

Infrastructure:

- | | |
|--|--|
| ■ Fundraising – | ACTBlue |
| ■ Data – | Catalist ⁷⁰ |
| ■ Community organizing and door knocking – | U.S. PIRG and unions |
| ■ Opposition Research – | American Bridge 21st Century ⁷¹ |

⁶⁷ Mao Zedong, “[Some Questions Concerning Methods of Leadership](#)”, June 1, 1943, *Selected Works of Mao Tse-tung*, Vol. III, p. 119, Marxist Internet Archive.

⁶⁸ US Army Field Manual 3-0, *Operations*, February 27, 2008, 6-66

⁶⁹ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 109 – 110.

⁷⁰ “[Catalist](#)”, Catalist homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁷¹ “[American Bridge 21st Century](#)”, American Bridge 21st Century homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

- Media engagement – [MediaMatters for America](#)⁷²
- Campaigns – [Democracy Partners](#)⁷³ [et al]

Grassroots:

- [ProgressNow](#)⁷⁴ – and 26 state affiliates
- Green/Climate/Environment Groups
- Unions
- [CREW](#)⁷⁵

Networks:

- [Netroots Foundation](#), et al:⁷⁶
- Citizen Engagement Lab – and nearly three dozen affiliates
- [ShareBlue Media](#)

To review, the **Left's main effort is control of the masses through control of the public's perception of events**—a job made much easier with more than 170 Democracy Alliance-affiliated groups working in concert.⁷⁷ To achieve this, the Left relies on Mao's mass line concepts.

Through direct control of the information infrastructure, including the mainstream media and the educational infrastructure, the Left identifies local grievances and packages their solutions within narratives and associated lexicons designed to garner support from the general population.

See next page

The Left has direct control of the information infrastructure, including the mainstream media and the educational infrastructure.

⁷² "[MediaMatters for America](#)", MediaMatters for America homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁷³ "[Democracy Partners](#)", Democracy Partners homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁷⁴ "[ProgressNow](#)", ProgressNow homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁷⁵ "[CREW](#)", CREW homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁷⁶ "[Netroots Foundation](#)", Netroots Foundation homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁷⁷ [Democracy Alliance Investment Recommendations](#), Spring 2014, Democracy Alliance, 2014, 54 – 56, also Kenneth P. Vogel, "[Inside the Vast Liberal Conspiracy](#)," *Politico*, June 23, 2014, and Lachlan Markay, "[Busting Democracy Alliance Myths](#) – As Secretive Liberal Donor Club Garners Attention, Misconceptions Remain", *The Washington Free Beacon*, November 18, 2014.

The September 13, 2020 article by Shadi Hamid in *The Atlantic*, [The Democrats May Not Be Able to Concede](#), advocates for Republicans to vote for Joe Biden to avoid post-Election violence:

"I find myself truly worried about only one scenario: that Trump will win reelection and Democrats and others on the left will be unwilling, even unable, to accept the result.

A loss by Joe Biden under these circumstances is the worst case not because Trump will destroy America (he can't), but because it is the outcome most likely to undermine faith in democracy, resulting in more of the social unrest and street battles that cities including Portland, Oregon, and Seattle have seen in recent months. For this reason, strictly law-and-order Republicans who have responded in dismay to scenes of rioting and looting have an interest in Biden winning—even if they could never bring themselves to vote for him."

In recent years, the Left has further extended its control into emerging technologies and social media platforms. This effort bears similarities to the Colorado Democracy Alliance's approach that duplicates the functions of a political party outside of the traditional party infrastructure.

With ACTBlue and social media, the Left employs a shared service organization as the focal point for activists from individual groups to engage in focused, online information and propaganda distribution activities. It constitutes the modern, high-tech, American operational sequencing and planning of today's modern distributed proletariat, version 1.0.

It is through Mao's concept of political warfare that institutions are co-opted and authoritarian power is wielded. For this reason, there is an immediate need to understand what the media calls the "deep state" as the Maoist counter-state.

- **MASS LINE:** organizing an alternative society through the construction of clandestine infrastructure, that is, a counter-state. Local socio-economic grievances and aspirations are to be addressed by cadres, who then connect solutions to the party's political mechanism. As with all political action, the appeal to perceived needs (not only grievances but also hopes and aspirations) seeks to win allegiance for the purpose of mobilization. The approach seeks a mass base.
- **LINE OF EFFORT (LOES).** The following is an analysis of political warfare "ways" along the 5 lines of effort using PAVIN.

THE POLITICAL LOE

Political Warfare: Using nonviolent methods, such as participation undermining the morale of enemy forces or offering to engage in negotiations, as an adjunct to violence. These methods could be implemented at the strategic, operational, or tactical levels of warfare. Unlike the united front, Mao conceived of political warfare as a force-multiplier. The united front was a line of operation unto itself.⁷⁸

⁷⁸ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People's War*, 7.

Using nonviolent methods in support of violence - In the first couple of months following the start of the Antifa/BLM riots, mainstream media and elected officials were not only dismissive of the escalating violence, they afforded it an air of legitimacy:

- 6/1/2020 - **New York Magazine** writes, *The Rioters Aren't Here to Convince You*, "you might begrudge the rioters their insistence on seeing some of it burn for a few nights. But then again, you'd be missing their point." [NY Mag](#).
- 6/2/2020 - **Massachusetts attorney general Maura Healey** [D.], co-chair of the Democratic Attorneys General Association, refused to denounce the violent riots occurring nationwide, likening them to the purifying effects of a forest fire. "Yes, America is burning, but that's how forests grow," adding "we must seize the opportunity we have right now to build anew in ways that rid us of the institutionalized racism that's led to America burning today." [Tweet](#).
- 6/4/2020 - **Slate.com** tweets "Non-violence is an important tool for protests, but so is violence." [Tweet](#).
- 7/9/2020 - **Democrat Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi** commented on mobs tearing down statues, saying that "*people will do what they do*," during the House Speaker Weekly Briefing. [YouTube](#).
- 7/18/2020 - "**House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) and Rep. Earl Blumenaur (D-Ore.)** released a joint statement Saturday evening condemning what they said were 'egregious abuses of power' after the Trump administration deployed federal officers to Portland amid protests." Mischaracterizing the violent riots as "First Amendment rights" [The Hill](#).
- 7/26/2020 - **Rep. Jerry Nadler** dismissing violent Antifa riots as "*A Myth*" in a video interview. [Tweet](#).

The political line of effort is the focal point in political warfare operations.

While the other four lines of effort are important, they act in support of the mass line's development. The Left uses the political line of effort to develop, mobilize and resource their counter state and cadre through a mass line approach. Mao considered the political line of effort, along with alliances (united front) and violence, to be one of the three "magic weapons"⁷⁹ of political warfare. It is through the creation of cohorts of active and passive participants at both the local and national levels that the Left coalesces a counter-state—a subversive state within a state.

⁷⁹ Mao Zedong, "[Introducing the Communists](#)", *Selected Works of Mao Tse-tung*, Marxist Internet Archive; "It also means that our eighteen years of experience have taught us that the united front, armed struggle and Party building are the Chinese Communist Party's three "magic weapons", its three principal magic weapons for defeating the enemy in the Chinese revolution. This is a great achievement of the Chinese Communist Party and of the Chinese revolution.

Using nonviolent methods in support of violence:



May 28, 2020, "MSNBC was ridiculed online after one of its reporters attempted to downplay the riots in Minneapolis on Thursday night. MSNBC host and reporter Ali Velshi was criticized for saying that the riots were *"mostly a protest," despite a large fire raging behind him.*" [The Blaze](#).

Leftist political engagement meets the people where they are. **Through gentle nudges over time, passive participants become active.** At first, a target may only be asked to sign a petition or provide an email or mailing address. From that point, the subject becomes the recipient of sustained communications related to the issues of the originating petition. **While an individual may not be politically active, that person—through the supporting consumption of mass market media—will become more susceptible to activist messaging and discontent.** The overarching goal of this line of effort is the development and reinforcement of a mass line as it builds the counter-state within the state; complete with its own replacement legal, cultural, and social norms that operate in parallel with that of the host culture's.

Using nonviolent methods in support of violence:



August 25, 2020, CNN's Omar Jimenez is reporting from Kenosha, WI "standing in front of a building engulfed in flames and CNN's chyron reads: '**FIERY BUT MOSTLY PEACEFUL PROTESTS AFTER POLICE SHOOTING.**'" [Newsweek](#).

The Left adopts a strategy that leverages their mass line to harness ever increasing power over institutions, including corporations, the government, religion, and the media. **It is through Mao's concept of political warfare that institutions are co-opted and authoritarian power is wielded.** For this reason, there is an immediate need to understand what the media calls the "deep state" as the Maoist counter-state. This is what the American Left signed onto when Marcuse adopted Dutschke's plan based on Mao's "long march" strategy. As such, the Left's center of gravity is the mass line itself along with the supporting lines of effort that radiate from it.

The foremost battle is the struggle for the truth of the first reality over the narratives of the second. This is where Trump succeeds and the establishment opposition fails.

COVID-19 Narratives are the dominant mass line enforcement mechanism (Discourse Theory)

- It subordinates civil and economic liberties to narratives forcing the abandonment of Constitutional processes by those tasked to support them.
- Continues to separate the President from his base, removed him from the field.
- C19 Narratives attack citizens who seek to exercise Constitutional rights regarding the imposition of mandates that have proven to be arbitrary and unscientific.
- Apparently, as designed, it is causing multi-trillion \$\$\$ damage to the economy.
- Biden/Van Jones/ Others calling for the Left to re-center narratives on C19 lockdown and economic damage. Biden actively politicking blaming POTUS for C19 abuses perpetrated by Blue State Governors.
- Questionable science continues to be the hallmark of the C19 mass line enforcement narratives (which is severable from C19 itself). Multiple scientific claims have already been impeached.
- CDC latest survival rates for people who test positive (a tiny fraction to begin with):
 - age 0-19: 99.99.7% • age 20-49: 99.98%.
 - age 50-69: 99.5% • age 70+: 94.6% [\[CDC\]](#)

The Commission on Presidential Debates unilaterally switched from agreed upon Foreign Policy topics, for the final debate, to COVID-19, thereby enforcing the Democratic Party's COVID-19 narrative directive, which the moderator led with, spending one-third of the debate on COVID. [Newsweek](#).

Post-Debate, former acting-DNI Rick Grenell tweeted "@WillieGeist asks @DonnyDeutsch what should @joebiden's strategy be the last days before November 3, Donny says 'COVID, COVID, COVID'. *The Left is celebrating the pandemic and not blaming China.*" [Twitter](#).

Post-Debate, North Dakota Governor Kristi Noem tweeted "@realDonaldTrump is exactly right. Lockdowns didn't stop the spread in blue states. Why? Because lockdowns DON'T WORK." [Twitter](#).

Governor Noem was reiterating her remarks from October 5th, 2020 "As you all might imagine, these last seven months have been quite lonely at times," Noem said during a special legislative session on Monday. "But earlier this week, one very prominent national reporter sent me a note that said, 'Governor, if you hadn't stood against lockdowns, we'd have no proof of just how useless they really have been.'" [ABC News](#).

The reason America's current toolbox of responses is perilous is because it accepts mass line concepts of America as the terms of engagement. When, for example, mainstream Americans are manipulated into responding to mass line narratives from within those narratives, a [dialectical] paradox sets in where

the highly ideological thrust of the Left's ambitions are **made to sound normal while mainstream defenses of America sound shrill, rigid, and even ideological**. This is because they fail to recognize that they are responding in Pieper's pseudoreality, or in Voegelin's *grimoire* determined second reality, constructed for that purpose.


The first—most deadly—existential defeat comes from **not recognizing that first reality truths cannot be defended in the second**. Hence, the first most existentially determinative battle is the one over which reality the war is to be waged.

The second most deadly defeat comes from **a defense of America along the terms permitted it by the narratives that enforce the pseudoreality** [or Voegelin's second reality]. A defense of America in the pseudoreality is no defense at all. Rather, it serves the Left's purpose of positioning America for negation by virtue of accepting the Left's terms of engagement that always enforce the mass line.

The foremost battle is the struggle for the truth of the first reality over the narratives of the second. This is where Trump succeeds and the establishment opposition fails. Its why 'words that work' are the Matrix's blue pill.

The "Red Pill / Blue Pill" construct from the movie *The Matrix* has proven quite successful and popular when explaining complex political warfare concepts in simplified terms that people grasp. For this reason, *Unconstrained Analytics, Inc* posted a 3-part article "*The Matrix Explains Political Warfare Realities*," [Part 1](#), [Part 2](#), and [Part 3](#) to help bridge that gap.






From the moment the organizer enters a community he lives, dreams, eats, breathes, sleeps only one thing and that is to **build the mass power base** of what he calls the army. Until he has developed that **mass power base**, he confronts no major issues. He has nothing with which to confront anything. Until he has those means and power instruments, his "tactics" are very different from power tactics. Therefore, **every move revolves around one central point**: how many recruits will this bring into the organization, whether by means of local organizations, churches, service groups, labor unions, corner gangs, or as individuals. The only issue is, how will this increase the strength of the organization. If by losing in a certain action he can get more members than by winning, then victory lies in losing and he will lose.

—SAUL ALINSKY,
*RULES FOR
RADICALS*

The Left focuses on cultural and institutional power by communicating its ideological initiatives in terms of "values" while targeting the placement of cadres throughout the mass line so they can enable those "values" by converting them first to norms, then to policy, and finally to law.



Issues, whether real or manufactured, are dialectically structured to provide false choices between opposing binaries. Decision-making influenced by this process will fail in predictable and manageable ways.

For years, indoctrination of “government workers to believe divisive, anti American propaganda” has been systemic. [The White House is finally taking steps to combat it:](#)



THE DIRECTOR

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

September 4, 2020

M-20-34

MEMORANDUM FOR THE HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES

FROM: Russell Vought
Director

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "R. Vought", written over the printed name and title.

SUBJECT: Training in the Federal Government

It has come to the President's attention that Executive Branch agencies have spent millions of taxpayer dollars to date "training" government workers to believe divisive, anti-American propaganda.

For example, according to press reports, employees across the Executive Branch have been required to attend trainings where they are told that "virtually all White people contribute to racism" or where they are required to say that they "benefit from racism." According to press reports, in some cases these training have further claimed that there is racism embedded in the belief that America is the land of opportunity or the belief that the most qualified person should receive a job.

These types of "trainings" not only run counter to the fundamental beliefs for which our Nation has stood since its inception, but they also engender division and resentment within the Federal workforce. We can be proud that as an employer, the Federal government has employees of all races, ethnicities, and religions. We can be proud that Americans from all over the country seek to join our workforce and dedicate themselves to public service. We can be proud of our continued efforts to welcome all individuals who seek to serve their fellow Americans as Federal employees. However, we cannot accept our employees receiving training that seeks to undercut our core values as Americans and drive division within our workforce.

The four other lines of effort focus on affirming these initiatives along “scientifically” determined paths which **ultimately lead to the only alternative the binary allows—a statist solution.**

As with Mao's long march, **the cultural and institutional approach is long term and systematic.** As a measurement of Marcuse's effectiveness in converting universities to counter-state centers, today's Left enjoys a 10:1 advantage in faculty⁸⁰ that, as is becoming obvious, has become increasingly brazen in the imposition of counter-state schemas on brutalized student bodies. In America, the Left refers to the political line of effort as “organizing”.

Saul Alinsky, the architect of community organizing in America, wrote:

“If the organizer begins with an affirmation of love for people, he promptly turns everyone off. If, on the other hand, he begins with a denunciation of exploiting employers, slum landlords, police shakedowns, gouging merchants, he is inside their experience and they accept him.”

“The organizer dedicated to changing the life of a particular community must **first rub raw the resentments of the people** of the community; **fan the latent hostilities** of many of the people to the point of overt expression. He must **search out controversy** and issues, rather than avoid them, for **unless there is controversy people are not concerned enough to act.**”⁸¹

The various individuals, organizations, groups, and societal elements that align within the counter state include **labor unions, interfaith groups, small donors,** and followers of **popular culture.** Individual Leftists join movements for many reasons and operate to varying degrees of commitment and levels of effectiveness.

Tolerance for personal preferences does not, however, extend to the implementation of narratives. Centralized control of funding, as with the Democracy Alliance,⁸² pools disparate groups into relationships offering a greater level of engagement and synchronization. **Funding decisions are also used to control messaging and enforce top down discipline.** As such, centralized funding enforces conformance, compliance, and discipline within the movement.

Today's Left enjoys a 10:1 advantage in faculty that, as is becoming obvious, has become increasingly brazen in the imposition of counter-state schemas on brutalized student bodies.

⁸⁰ Mitchell Langbert, “[Homogenous: The Political Affiliation of Elite Liberal Arts College Faculty](#)”, *National Association of Scholars (NAS)*, April 24, 2018.

⁸¹ Alinsky, *Rules for Radicals*, 98, 116 – 117.

⁸² Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 109 – 110.

The Left's ability to leverage modern information technology in support of mass line formation is critical to their "organizing" efforts.

Through foundations, deep pocket donors, [ShareBlue Media](#), Democracy Alliance⁸³ and others, **the Left is resourced to rapidly generate and deploy information campaigns along the entire information spectrum from the local and tactical to the national and strategic.** The demonstrated ability to rapidly swarm around individuals and issues disorients domestic political, institutional, and cultural opponents and forces them into predictably reactive postures.

The Left's ability to leverage modern information technology in support of mass line formation is critical to their "organizing" efforts. Specialized training is provided online, and in various other forums, to augment more traditional mass line development and fundraising efforts. One need look no further than the all-purpose activist platform [The Action Network](#)⁸⁴ where subscribers can literally select from a [menu](#) of activist "partners" for any "progressive" issue you can think of—and even some you might not.

Decades in the making and accelerated during the Obama administration, the Left's efforts at harnessing institutional power through cultural control have been highly effective. The Republican establishment, because it chooses to operate inside the Left's cultural framework when responding to mass line narratives, has become an asset to the Left's overall effort.

Did the Democrats expect to remove President Trump from office through the impeachment process? Certainly, it would have been a bonus had he been removed. This assessment hypothesizes that among the objectives of the impeachment proceedings, one was to determine the level of control mass line narratives have over Republicans in advance of planned mass line activities. How will Republicans respond to mass line attacks scheduled for the 2020 election cycle? Will they respond within the parameters set by mass line narratives, or reject them and actually mount an opposition? As the theory goes, if the Republicans were willing to accept arbitrary star chamber abuses of process as the basis of defending the President against impeachment . . .

⁸³ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 109 – 110.

⁸⁴ "[The Action Network](#)", ActionNetwork homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

The Left further reinforces its influence over society through its lines of effort into Hollywood, the media, and through social media platforms that help execute interlocking and reinforcing cross-platform information and perception management campaigns.⁸⁵ These campaigns enforce norms, drive changes in their favor, and characterize the opposition as intellectually challenged, morally deformed and deplorable.

The Left makes concerted efforts to control popular culture. The influence of the Left in Hollywood has become so dominant that conservative actors have to conceal their political orientation or lose their livelihood.⁸⁶ The emphasis on popular culture helps the Left frame the understanding of issues across the Left's constellation of issues. It is believed by many that the Left has institutional level control over most of mainstream media and uses this control to dominate contemporary programming.

The Republican establishment, because it chooses to operate inside the Left's cultural framework when responding to mass line narratives, has become an asset to the Left's overall effort.

As reported by the [LA Times](#) on October 15, 2020: *"Black Lives Matter co-founder Patrisse Cullors has signed an overall production deal with Warner Bros. Television Group to create original programming that raises Black voices on streaming services and traditional TV channels."* Patrisse Cullors is an Anti-American Marxist with a revolutionary vision and esoteric tendencies: ***"We actually do have an ideological frame. Myself and BLM co-founder, Alicia Garza, in particular are trained organizers. We are trained Marxists,"*** Cullors said. *'We are super versed on all sorts of ideological theories, and I think that what we really try to do is build a movement that could be utilized by many, many black folk,'* she added." [Citizens Journal](#), October 15, 2020. As noted in Part 1 of "Strategy and Tactic of the Left" relying on Ralph de Toledano's *Cry Havoc! – The Great American Bring-down and How it Happened*, Marxists' deep penetration of Hollywood has a long history.

Narratives are deployed to set conditions and **prepare the information environment for acceptance of the Left's solutions**. The Left has become highly effective at tying local grievances to national level campaigns that include synchronized actions and counteractions.

Consider the case of the school shooting in February 2018 at the Marjorie Stoneman Douglas High School. It was a tragic event. In response, and fueled by student activism, a national infrastructure of shared services created the opportunity for coordinated national-level protests, rallies, street theater and

⁸⁵ David Siders, "[Democrats Turn to Hollywood for Messaging Help \[We Lost to an Insane Person\]](#)", *Politico*, June 11, 2018.

⁸⁶ Dennis Romaro, "[Conservative Hollywood Group 'Friends of Abe' is Back](#)", *LA Weekly*, May 10, 2017.

Alliances are formed through the direct participation of ideological or political allies and indirectly through the participation of adversaries who may share a common goal or threat.

die-ins at retailers that donate to political candidates.⁸⁷ National level flash campaigns like Marjorie Stoneman **could not have succeeded had the public not been conditioned for such a campaign in advance.** Protesters hit the target audience with such speed that the shock demoralized the opposition while succeeding at getting the public to recoil from conservative solutions.

A parting thought on political warfare concerns the exorbitant cost of such operations. As discussed, being a leader of Left-wing causes has never been a poor man's game. The left has substantial influence within the various foundations. This access affords them enormous amounts of resourcing for their direct efforts while also helping to shape the efforts of other institutions through the Foundations themselves.

The Alliance LOE [United Front]

The alliance line of effort in political warfare campaigns is directed at establishing united fronts:

UNITED FRONTS: Making common cause with those individuals and groups who share concerns but not necessarily party goals. That an armed political movement is able to address perceived needs does not necessarily carry with it enough momentum to overcome natural fear of participation in what, after all, will ultimately become an illegal, underground, and dangerous endeavor. "Fellow traveler" status [even if it is concealed by the organization concerned] offers an alternative route and may provide some benefits to the insurgency in the form of advancing legal, open organizations that swell the mass base.⁸⁸

The alliance line of effort focuses on the:

1. cultural and institutional expansion of the Left through penetration of both political parties,
2. undermining American national identity, and
3. securing funding and resource control in order to establish command and control over the movement.

Alliances are formed through the direct participation of ideological or political allies and indirectly through the participation of adversaries who may, from moment to moment, **share a common goal or threat.**

⁸⁷ Kyle Arnold, "[Publix Suspends Political Contributions as David Hogg 'Die-ins' Begin](#)", *Orlando Sentinel*, May 25, 2018.

⁸⁸ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People's War*, 7.

As noted, Mao considered alliances (united fronts) to be a part of his “magic weapon” in recognition that **the ascendance of a new political order required the co-option of the existing order.**

As an obvious example, while Republicans held both houses of Congress and the executive, **they continued, by inaction, to support the Left through policies they were elected to overturn.** At the same time, they were inclusively active in the effort to reclaim the administrative state, educational institutions, and corporate culture from their overall drift to the Left. This is what controlled oppositions do!

Also by **deliberate inaction**, Senate Republicans slow-rolled approval of President Trump’s nominees for high office. Most telling, the Republican Senate reverted to a tactic reserved for the most partisan of oppositions by choosing to remain in session to ensure that Trump could not make recess appointments.⁸⁹ This cripples Trump in his ability to exercise his Article II duties. **This tactic leaves the administrative state in the hands of the Obama holdover opposition.** This is what controlled oppositions do!

Republicans, by inaction, continued to support the Left through policies they were elected to overturn.

Defeat Mechanism 2. A reprise of “Defeat Mechanism 1,” President Trump’s inability to staff the government with appointees minimally ensured that when Marxist mass line violence erupted, the bureaucracy would be paralyzed. This, in turn, remains largely due to the Senate Republicans blocking presidential appointees, even going so far as to keep the Senate from going into recess to thwart recess appointments. As a consequence, senior levels of the bureaucracy remain firmly in the hands of personnel from the previous administration that are committed to undermining the president in the conduct of his Article II powers. Hence, if top levels of the bureaucracy were predisposed to conduct themselves along counter-state lines, the Republican leadership gave them a free hand to do so.

Allies integrated into united fronts need not share in either the outcome or ideology as the Colorado Democracy Alliance⁹⁰ funders, the Roundtable,⁹¹ demonstrated. Pat Stryker⁹² cared about dual-language education in public schools. Tim Gill’s issue was gay marriage. Jared Polis⁹³ wanted money out of politics

⁸⁹ Ted Barrett, “[Senate Won’t Let Trump Make Recess Appointments](#)”, CNN, July 25, 2017.

⁹⁰ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 103 – 105.

⁹¹ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 135 – 137.

⁹² Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 140 – 141.

⁹³ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle

Those that use the Left's narrative need NOT be aware of their participation in a movement's objective in order to be an effective ally of that cause.

(and as a member of Congress still refuses corporate contributions). These donors did not share a consistent set of issues. However, they did **share a common purpose and goal: control of a political apparatus to co-opt a political party for a cultural teardown.**

For another united front example, consider the environmental group [Sierra Club](#)⁹⁴ and its support of illegal immigrants. Immigration and border protection have nothing at all to do with climate change.

An effective indicator of an individual or group's conformance to united front efforts is its incorporation of shared narratives. **Individuals and groups that use the Left's narrative need NOT be aware of their participation in a movement's objective in order to be an effective ally of that cause.** The Left currently leverages resource-sharing platforms like the Democracy Alliance⁹⁵ to not only coordinate narratives and operational planning along the united front, but also to enforce standardization throughout the varying cadres and organizations.

Through control of funding and resource distribution, the Left deploys a disciplined message structure. For example, since the early 2000's, three groups fashioned themselves into "ALEC-killers."⁹⁶ Each of the following groups had a primary focus on state policy and legislators. The Center for State Innovation produced reports, research and state comparative analysis. The [Progressive States Network](#)⁹⁷ duplicated the ALEC task force/policy committee model. And, The [American Legislative Issue and Campaign Exchange](#) [ALICE]⁹⁸ developed and indexed model legislation. In August 2015, immediately following a Democracy Alliance⁹⁹ meeting, the three groups merged to form the [State Innovation Exchange](#) [SiX] and quickly received millions of dollars in funding. Significant investment and access brought the three groups together under the control of Democracy Alliance.¹⁰⁰ The following year, new board members were installed including Michelle Ringuette of the [American Federation of Teachers](#)¹⁰¹ and

Locations 132 – 133.

⁹⁴ "[Sierra Club](#)," Sierra Club homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁹⁵ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 109 – 110.

⁹⁶ Kenneth P. Vogel, "[Democrats Create an ALEC-Killer](#)", *POLITICO*, November 9, 2014.

⁹⁷ "[About Progressive States Network](#) (PSN)", About Progressive States Network webpage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁹⁸ [The American Legislative and Issue Campaign Exchange](#) [ALICE], American Legislative and Issue Campaign Exchange [ALICE] homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

⁹⁹ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 109 – 110.

¹⁰⁰ Schrager and Witwer, [The Blueprint: How the Democrats Won Colorado](#), Kindle Locations 109 – 110.

¹⁰¹ "[American Federation of Teachers](#)", American Federation of Teachers homepage. See

Richard Trumpka of the AFL-CIO—both Democracy Alliance Partners (contributors). The influence of the Left's well-resourced united front efforts can be seen across cultural and institutional platforms as well.

MEDIA:

While the Left considers the media and entertainment industries as allies in united front activities, integration has reached the point where it is not unreasonable to consider it a **controlled asset**. Regardless, the Left expends great effort to extend its media control into new media and social media as well.

An alarming development is the quiet role social media is playing in **imposing and enforcing Neo-Marxist (postmodern) speech codes** on American citizens inside the United States. As social media speech codes follow the European Union's, it can be argued that they are acting under color of foreign authority when suppressing an American's First Amendment rights inside the United States. Regardless, it constitutes a *de facto* replacement of Constitutionally protected speech with that of the counter-state's.

EDUCATION:

Control of Education has always been a strategic objective of the Left in united front efforts going back to John Dewey, Bella Dodd, and the Comintern.¹⁰² That the American Federation of Teachers has been an integrated element in the Democracy Alliance simply points to the strategic role the indoctrination of children plays in the Left's long-march strategy. As important, it also signals a level of **institutional control and access to large labor pools from which to recruit cadre to further develop the mass line**. As Augustin Rudd observed back in the 1950s in *Bending the Twig*—

“The aim of early progressive educators wasn't to educate students to thrive under the political system established by the Framers; their aim was to modify that system, in effect to create a new order through the schools that would serve the administrative state.”¹⁰³



The entire “social justice” narrative can be sourced to Marxist efforts in its interfaith lines of effort.

Appendix C – Organizations.

¹⁰² For example, from Dodd, Philadelphia Testimony, [2887](#): “I had worked with the Communist Party from 1932 on, and by the time I became affiliated with the Teachers’ Union I was under discipline from the Communist Party.” Also, Dodd, Columbus Testimony, [1742, 1755](#); “In 1938, I . . . decided to go into the labor movement. I became an organizer and legislative representative of the New York Teacher’s Union. It was a local union of the American Federation of Teachers.” “The Teachers’ Union of New York City, unfortunately, came to be used as a real political weapon by the party, because the Teachers’ Union was one of the few unions over which they had some control in the A.F. of L. They used it on every occasion in the State Federation and the National Federation of Labor.”

¹⁰³ Augustin G. Rudd, *Bending the Twig: The Revolution in Education and Its Effects on Our Children*, [Chicago: Heritage Foundation, 1957, 18.




Wokal Distance
@wokal_distance

1/

This is a screenshot of people saying $2+2=5$. You read that right... $2+2=5$.

Among them are teachers, educators, and professors who plan on teaching this stuff to your children. So let's talk about what's going on here, why they're doing this, and how we can stop it.

A thread:



10:47 PM - Aug 3, 2020 · Twitter Web App

RELIGION:

The **penetration of religious organizations in America as a united front activity** can be traced at least as far back as the Soviet-controlled Comintern in the 1930s, as is well documented. The Interfaith movement in America was given institutional weight when the Gramsci Marxist Saul Alinsky founded the Industrial Areas Foundation (IAF) in 1940.

Religious institutions have been penetrated and compromised in part owing to the Left's ability to harness other dialectical activities already active in this area.

As already discussed, religious organizations have been active in united front activities since Reverend Ward founded the American League against War and Fascism in 1935 at the direction of the Comintern in the 1930s. The entire “social justice” narrative can be sourced to Marxist efforts in its interfaith lines of effort that can be sourced to the Comintern.

At the high point of the violence in front of the White House, the Archbishop of Washington, DC, Wilton Gregory, lashed out at President Trump for escorting his Catholic wife to a Catholic shrine. *“Wilton Gregory opposed the long-planned visit by Trump and first lady Melania Trump, who is Catholic.”* Days later, the Archbishop ordered priests to join the violent protests in front of the White House in support of the openly Marxist, openly esoteric BLM. [Fox News](#), June 3, 2020, [CM](#), June 7, 2020, [Gateway Pundit](#), September 15, 2020.

Ironically, this followed President Trump's signing of his [Executive Order on Advancing International Religious Freedom](#).

Earlier that same week *“The bishop of the Episcopal Diocese of Washington [Rev. Mariann Budde] sharply criticized President Donald Trump on Monday for staging a visit to the historic St. John's Church across from the White House, where he held up a Bible after authorities had cleared the area of peaceful protesters.”* [WUSA9 News](#), June 1, 2020.

More irony, the day before Bishop Budde's criticism of President Trump, St. John's Church had been set on fire by protestors. [NBC4 Washington](#), June 1, 2020.

“However, the veracity of Budde's claims has come under scrutiny, as the U.S. Park Police told WTOP, protesters were pushed back because some were attacking police officers -- not to clear the way for Trump. The outlet also cited sources saying tear gas was not used. ‘A source says tear gas was never used -- instead smoke cannisters were deployed, which don't have an uncomfortable irritant in them,’ reporter Neal Augenstein tweeted. ‘And, the source says Park Police didn't know President Trump would be walking across the park several minutes later.’” [Fox News](#), June 2, 2020.

Yet the [facts as established by the Park Police of the June 1, 2020 Lafayette Park situation](#) continue to be repeatedly mischaracterized by the Left, such as during the July 28, 2020 House Judiciary Committee Hearing of Attorney General William Barr when Rep. Knowing that their condemnations reflected the tear gas narrative, Jamie Raskin [D-MD] asked the Attorney General *“Are you aware that the rector of the church, the Episcopal Archbishop of Washington and the presiding Bishop of the Episcopal Church, nationally, along with the Catholic Bishop of the Archdiocese of Washington, all denounced this police assault on the civil rights and civil liberties of the people?”*

To which AG Barr responded, *“Did they do that before or after the fire was put out?”* [Rev.com Transcript](#), June 2, 2020.

There is an interactive interplay between the non-violent and violent lines of effort that must be recognized, understood, properly assessed, and addressed.

IMMIGRATION:

United fronts are also formed around **immigration and sovereignty**. Immigration, specifically organized immigrant groups and activists, is used by the Left to erode American culture so as not to offend the “other” until the idea of America is diluted and the borders become indefensible.

In line with the Left’s otherism strategy, many immigrants are manipulated into united front efforts. As Eric Hoffer recognized as far back as the early 1950’s in *The True Believer*:

“Because of the quality of their human material, mass migrations are fertile ground for the rise of genuine mass movements. It is sometimes difficult to tell where a mass migration ends and a mass movement begins . . . Migration, in the mass, strengthens the spirit and unity of a movement; and whether in the form of a foreign conquest, crusade, pilgrimage or settlement of new land it is practiced by most active mass movements.”¹⁰⁴

Before concluding the discussion on united fronts, it should be noted that it is through united front lines of effort that the Left allies with the Islamic Movement as documented by the Muslim Brotherhood’s 1982 *Toward a Worldwide Strategy for Islamic Policy*¹⁰⁵ and 1991 *Explanatory Memorandum*.¹⁰⁶

The Violence LOE

VIOLENCE: The new alternative society, existing as it does illegally and clandestinely, necessarily relies upon armed action to maintain its security within and without. The “liberation” struggle progresses through three strategic phases. Initially, the revolutionary movement will be on the defensive, then it will achieve stalemate, and finally go on the offensive. During each phase, a particular form of warfare will drive the dynamic. During the defensive stage, terror and guerrilla actions will lead. During the stalemate phase, mobile warfare [maneuver warfare] will be the dominant strategy. This will see insurgent “main force” units,

¹⁰⁴ Hoffer, Eric, *The True Believer: Thoughts on the Nature of Mass Movements*, Harper Collins, New York 1951 page 195

¹⁰⁵ *Toward a Worldwide Strategy for Islamic Policy [Points of Departure, Elements, Procedures and Missions]*, 1 December 1982, seized in November 2001 raid of Muslim Brotherhood leader Yusuf Nada’s residence in Campione de Italia, Switzerland [Lugano].

¹⁰⁶ “An Explanatory Memorandum: On the General Strategic Goal for the Group,” Mohamed Akram, May 22, 1991, Elbarasse Search-3, Government Exhibit 003-0085/3:04-CR-240-G U.S. v. HLF, et al., United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, URL: <http://www.txnd.uscourts.gov/judges/hlf2/09-25-08/Elbarasse%20Search%203.pdf>. Cited hereafter as Mohamed Akram, *Explanatory Memorandum*.

equivalents of government formations, take the field but not seek to hold territory. The final phase, the offensive, will see such seizure of ground, the so-called “war of position.”¹⁰⁷

With the occupation of downtown Seattle, “CHAZ/CHOP,” and later Portland, the Left demonstrated the ability to take territory but not hold it. That local and state elected officials facilitated these actions should not be left out of any after action assessments.

On October 16, 2020, “a federal district court judge allowed a lawsuit brought by 21 businesses against the city of Seattle to proceed, despite the city’s attempt to have it dismissed. The lawsuit accuses the city of harming local business owners by allowing the existence of Capitol Hill Organized Protest (CHOP), a self-declared autonomous zone that was established and occupied by racial justice protestors from June 8 to July 1.” [Newsweek](#), October 17, 2020.

Today, **violence is an escalating component of political warfare efforts in the United States.** In recent years, violent Leftist groups like *Antifaschistische Aktion* (Antifa) Occupy Wall Street (OWS), and Black Lives Matter (BLM) have (re) emerged.

In addition, **violent attacks by groups un beholden to the Left have been used to support the Left’s overall movement.** For example, a jihadi attack in Orlando was used to call for rescinding the Second Amendment while the storming of a U.S. Embassy abroad was deceitfully blamed on a YouTube video in order to seek limits on First Amendment free speech rights. There is an interactive interplay between the non-violent and violent lines of effort that must be recognized, understood, properly assessed, and addressed.

The past three years have seen an escalating pattern of violence against American citizens, organized attacks on police, threats against government officials, shootings of Republican congressmen, and an **increased willingness to use coercive threats of violence in support of Leftist objectives.**

The use of violence in a political warfare regime serves three purposes:

1. As a **violent splinter in support of non-violent lines of effort.** The threat of violence by more extreme elements of a united front creates the **appearance of moderation** for the non-violent actor even though the stated objectives of the violent and non-violent actors are the **same**. [As noted in the textbox in the “Background and Political Climate” section, readers are invited to re-read the textbox.]

The cumulative effect of violent acts and threats is a public perception manipulated into accepting the Left’s proposed policy solutions.

¹⁰⁷ Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People’s War*, 7.

The use of surrogate forces to perform acts of violence is a classic political warfare tactic that shields the non-violent actors from scrutiny.

2. As **intimidation**. In tandem with supported narratives, the threat of violence is intended to frighten populations from forming an opposition. Internally, violence is used to tamp down dissent within the Left's united front.
3. As **threats of violence followed by the application of violence** are used to reinforce narratives structured to establish behavioral norms and associated speech codes. Violence used in such lines of operation is the punishment in a **punishment/reward strategy**. For example, attacking students for wearing clothing that violates "hate speech" codes like wearing the American flag.

Examples of **narratives that create a permissive use of violence** are the depiction of police as oppressive, immigration agents as Nazis, college students as "white privileged", and mainstream citizens as morally deformed deplorables. For a period of time leading up to the 2016 elections, whenever Black Lives Matter scheduled protests, a police officer somewhere was gunned down. There has yet to form a substantive recognition of the role these tactics play, not to mention any [real] response.

Another example, in August 2013, ALEC hosted its 40th annual meeting at the Palmer House Hilton in Downtown Chicago. Jane Carter, a lobbyist and labor activist for the [American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees](#) (AFSCME),¹⁰⁸ claimed more than 4,000 protestors took to the streets to target ALEC. While the civil rights activists and children remained nonviolent in their protest and dissipated, Occupy Wall Street attacked the police. The **cumulative effect of these violent acts and threats is a public perception manipulated into accepting the Left's proposed policy solutions**. As important, such open and yet deeply partisan activity of a government labor union goes a long way to validate concerns regarding counter-state activities within the "deep state," along with the rising concern, reasonable or not, that law enforcement has become permissive of Left-inspired violence.

The Left conducts its own violent operations through groups like ANTIFA, OWS, and BLM, but is also capable of using surrogate forces¹⁰⁹ to provide the violent leverage they seek by integrating their violent acts into its narratives. Of great concern, an FBI internal correspondence recently suggested that Antifa is developing a close working relationship with elements of al Qaeda and the Islamic State.¹¹⁰

¹⁰⁸ "[American Federation of State County](#) [AFSCME]", American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees [AFSCME] homepage. See Appendix C – Organizations.

¹⁰⁹ [FM 3-05.130](#): *Army Special Operation Forces Unconventional Warfare*, September 2008, G-12 defines as surrogate "one who takes the place of or acts on behalf of another. [FM 3-05.130], G-12.

¹¹⁰ Edward Klein, "Appendix C – An FBI Field Report on the Radical 'Resistance'", [All Out](#).

Non-violent Leftist groups like the Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) work with non-violent Islamic Movement groups like the Muslim Brotherhood. Their violent splinters are likewise interactive. These splinters provide the Left and the Islamic Movement with a dynamic capability that allows them to coordinate interactively across the entire non-violent/violent spectrum. This can already be demonstrated by the fully integrated, fully interactive hate speech narratives.¹¹¹

The use of surrogate forces to perform acts of violence is a classic political warfare tactic that shields the non-violent actors from scrutiny while positioning them in the ongoing dialectical process.

The International and Sanctuary LOE

Although not as prominent an element during Mao's struggle as it became to his pupils, international pressure upon the state, or in favor of the insurgents, was recognized as an important element in the equation.¹¹²

The traditional definition of sanctuary in political warfare analysis focuses on territory and geography. This analysis, however, will also discuss the **Left's ideological sanctuaries across multiple domains including international political bodies, cyber domains, various organizations and institutions, and various strata of government.**

The Left is able to find ideological and political sanctuary in most international forums. Governing and economic bodies like the United Nations, Shanghai Cooperative, Organization of Security Cooperation Europe (OSCE), the European Union (EU), and, owing to alliances, the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) all favor the Left insofar as they support the International order and "otherist" related identity movements. **The language of international forums is dominated by the otherism narratives of the Left.**

The emergence of the **cyber domain has created a new form of sanctuary** that has fueled a tremendous rise in ideological recruitment and related activities. This new domain and its associated social media platforms, networking applications, and communications capabilities have demonstrated the ability to **unite various disparate actors from around the world** in a secure global communications network. It also **facilitates the formation of international networks of**

The Left enjoys a dominant position among companies that provide the information infrastructure of the internet.

War: The Plot to Destroy Trump, Regnery Publishing 2017.

¹¹¹ David K. Shipler, *Freedom of Speech – Mightier than the Sword*, Random House, 2015.

¹¹² Thomas A. Marks, *Maoist People's War*, 8.

Much of the conduct attributed to “deep state” activity could easily be expressed in terms of counter-state action known to be a part of the Left’s agenda going back to Marcuse.

cadres that support the resourcing, command, control and communications of operations on a global scale. This is a capability that 19th century anarchists like Bakunin and Nechayev could only dream of:

“Imagine... a secret organization which has scattered its members in small groups over the whole territory of the Empire but is nevertheless firmly united: inspired by a common ideal . . . an organization which acts everywhere according to a common plan. These small groups, unknown by anybody as such, have no officially recognized power but they are strong in their ideal, which expresses the very essence of the people’s instincts, desires and demands . . .”¹¹³

The darkweb, Cyber encryption, and digital social networks allow previously disconnected and disparate groups to collaborate in the mobilization, resourcing, funding and execution of non-violent and violent lines of effort.

The Left seemingly enjoys a dominant position among companies that provide the information infrastructure of the internet. Under the rubric of “self-regulation”, they leverage their dominance to enforce international speech codes “advised” by international forums that result in the suppression of competing political views as well as the protected speech of individuals. **The heavy-handed demand is clear: accept the prior restraint our speech codes demand or lose access to the grid.** There is an increasingly obvious emphasis on suppressing conservative and populist voices. While a topic that will be developed as a separate analysis, the “self-regulation” model used by social media finds its origins in international forums. The effect on American citizens is that they are forced to forfeit their Constitutional rights in favor of internationally arbitrated speech codes.

There are indicators that Marcuse’s “long march” **strategy of securing university campuses as a sanctuary for the Left has succeeded**—and wildly so. Today, parents of college students routinely express shocked concern over their freshman children returning for Christmas break fully indoctrinated and alienated from the values they were raised with. Mainstream thought is being purged from the campuses through coercive political indoctrination campaigns in the form of “otherist” narratives. It is a pure “*aufheben der Kultur*” culling directed at unprotected young adults.

¹¹³ Mikhail Bakunin, “[Excerpt of Letter to Nechayev dated 2 June 1870.](#)”

The National Association of Scholars reports that 40% of the top liberal arts schools in the country have **no** conservative professors.¹¹⁴ At SUNY Albany last year, the speakers list was 32:1 in favor of the Left.¹¹⁵

There are other sanctuaries that conform to Maoist concepts that likewise constitute a natural progression from Marcuse's strategy to radiate a mass line cadre out from university campuses that need to be assessed. They include:

- **THE NATIONAL MEDIA.** As measured by editorial preferences alone, it can be argued that a pro-Left bias exists not only in the reporting, but also in their personnel decisions, research interests, preferred think tanks, and advertising selection.

From a political warfare perspective, **the objective is to co-opt the media for narrative deployment and cultural shaping** that includes the suppression of stories that undermine preferred narratives the Left propagates.

- **THE "DEEP STATE"** has become a popular way to express concern over how the unelected elements of our government conduct themselves when engaging in activities that run contrary to America's governing principles. Much of the conduct attributed to "deep state" activity could easily be expressed in terms of counter-state action known to be a part of the Left's agenda going back to Marcuse. This needs to be assessed in a dispassionate, professional manner along political warfare lines.

For example, state and local governments have taken to flouting U.S. laws pertaining to immigration while declaring themselves "sanctuary cities" and "safe zones" from Federal authority and the rule of law. Such claims are a purposeful direct challenge to the Constitution's "Supremacy Clause".¹¹⁶ If a deep state counter-state model is validated, the "deep state", as a political warfare sanctuary, would likewise reflect the progression from Marcuse's plan to radiate influence out from academic institutions. The mass line would then progress, for example, into the national security and foreign policy apparatus. It would then

The Left creates a counter-state or mass line that includes a parallel legal system that is international in nature, ideological in its application, and superior to the Constitution.

¹¹⁴ Mitchell Langbert, "[Homogenous: The Political Affiliation of Elite Liberal Arts College Faculty](#)", *National Association of Scholars (NAS)*, April 24, 2018.

¹¹⁵ Post Editorial Board, "[When Colleges Say 'Inclusive,' What They Really Mean is No Conservatives](#)", *New York Post*, July 22, 2018.

¹¹⁶ [Article IV](#), Paragraph 2, The Constitution of the United States of America; "This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding."

The Left's most effective non-violent capability is information warfare and propaganda that executes across various media platforms including television, radio, social media, Hollywood and the music industry.

Non-violent tactics comprise the Left's main effort in America.

seek to exclude individuals who harbor competing views beholden to Article IV principles.¹¹⁷

Such an assessment becomes all the more pressing when credible news stories of federal employees using their positions to obstruct presidential programs. At the same time, disturbing indicators emerge that senior members of the FBI and the Intelligence Agencies played a direct role in interfering with a presidential election and then with a sitting president. If a deep state / counter-state nexus is established, it would constitute a clear and present danger.

The Non-Violent LOE

NON-VIOLENCE: The purpose of this line of effort is to grow the movement, increase allies through information operations and agitprop, etc., that synchronize with other direct and indirect action.

Through the political line of operation, the Left creates a counter-state or mass line that includes a parallel legal system that is international in nature, ideological in its application, and superior to the Constitution.

For example, the recent emergence of "hate speech" laws inhibit critical discussion of the Left (or Islam). Nevertheless, they remain silent on menacing rhetoric directed against anyone who would defend American principles, advocate Jewish or Christian beliefs, or vote for someone like President Trump. Furthermore, the threat of violence is in fact enforced by violence.

Once the hate speech categories are fully developed and disseminated through the narratives that implement them, the next move will be to **criminalize** those engaged in it as is already occurring in the EU.

From the UN General Secretary launching the hate speech campaign in 2019, to "peaceful protest" signaling assent to mass line violence in Minneapolis, to "respect" communicating permissive street violence against those who fail to obey when not publicly wearing a mask, or even to the predictive programming of dystopian epidemics on *Netflix*, the non-violent LOE has charted the course of the Left including determining the timing and conditions for the escalation of the violent LOE. If you're looking to the street for answers, you've set your sites too low.

¹¹⁷ [Article IV](#), Paragraph 3, The Constitution of the United States of America; "The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the members of the several state legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several states, shall be bound by oath or affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States."

The non-violent line of effort is the most important supporting line of effort.

Non-violent tactics comprise the Left's main effort in America. It also creates the conditions for escalating violence in the event that non-violent activities fail to achieve the objective on their own. As John Alexander observed:

"If it is the politically acceptable outcome of conflict that determines whether or not victory is achieved, then the use of violence to accomplish that objective becomes optional. Further, modern technology has both increased the capacity for inflicting physical violence while diminishing the need to use it."¹¹⁸

As Alexander suggests, when the non-violent line of effort is optimally engaged, the violent line of effort can recede to a splinter activity in support of the non-violent. This is because, when executing at full operational tempo, all the elements of the non-violent LOE synchronously come into play through narratives. They create a scientized sense of certitude and inevitability that mitigates the need for violence.

A fully operative non-violent LOE is an indicator that Pieper's pseudoreality and Voegelin's second reality are fully engaged in the target *Kultur*. **The Left calibrates its non-violent means to whatever is required to enact its agenda. The Left always seeks to escalate when the circumstances permit.** If the Left suffers a political setback, it moves to the courts; if it loses in the courts, it falls back to the corporations etc.

Intimidation resulting from the veiled threat of violence streamlines the operational sequencing from cultural, to corporate, to legal, and then political as the **threat of violence silences opposition within corporate and cultural domains.** As Michael Walsh makes clear:

"The Left seizes upon every rollback to demand a newer, fresher accommodation, all in the name of reason and compassion and tolerance and diversity and whatever the new buzzword of the day is. They never stop, they never sleep, they never quit. Constantly on the attack (as they must be since they have nothing to defend), they constantly probe for weakness"¹¹⁹

¹¹⁸ John B. Alexander, *The Changing Nature of Warfare, the Factors Mediating Future Conflict, and Implications for Special Operations Forces*, Joint Special Operations University Report, 06-1, 2006, 21.

¹¹⁹ Michael Walsh, *The Devil's Pleasure Palace: The Cult of Critical Theory and the Subversion of the West* Encounter Books, New York, NY, 2015, Kindle Edition, 3288.

Establishment Republicans have become the designated defeat mechanism of the Left.



Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump,
on Ryan, July 13, 2019



Gabe Hoffman @GabeHoff - Jun 18

Chick-Fil-A CEO:

White People Should Shine Blacks' Shoes to Show 'Sense of Shame,'
'Embarrassment' for Racism



3.9K

2.6K

1.1K



Outside of its own efforts, the Left has nothing to defend because it has always only been about the “*aufheben der Kultur*”. **The Left’s most effective non-violent capability is information warfare and propaganda that executes across various media platforms including television, radio, social media, Hollywood and the music industry.** Through this capability, the Left shapes societal level thought and norms. This capability silences mainstream voices, political opposition, and Americana, including the non-interfaith religious. The Left projects national sovereignty as oppressive and religion as backward in its continuing effort to demoralize the American people.

It is in this context that **establishment Republicans become the designated defeat mechanism¹²⁰ of the Left.** Having been elected to reverse the Left’s efforts, with **no intention of actually doing so**, they are the agents responsible for **demoralizing the base** that elected them. As does the Left, they too view their base as unwashed, uneducated, and unworthy.

¹²⁰ Joint Publication 5-00.1, *Joint Doctrine for Campaign Planning*, January 25, 2002. “Defeat Mechanism: The method of defeating the opponent.”

Defeat Mechanism 3. The strategic inaction of Republican leadership remains a critical factor in the Left's proper calibration and sustainment of the ongoing Maoist mass line destruction of America. It is among THE calculations on which the decision to initiate and sustain political warfare attacks hinge. It is among the greatest "dogs that don't bark" aspects of ongoing mass line activities. It is Kerensky-esque.

Beyond that, there are supporting "dogs that don't [effectively] bark," most notably, the failure of the base to effectively call out its leadership for ratifying, through inaction, America's statist—and now violent—drift to the Left. The base has been conditioned to respond under-inclusively when its values are programmatically compromised in "move to the middle" formulas which always reflect the abandonment of the base when moving to the Left.

Through acceptance of conditioned under-inclusive responses, the base has been straightjacketed into narrative responses scaled to sustain the Marxist pseudo-reality by accepting the sense of passive impotence set for them. This is why "RHINO" narratives sustain mass line narratives. As such, RHINO narratives sustain the BLUE PILL construct.

- In the 1920's Felix Dzerzhinsky created the "*The Trust*" to control both sides of the revolution by penetrating or creating a fake resistance. It was wildly successful. If there are indicators of Marxist mass line activities [revolutionary activities], and there are, analysis of the current situation should include counterintelligence assessments that account for the reality of the current situation.

It is the calculated inaction of the controlled opposition that makes the Left's victory seem inevitable even as the population successfully elects a government to reverse it. There is nothing theoretical about the defeat a controlled opposition can deliver. The result is a **population that is forced to accept the two alternatives the Left has scripted**: either to fast-track the Left's agenda by voting Democratic or to maintain the current slower drift to the Left by voting for the controlled opposition. This is similar to the process Gregory Bateson described in his OSS memo in 1945:

"...weapons are powerless against the 'peaceful' methods of war. Guerilla tactics, white and black propaganda, subversion, social and economic manipulation, diplomatic pressure, etc.—all of these are immune to . . . attack."¹²¹

Structured influence campaigns leverage backdrop narratives formed through cultural memes. The left then deploys meta and supporting narratives in order to drive policy and public opinion in alignment with their overall objectives. This

¹²¹ Gregory Bateson, Declassified Memo to Director of the Office of Strategic Services, Accessed at US National Archives in Oct 2009, [India-Burma: HQ OSS, Aug 18, 1945].

Talking an issue to death is a form of negation.

form of “**perception management**”¹²² is premised on the **Left’s dominance of the information battle-space** through the scientizing of ideology to obscure reality (Voegelin’s first reality).

For example, the very act of converting news events to the 24-hour news cycle distorts the facts of the news item by the very process of monetizing it along prescribed editorial lines. **The life cycle of the event is determined by the revenue stream it generates.** This renders all news activities malleable and therefore exploitable.

Fair and balanced still demand equal time for the Left, thus establishing the micro-dialectic. When fair and balanced enforces a conservative editorial line, it serves as the thesis (or antithesis if one prefers) in the larger macro-dialectic. The 24-hour news cycle is inherently progressive because it is inherently dialectical.

Talking an issue to death is a form of negation. It serves in direct support of the *aufheben* engines that drown audiences in Pieper’s “countless superficial information bits [that are] noisily and breathlessly presented in propaganda fashion” to viewers who become “entirely knowledgeable about a thousand details [yet] nevertheless, because of ignorance regarding the core of the matter, remain without basic insight.”¹²³

Cast in a negation role, **the 24-hour news cycle generates “a fundamental ignorance, created by technology and nourished by information” where “authentic reality is taken over by fictitious reality.”**¹²⁴ Believing that they know more, the audience actually knows less while imperceptibly traveling along the road to Pieper’s constructed pseudoreality or the Matrix’s “blue pill reality”. Of course, Pieper’s “reality is taken over by fictitious reality” is the same as

¹²² Douglas Dearth, “Shaping the Information Space,” *Journal of Information Warfare* 2002 Vol 1, Issue 3, 1; Perception Management defined as: “Actions to convey and/or deny selected information and indicators to audiences to influence their emotions, motives, and objective reasoning; and to intelligence systems and leaders at all levels to influence official estimates, ultimately resulting in behaviors and official actions beneficial to the originator’s objectives.”

¹²³ Pieper, *Abuse of Language – Abuse of Power*, 33 - 34.

¹²⁴ Josef Pieper, *Abuse of Language – Abuse of Power*, Ignatius Press, 1992 (trans. Lothar Krauth, Kosel-Verlag, Munich 1974), 33, 34; Pieper’s comment in full context, “It is entirely possible that the true and authentic reality is being drowned out by the countless superficial information bits noisily and breathlessly presented in propaganda fashion. Consequently, one may be entirely knowledgeable about a thousand details and nevertheless, because of ignorance regarding the core of the matter, remain without basic insight. This is a phenomenon in itself already quite astonishing and disturbing. Arnold Gehlen labeled it ‘a fundamental ignorance, created by technology and nourished by information.’ But, I wanted to say, something far more discouraging is readily conceivable as well: the place of authentic reality is taken over by fictitious reality; my perception is indeed still directed toward an object, but now it is pseudoreality, deceptively appearing as being real, so much so that it becomes almost impossible any more to discern the truth.”

Voegelin's Imaginator replacing the first reality with the second.

That the editorial direction of the 24-hour news cycle is controlled by the ability to monetize its content can be demonstrated by the **Left's ability to force a shift in direction**. For example, beginning in 2016, Color of Change, MediaMatters, and Sleeping Giants initiated a pressure campaign against Fox News' advertisers culminating in the dismissal of Bill O'Reilly. On April 20, 2017, Rashaad Robinson, Executive Director of Color of Change, appeared on the NPR radio program "1A" to explain—

"We spent a lot of time engaging our members. We have over 1.2 million members, black folks and their allies of every race around the country. But in particular we had over 345,000 of our members either signed the petition, made phone calls or showed up at rallies, but in particular we spent time behind the scenes, channeling for corporations what the long-term public campaign would look like. Because a lot of corporations were thinking, and advertisers were thinking that maybe they could wait this out. Maybe they could leave for a couple of weeks and then come back. We experienced this during the **Glen Beck which was a two-year campaign that forced over 300 advertisers to divest**. Advertisers would leave for a month or two and then think that no one was watching them, and then they would come back and then the organizers at Color of Change would have to call them and say, 'Hey we see your ads are back on the air.' So we made it clear that Color of Change and UltraViolet and MediaMatters and some of the other organizations made it very clear that we would be watching; that we would be paying attention; that we would be pushing day in and day out; and, **that we would not go away**. That was very much part of the calculus...We took out geotargeted ads that were, um, targeted toward Fox employees urging them to speak out. We took out ads on Monster.com and other job placement sites with a 1-800 call line to urge people to call and explain their issues with sexual harassment in the workplace and any issues they had with Fox."¹²⁵

"A lot of corporations were thinking, and advertisers were thinking that maybe they could wait this out . . . we made it clear that we would be watching; that we would be paying attention; that we would be pushing day in and day out; and that we would not go away. That was very much part of the calculus."

—RASHAAD ROBINSON, EXECUTIVE
DIRECTOR OF COLOR OF CHANGE

Other non-violent lines of effort include—

- The systematic control of education policy and cadre.
- **LAWFARE**. Lawfare is "the use of the law as a weapon of war or the pursuit of strategic aims through legal maneuvers."¹²⁶ It includes the

¹²⁵ "Fox News Factors a Future without Bill O'Reilly", 1A, NPR, April 20, 2017.

¹²⁶ Brooke Goldstein and Aaron Meyer as quoted by Steve Emerson, "[Combating Lawfare](#)," IPT News, March 15, 2010.

deployment of lawsuits, regulations, compliance systems, and other forms of legal abuses in order to drive policy prescriptions, threaten the opposition in furtherance of enforcing counter-state compliance.

Negation of Constitutional Order and Legitimacy of the Election:

Open Communications. The open communications of leading Democrats in 2020 undermine the constitutional order they took oaths to defend. How else can Democratic leaders expect their base to construe their statements as other than unrhetorical calls to revolutionary action. Because these narratives signal violence that is planned to run through the election (and to delegitimize a Trump victory in the event he wins), those Democrats play a role in the Operational Preparation of that Environment (OPE):

- **6/25/2020: Rep. Maxine Waters** “Let’s make sure we show up, wherever we have to show up. And if you see anybody from that cabinet in a restaurant, in a department store, at a gasoline station, you get out and you create a crowd, and you push back on them, and you tell them they are not welcome; any more, anywhere.” [CNN](#).
- **8/24/2020: Secretary Hillary Clinton** seeks to undermine the peaceful, Constitutional transition of power via elections: “We’ve got to have a massive legal operation; I know the Biden campaign is working on that... Joe Biden should not concede **under any circumstances**” [Twitter](#).
- **8/24/2020: Speaker Nancy Pelosi** called the President of the United States and Republicans in Congress “domestic enemies ... enemies of the state.” [Twitter](#).
- **8/25/2020: Sen. Chris Murphy** made a dire prediction in a *New York Times* interview. “**I have a real belief that democracy is unnatural,**” Murphy said. “We don’t run anything important in our lives by democratic vote other than our government. Democracy is so unnatural that it’s illogical to think it would be permanent. **It will fall apart at some point**, and maybe that point isn’t now, **but maybe it is [NOW].**” [Fox News](#).
- **8/28/2020: Sen. Kamala Harris** responding to Steve Colbert’s remark “I know that there are protests still happening in major cities across the United States, I’m just not seeing the reporting on it.” Harris: “**That’s right. They’re not gonna stop. They’re not gonna stop. And that’s, they’re, this is a Movement, I’m telling you They’re not gonna stop. And, and Everyone Beware. Because they’re not gonna stop. It is, They’re not gonna stop before Election Day in November. And they’re not gonna stop after Election Day. And that should be, Everyone should take note of that on both levels, that this is, They’re not gonna let up. And they should not. And We Should Not.**” [Twitter](#).
- **8/31/2020: VP Joe Biden** “Does anyone believe there will be less violence in America if Donald Trump is reelected?” “[Trump] doesn’t want to shed light, he wants to generate heat, and he’s stoking violence in our cities. He can’t stop the violence because for years he’s fomented it.” [The Federalist](#).

- **9/1/2020: D.C. Mayor Muriel Bowser** fears U.S. 'descending into a race war' – Blames Trump: *"What I'm worried about is this country descending into a race war, ... And I'm worried about the continued incitement of violence from leadership who should be focused on bringing our communities together."* [Washington Times](#).
- **9/1/2020: CNN analyst April Ryan** floated the idea of the International Criminal Court (ICC) prosecuting President Donald Trump for "instigating a race war." *"This president, Donald John Trump, has instigated a race war in America," she said. "There is a race war right now because of this president. People are calling me, asking if there indeed is a way to get the International Crimes Court [sic] to come in to deal with this. You know, I've talked to some people who are in intelligence, and they're saying, 'He's done heinous things,' but they have to see, we don't know for sure."* [Free Beacon](#).

The Left's [MEANS]:

This section includes a sample organizational overview of the left's network. As such, it is a review of the cultural and institutional means used in the tasks related to the overall political warfare model.

In political warfare parlance, 'means' are 'assets'. The 'means' [assets] over which the Left seeks the greatest control are those related to institutional, corporate, and media power. This enables the Left to secure a mass line from which it can then power down into the political arena. This is how the Left imposes its will when taking down host-governments, all the while keeping the controlled opposition in tow.

An illustrative example of organizations in the chart below indicates a sustained, large-scale effort arrayed in furtherance of its objectives. The following list uses a **MIDFIELD** articulation of means approach.

"Journalists reflexively subscribe to cultural Marxist notions of class; they have internalized them so thoroughly that they no longer even think about them."—ERIC HOFFER, 1951

Military/Paramilitary/Terrorist

- Individual Actors
- Surrogate Force Individuals and Groups (IS, AQ, etc.)
- ANTIFA, BLM, etc.
- Digital and Cyber Communications
- Weapons
- Unsecured Borders

- Training Programs for ANTIFA operatives, violent and semi-violent activists
- Surrogate forces including street gangs, MS-13, ISIS, and AQ

Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and others signal resistance to presidential directives through adoption of “peaceful protest” narrative.

Information

“Journalist[s] also reflexively subscribe to cultural Marxist notions of class; they have internalized them so thoroughly that they no longer even think about them . . . that the residue of evil should also be evil is beyond their comprehension, since the only evil they will admit to is that of their ideological opponents.”¹²⁷ –Eric Hoffer, *The True Believer*, 1951

- Education—coopt universities and school books—a primary line of effort to their campaign
- Communications—use of their own lexicon/terms of art

Mass line enforcement narratives use phrases like “rioting is the voice of the oppressed” and “peaceful protest” to signal acceptance if not outright support for mass line violence against citizens and their property while also signaling that resistance to or requests for emergency assistance will be dealt with harshly or simply ignored.

- Hollywood and Entertainers and emergent sport entertainment industry (ESPN)

Sports media and the professional sports leagues are aggressively using their forums to advance BLM’s Critical Race Theory agenda despite plummeting ratings.

- Purpose built information and influence organizations (*MediaMatters*)
- Online Recruiting, Conferences, Propaganda
- Census Operations, Polling, and Surveys

¹²⁷ Eric Hoffer, *The True Believer: Thoughts on the Nature of Mass Movements*, Harper Collins, New York 1951, 195.

- Co-opted US Government officials who support Leftist agenda
- Interfaith Programs

Diplomatic

- International bodies including the UN, the EU, the OSCE, the OAS, the OIC et al.

American canons of free speech have been de facto eclipsed by international speech regimes hostile to free speech in the everyday lives of most Americans.

- In June 2019, the UN General Secretary launches [“United Nations Strategy and Plan of Action on Hate Speech.”](#) Hate speech is a Neo-Marxist narrative that supports Critical Race Theory and its current escalated application, intersectionality.
- In early 2020, social media committed to adopting The World Health Organization’s (WHO) messaging protocols and narratives on COVID19 to the exclusion of all other voices, including non-conforming professionals challenging the WHO with repeatable scientific claims.

Both the General Secretary of the UN and the Director-General of the **WHO** are Marxists whose messaging platforms reflect mass line enforcement tendencies.

- Andy Stern – SEIU and Obama - Soros memo on Madison Welfare office

In 2020 alone, Soros openly spoke of using the election cycle and COVID19 to initiate “revolutionary” activities directed at undermining the United States.

- “If all this had happened closer to the elections, it would have assured his reelection. His [Trump’s] problem is that the elections are still 10 months away, and in a revolutionary situation, that is a lifetime.”—**George Soros**, [Davos Speech](#), January 24, 2020
- “Even before the pandemic hit, I realized we were in a revolutionary moment where what would be possible or even inconceivable in normal times had become not only possible, but probably absolutely necessary.”—**George Soros**, [Life Site News](#), May 15, 2020

- David Brock - *MediaMatters*, Democracy Alliance, American bridge

Crossfire Hurricane

- OFA – Obama – Hollywood – Jake Sullivan, John Podesta, Ben Rhodes, networks, 990's,

Financial

- Individual and institutional donors

Corporations shaken down to contribute to BLM

Evidence of massive illegal inflow of money through foreign sources, for example [ACTBlue](#)

Intelligence

- Right Wing Watch
- Media Organizations
- Civil Rights Councils and Local Governing Boards
- Dual Use Organizations (transportation, immigration advisors)
- Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC)
- USG institutions inappropriate use of personal information.
- Systematic purge of non-conforming individuals and political opposition
- Possible penetration of USG Intelligence and Law Enforcement (LE) apparatus

FBI “takes a knee,” indoctrinates the bureau with Marxist Critical Race Theory, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and others signal resistance to presidential directives through adoption of “peaceful protest” narrative.

-- **Intersectionality is Critical Theory Applied**
-- **OBTW, while we're here -**

Ongoing Marxist Indoctrination at the FBI

CRITICAL RACE THEORY
is Marxism

Kimberlé Crenshaw

Christopher F. Rufo
@realchrisrufo
SCOOP: The @FBI is now holding weekly "intersectionality" workshops.
Let me say it plainly: critical race theory is a toxic, pseudoscientific, and racist ideology that is taking over our public institutions—and will be weaponized against the American people.

Intersectionality: Where Identities Overlap
By the Office of Diversity and Inclusion

We're all more than you can see.

Human beings are complex – each of us has multiple identities based on our gender, race, ethnicity, socioeconomic class, religion, sexual orientation, ability/disability, veteran status, country of origin, and countless other factors. Our identities are as varied as our humanity.

We can't just look at those identities as separate from one another. We are not just our race or gender or job title, we are a combination of all of those things – and that's where intersectionality comes in.

Intersectionality is the idea that our various identities – and how they overlap – gives us our individual perspective on the world and affects how others perceive us and treat us. Understanding intersectionality is crucial to understanding one another. Intersectionality allows us to embrace and value all aspects of a person in order to ensure they feel supported and welcomed for their unique contributions.

The term "intersectionality" was coined in 1989 by legal scholar and civil rights activist Kimberlé Crenshaw, who originally identified it as a way to understand the unique way identities combine and multiply to result in unique forms of discrimination, particularly for Black women. More recently, the definition has expanded to include any number of

Economic

- IRS targeting
- Boycotts and Supporting Media Campaigns
- Occupy Wall Street [OWS]
- Deep pocket billionaires on the Left leveraging U.S. corporate and financial institutions
- Social media (blocking e-commerce)

Legal

- SPLC
- Hate speech laws
- Lawfare, Legal coercion targeting opponents
- Parallel counter-state legal constructs implemented through narratives
- Manipulation of


- Immigration Law
- Employment Law
- Equal Opportunity Law
- All group based and related “otherist” rights designations
- ACLU

Development

- Community Development Organizations
- Questionable Voter Registration Efforts
- Social Welfare Programs
- Foreign Funding of Community Development

In addition to organizations and resources like those cited above, there are also functional capabilities groups that serve as means as well.

The Left moves dialectically, through time, on a trajectory



The phrase “the Left moves dialectically, through time, on a trajectory” can be visualized by analogy to the Solar System. As with the dialectic, there is a tendency to visualize the Solar System as **(A)** a static two-dimensional representation lending itself to flat (horizontal) analyses that become further convoluted by the tendency to mirror image non-dialectical expectancies as if the Left’s strategies and tactics mirror-imaged the non-dialectical; **(B)** as if in a match between two opposing players in a checkers match. The Solar System actually operates **(C)** helically through space in three dimensions such that its backward trajectory defines its forward motion just as the thesis antithesis binaries drive the dialectical engines forward. As such, analysis of the Left based on flat symmetrical assessments tend to be little more than illusory projections that reflect what are actually dynamic vertical helical attacks. While the heliocentric helix is science, **(D)** the Solar System as a “vortex” is pseudoscience. As a pseudoreality generating engine, however, the dialectic has the characteristics of a vortex.

Graphic attribution: (A) Flat Two-Dimensional Model of the Solar System, [SimpleDesktop](#); (C) Helical Model of Heliocentric Solar System, [rhysy.net](#); DjSadhu, [The Helical Model – Our Solar System is a Vortex](#), or, as posted by the [Ancient Astronaut Archive](#) [see also [AAA](#)].

The Left's Use of Narratives

The Left uses dialectically determined political warfare concepts to drive a **core set of narratives** that inter-operate at the tactical level while integrating at the strategic.

Narratives are associated with the pseudorealities [or second realities] they seek to establish and enforce. They are called narratives because they are stories–fictions–that seek to supplant the real with the unreal.

First principle truths do not need narratives to defend their status. But they are undermined by the decision to respond to second reality narratives in the second reality.


Narratives are directional, they have velocity, and there is always a target. They are teleological. Narratives should not be understood simply as flat disassociated two-dimensional attacks that operate horizontally on a static plane. Rather, they should be understood as interactive double helix attacks–dialectical attacks. Narratives orient on a target where the dialectic moves forward as the two helictical poles [thesis and antithesis] engage designated targets either for destruction or for positioning for later destruction.

Narratives are stories–fictions–that seek to supplant the real with the unreal.

It is through narratives that the Left controls the information environment while driving outcomes regarding specific issues and events.

Inter-Operative Narratives

Serve overall political warfare objectives through:



The cornerstone effort of the Left is to delegitimize President Trump and disenfranchise the base that elected him.

While narratives are only a means to an end, **they are central to understanding the mechanics of political warfare campaigns.** The Left controls the information environment while driving outcomes regarding specific issues and events. **While the controlled opposition settles on words that [seem to] work for them in the pseudoreality, the Left enjoys uncontested supremacy in the information battle-space.**

Properly understood, **analysis of the Left is analysis of the messaging strategies it uses to negate America in pursuit of a post-American effort to control the hell it creates;** just like Marx and Alinsky suggested; just like Venezuela. It is the process Voegelin's "imaginator" uses to affect the "*libido dominandi*" of megalomaniacs.¹²⁸

The **Left's core message is one of negation** framed around various otherisms structured to delegitimize the very idea of America. Hence, America is oppressive, fundamentally flawed, and must be changed in order to be redeemed. But from what, to what, for what? Narratives are integrated throughout the Left's political warfare scheme and operate across all five political warfare lines of effort as depicted above.

The following discussion of narratives illustrates how archetype narrative forms should be analyzed using political warfare metrics.

At present, **the cornerstone effort of the Left is to delegitimize President Trump and disenfranchise the base that elected him.**

The **Left is proficient at audience segmentation and analysis.** It crafts messages for various target audiences, including the Left, mainstream, conservatives, the non-affiliated, and the apolitical. "Narrative development" requires an awareness of the backdrop schema and consistently seeks to reinforce overall themes.

The Left **leverages the latest in strategic influence capabilities from the marketing and media world.** Transmedia campaigns include the sophisticated integration of media. It ranges from editorial control of the news cycle, to embedding targeted themes into entertainment platforms [movies, TV programming, etc.], to social media, to bumper stickers and yard signs. The transmedia approach involves a three-tiered narrative design composed of backdrop, supporting, and meta narratives.

The **backdrop narrative** is the first layer and serves as the canvas on which

¹²⁸ Voegelin, "*On Hegel – A Study in Sorcery*," 420; "The purpose of securing a meaning of existence, with certainty, in a masterly role betrays the motives of the construction in the imaginator's existential insecurity, anxiety, and *libido dominandi*. This is megalomania on a grand scale. Still, Messiahs of the early nineteenth century have left so deep an imprint on the so-called Modern Age that **we have become accustomed to their madness; our sensitivity for the element of the grotesque in their enterprise has become dulled.**"

meta and supporting narratives are painted. It is a cultural-Marxist backdrop that becomes omnipresent in other messaging efforts. Meta and supporting narratives always operate in support of the backdrop.

Supporting narratives are the second layer. They are interchangeable as events warrant and do not have to be accurate to be used. As noted, supporting narratives derive validation from the backdrop narratives they support and serve to channel audience perception to associated meta-narratives.

The final layer is composed of **meta-narratives**. They are often used at the point of attack and tend to be the most tactical. Meta narratives drive specific action/reaction cycles to desired outcomes.

The **objective of this layered approach is the control of the movement and the channeling of public perception to a societal belief over the life-cycle of the narrative.**

The archetype narratives used to attack President Trump in his first two years demonstrate the interplay of the three-tier approach.

- **The Meta Narrative.** Meta narratives seek to delegitimize President Trump, his administration, and the vision of America he projected as a candidate. With cultural Marxist memes serving as the backdrop, President Trump is to be relentlessly characterized as unfit. Hence:

- “President Trump is illegitimate”
- “President Trump is corrupt”
- “President Trump is dishonest”

- **Supporting Narratives.** Meta-narratives will be supported by an ongoing series of supporting-narratives that can be swapped out as circumstances warrant. **These stories do not have to be true, valid, or accurate to serve their purpose.** Over time, deserved or not, the cumulative effect of these supporting narratives will result in Trump fatigue. Thus, the political warfare objective is achieved if President Trump is made to appear unable to meet these narrative challenges, he will be cast as a weak failed leader. The current list of supporting narratives include:

- | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| • “Russia hacked the election” | - illegitimate |
| • “Obstruction of Justice” | - corrupt |
| • “Hiding Collusion” | - dishonest |
| • “Putin Puppet” | - treasonous |

- **Backdrop Narratives.** The backdrop to the meta and supporting narratives are cultural Marxist memes designed to sustain a general sense of loathing of President Trump and the deplorable Americans who elected him. Hence:

If you successfully deprive your opposition of the language to define you, you take away that opposition’s ability to target you.

You cannot defeat a threat you choose NOT to define.



- “[**meta**] President Trump is illegitimate, [**supporting**] he was elected because of Russian hacking, [**backdrop**] and besides, he a racist, sexist, xenophobe.”

COVID19

Backdrop Narrative: COVID19 Narratives – that are severable from COVID19 itself—leverage dubious claims on lockdown based on unsettled scientific claims that nevertheless are arbitrarily imposed on citizens.

Supporting Narratives: You killed my grandmother

Meta-Narratives: Mass Line empowered to demand “respect,” no singing in church, etc.


BLM/Antifa Street Violence

Backdrop Narrative: Critical Theory with Critical Race Theory serving as the mass line leading edge

Supporting Narratives: Intersectionality

Meta-Narratives: BLM/Antifa enforced mass line attack narratives

- Rioting is the Voice of the Oppressed
- Peaceful Protest
- Take knee / washing of feet



Metrics

Lacking any substantive appreciation for the strategic nature of the Left, the ability to mount a strategic response is forfeited. As discussed, one of the Left's earliest and most successful efforts has been to **deprive the opposition of any useful language to define or otherwise articulate it**. It is just this simple: if you successfully deprive your opposition of the language to define you, you take away that opposition's ability to target you. Over time, victory is assured. **You cannot defeat a threat you choose not to define.**

This can be compounded when the deprived target is **unaware of the state of its own deprivation**. This is the state of mind that lead Pieper to warn that once a fictitious reality is successfully fabricated, the public becomes "reduced to a state where people are not only unable to find out about the truth but also become unable even to search for the truth because they are satisfied with the deception and trickery that have determined their convictions, satisfied with a fictitious reality created by design through the abuse of language."¹²⁹

"No matter how enmeshed a commander becomes in the elaboration of his own thoughts, it is sometimes necessary to take the enemy into account."

—WINSTON CHURCHILL

¹²⁹ Pieper, *Abuse of Language – Abuse of Power*, 34.

“When a well-packaged web of lies has been sold gradually to the masses over generations, the truth will seem utterly preposterous and its speaker a raving lunatic.” —Donald James Wheal

The re-introducing defining terms like “Marxism” continues to generate resistance from those with whom this assessment is discussed. The **Left exploits this vulnerability** and gauges its overall strategic approach using tangible and intangible measures of effectiveness.

Score this as a win. Recent events have resolved this issue, for now.

Analysis leading to the development of strategic responses to the Left must account for its own understanding of its strategic design. As Winston Churchill famously observed; “No matter how enmeshed a commander becomes in the elaboration of his own thoughts, it is sometimes necessary to take the enemy into account.” **If the success criteria of a plan to defeat the Left does not account for the Left’s own defeat criteria, that plan will only focus on the mirror imaged elaborations of the planners’ and will fail.** This is especially true given the dialectical nature of the Left’s initiatives, if the first attack fails, it repositions the target for the follow-on attack which is generally lethal.

- **FOR EXAMPLE:** when a conservative civil rights organization chooses to respond to a “hate speech” attack by countering with its own hate speech accusations, **it validates the hate speech narrative** at the expense of the First Amendment principles that find “hate speech” abhorrent. The conservative rights group responded to the narrative in [Voegelin’s] second reality, in the narrative. The **Constitutional defense is compromised** and the conservative class that organization represents is **positioned for negation in the follow-on attack**. They are doomed as the constitutional principle is nihilized. Thus, and at **all times, the hate speech narratives are the exclusive weapons of the Left** born of Marcuse’s “Repressive Tolerance.” It does not recognize the rights of conservative to have a voice in its tolerant society.

The Left puts its main effort in the Political Line of Effort as part of its overall political warfare approach.

The Left assesses its political warfare success and decides upon the synchronization and phasing of its operations through a “correlation of forces” analysis across the various lines of effort and compares its capabilities to that of the opposition—if it still exists.

Metrics of Violence supported by Political Warfare:

As **UA INC Intelligence Summaries** forecast, by late August, the Left began showing concern that the violence was not polling well, with **CNN's Don Lemon** one of the first Democrat-friendly pundits to speak out:

CNN's Don Lemon said Tuesday night that Democrats "ignoring" riots in some U.S. cities represents a "blind spot" for the party, and called on Democratic presidential nominee Joe Biden to address the problem because it is "**sticking**" in polling and focus groups. [The Hill](#), August 26, 2020

On the last day of the month, Monday, August 31, 2020, **Vice President Joe Biden** openly communicates narrative discipline to the Left's base:

"U.S. former vice-president and current Democratic Party presidential nominee Joe Biden condemned on Monday the violence and destruction of property occurring amid ongoing anti-racism protests in the U.S., saying 'rioting is not protesting.' Biden made the remarks during a speech he delivered in Pittsburgh, Pa. after being accused by President Trump of not doing enough to publicly condemn the violence. *'I want to make it absolutely clear, rioting is not protesting, looting is not protesting, setting fires is not protesting, none of this is protesting,' he said. Biden called for the prosecution of those responsible for vandalism and setting property on fire, saying 'it's lawlessness, and those who do it should be prosecuted.'*" [AP](#), August 31, 2020.

Enforcing narrative discipline through open communications to the mass line, **Van Jones, a leading Leftist thought leader**, reinforces that the violence is now resonating negatively and that the Democrats need to return to their primary Mass Line enforcement narratives of COVID-19 and its effects on the Economy:

"I think that Joe Biden can actually begin to move his own movement in a better direction, lead a national moratorium on these nighttime marches," Jones said. "That would separate the responsible, productive demonstrations that have united the whole world from some of these other demonstrations that are just not as useful."

"There have been thousands that have been destroyed by mishandling this virus," he said. "We've got to get off of this conversation around unrest and back to the conversation around the literally millions of people who are suffering from the Trump economy and the mishandling of the virus." [Real Clear Politics](#), September 4, 2020.

By September 17, 2020, **House Speaker Nancy Pelosi** tells the Left's base:

"House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-CA) finally, and explicitly, condemned violent rioters after months of violent protests in Democrat-controlled cities across the nation, stating that Democrats "support" and "participate" in "peaceful demonstrations." *"We support peaceful demonstrations. We participate in them. They are part of the essence of our democracy. That does not include looting, starting fires, or rioting. They should be prosecuted. That is lawlessness,"* the speaker said on the House floor on Thursday." [Breitbart](#), September 17, 2020.

“Correlation of forces” analysis arose out of the “science” of the Marxist-Leninist *“Wissenschaft Socialismus”* or “scientific socialism.” It posits as a scientific certainty that the world is dialectically evolving toward socialism: it is the role of the Left to oversee the associated “march of history” as the vanguard of the proletariat it structures for that purpose. Science, in the service of imposing a competing cultural metaphysic, is Hegel’s Science of Reason discussed earlier. It is pure pseudoscience.

Applied to political warfare regimes, dialectical evolution seeks a favorable correlation of forces along the five lines of effort when matched against the target. It is a pre-condition to further progress in its operational planning.

Schemas arising from correlation of forces assessments distill **political conflict down to the interaction of two antagonistic camps that position an American ideal against its antithesis**. Thus it positions America for a series of dialectical turns.


Correlation of forces analysis accounts for a number of factors including;

1. the national perception of the Left’s aims as gauged by recruiting, fund raising, and mobilization for their causes;
2. local perception of the Left’s aims; and
3. the correlation of the Left’s intended outcomes with those of their allies when positioned against the opposition.

The Left puts its **main effort in the political line of effort** as part of its overall political warfare approach. To illustrate the point, a sampling of possible tangible and intangible metrics that the Left uses to measure the effectiveness of its information efforts is provided:

Tangibles - Objectively Measurable Events and Activities

- Gun purchases, weapons ownership
- Institutional Policy Conformity
- Electoral Cycles/Judicial Presence
- Legislative, Legal Victories
- Media Presence
- Reporting Skewed (especially headlines and placement)
- Funding Raised
- Number of Groups, Organizations, and People Mobilized
- Silence in the Face of Leftist Violence and Coercion
- Opposition Party Adopts Left’s Position (based on narrative compliance or donors)



- Americans Unwilling to Vocalize Support for America – de facto enforcement of Counter State Speech Codes (campus and academy worst)

■ People brutally attacked in the streets, targeted assassinations.

- Ability to Create Tactical Mass Mobilization (stampede)
- Attacks on Police and Symbols of Rule of Law
- Attacks on Historical Facts
- Control of Leadership of Government Institutions
- Government Responses Protect Left Narratives at the Expense of the Rule of Law
- Sovereignty Reductions

■ CHAD, downtown Portland

- Foreign Laws [speech codes] Applied through Corporations and Institutions

United Nations speech regimes have de facto eclipsed American protected speech canons of American citizens inside the United States.

- Illegal Immigration Numbers
- “Playback”¹³⁰ of their Narratives by Members of the Opposition Party

¹³⁰ Playback. See textbox.

Of the 20 Tangible – Objectively Measurable Events and Activities listed in January 2019, 19 have fully converted and one is open to question.

PLAYBACK

The term “playback” reaches back to World War II and is the practice of infusing narratives with unique terms and phrases, disseminating them, and then waiting to hear if the general public, the media, and institutional and governmental elites repeat them.

When one hears a target audience use your unique language, the playback becomes the measure of effectiveness. The success of the information effort can, therefore, be equated with the intensity of the playback.

For political warfare efforts heavily weighted towards securing information dominance, the key measurement of effectiveness is “playback.”

The Left seeks to influence our perceived situational awareness and decision-making through control of the language used to frame it. This is done through the measured infusion of terms, memes, and narratives into the information domain that informs the debate.

Once these hostile terms gain popular usage and possibly even official sanction, they are then disseminated to those who will repeat the narratives until they become “true,” assuring de-facto control of the cultural narratives and decision-making processes that will lead to the ability to power down into the political.

“Rioting is the voice of the oppressed.” “Peaceful Protests”

Intangibles - A Subjective Measurement - Primarily Through Polling

- Cool Factor
- Perception of Left as Fighting Oppression, Virtuous, Open, “Good”
- Demoralized Conservative Base
- Conservatives Accept Judicial Fiat in Socio-Cultural Rulings
- Acceptance of Government Corruption [but only when undertaken by the Left]
- International Perception of America
- Youth Perception of American Conservatives

Tangibles – Objectively Measurable Events and Activities – Moving Forward:

- Leftist Protestors and Rioters Seizing and Occupying Territory in Cities:
 - Seizure of terrain with the expectation to occupy it: In the insurgency escalation process the first phase is to seize, temporarily hold and surrender terrain. The next phase is to seize and occupy terrain. In Seattle’s CHOP [and later in Portland] terrain was taken for a period and then surrendered. The **Transition Integrity Project’s (TIP)** succession narratives signaling escalation meets the criteria for seizing and occupying terrain in the next phase.
 - Open Communications reiterating the **TIP’s** succession language reflects a pre-operational sensing of the environment designed to prepare and establish the operational requirements to move forward on succession activities should the decision be made to do so.
 - Binary Retreat: [Watch UA video about this](#)
 - Execution of the TIP’s succession language to seize and hold terrain.
- The Left’s delegitimization of the electoral process of a Trump victory in the event he wins.
- Escalating Violence as part of the process to delegitimize a Trump victory in the event he wins.
- Escalation of the Left’s use of Open Communication narratives that cultivate and sanction mutiny in government institutions.
- Escalation of the Left’s reliance on Deep State [Counter-State] actors to delegitimize the electoral process [and a Trump victory in the event he wins].
- Escalation of the Democratic leadership’s apparent Open Communications that remains permissive of violence regardless of the election outcome. [French Revolutionary-Style Reign of Terror]

- Escalating enforcement of COVID Mass Line Narratives regardless of the outcome of the election.
- Indicators of foreign and foreign state actor involvement in policy and financing of U.S. domestic far-Left activities, including funding the DNC.
- Foreign actors taking advantage of any post-election discord, tactically and/or strategically.
- Escalation of non-violent activities including economic, finance, information, and critical infrastructure disruptions.




Figure 1: SORO Resistance Pyramid

US Special Operations Research Office (SORO)

Current Response to the Left

This analysis stands for the proposition that there is no organized coherent response to the Left. This leaves the nation vulnerable at a time when the Left is openly escalating its rhetoric in anticipation of violence in the face of an **opposition that lacks the situational awareness** to accurately articulate those same events.

America lacks a strategic response. As Krepinevich and Watts observed, American political elites **fail “to understand what strategy is”** and have a “disinclination to view strategy as important.”¹³¹ That national level leaders have lost the capacity and interest to think strategically should itself be considered a successful outcome—for the Left.

The lack of a strategy, in fact the inability to even think strategically, facilitates the Left’s scheme of maneuver in the information domain as the population conforms to the narratives the Left sets for it. This includes the opposition, including the “conservative” opposition.

The **lack of strategic awareness renders mainstream thinkers and conservatives strategically incoherent and dangerously so.** In these circumstances, responses to the Left’s information efforts will be reactive and tactical, incapable of accounting for the strategic design they do not perceive. As Sun Tzu warned: “Tactics without strategy is the noise before defeat”.

This analysis stands for the proposition that there is no organized coherent response to the Left.

This assessment remains valid. As responses to ongoing Antifa / BLM mass line assaults indicate, the current response is to respond under-inclusively to events or not respond at all. The price for ignoring Krepinevich and Watts warning that American political elites have “a disinclination to view strategy as important” is becoming clear. As events make clear, there is not only a disinclination, but an outright aversion to accounting for the strategic dimension at a time when mass line assaults against America and American citizens are being executed at the strategic level. This unnatural institutionalized aversion, by itself, has risen to the level of a clear and present danger.

Current End State

Since:

- there is **no discernable strategic articulation** of the Left,
- there is an **aversion to even discussing the Left** in terms of the dialectically determined Marxism that it is, and

¹³¹ Andrew F. Krepinevich and Barry D. Watts, “Regaining Strategic Competence,” *Strategy for the Long Haul Series*, Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessment, 2009, 46.

- there remains a **disinclination to think strategically** among those most responsible for doing so.

There can be no strategy in the currently situation. This is a strategic vulnerability.

While efforts to oppose the Left may secure derivative operational and tactical victories, with no ability to convert them to strategic successes, those victories can only be fleeting, if not illusory, and will, most likely, play out in ways that position the targeted issue for future negation.

Conservatives would like to think that the Republican leadership safeguards the Republic. Their skepticism is warranted. With no understanding of the ends, ways, and means to arrest America's slow but certain drift to the left, even conservatives limit themselves to flailing at the tactical manifestations of strategies the Left executes at will. This is because they only see—and hence only respond to—the tactical events themselves while never considering the strategic purpose they radiate. **Without a strategic comprehension of the Left's design, they are blind to the lethal narratives, their use in the construction of mass line movements, and the subsequent powering down into the political decision-making domains.**

Establishment Republicans do not understand how mass line narratives influence the 'words that work' when formulating policy. They appear to have no appreciation for how those narratives transform them into the Left's designated "defeat mechanism".¹³² **Conservatives**, likewise, fail to recognize the role mass line narratives play in controlling their perception of events.

As noted in this update, the strategic inaction of Republicans to stand up for the base that elected them, not to mention the oaths they took to support and defend, are laid out bare for all to see. ***Cui bono?*** Whose interests if not the people who elected them?

Conclusion

The language used to communicate the Left's activity today is peculiarly archaic, incapable of recognizing its true nature, and so dangerously under inclusive to a proper understanding of its strategic design as to classify the environment it sustains as a pseudoreality. **The Left cannot be understood outside the dialectical materialist core that defines it.** The dialectic comes from Hegel, the materialism from Marx. The two cannot be disassociated from each other or from the Left.

¹³² Joint Publication 5-00.1, *Joint Doctrine for Campaign Planning*, January 25, 2002. "Defeat Mechanism: The method of defeating the opponent."

The dialectical engines of **negation that drive the Left** come from Hegel. They include its “otherism” campaigns and a form of statism that invests the state with god-like rights-conferring authority. This includes in a complete claim of right on its subjects based on concepts of scientism that support unscientific claims of metaphysical certitude. Whatever worldview is advanced allows the opposition to be characterized as unscientific, ill-informed, questionably motivated, and, most importantly, of being on the losing side of an inevitable history.

Whether classified as the euphoria the Left praises or the delusional will to power that its opponents claim, there is general recognition that the dialectic brings a mood-altering, thought-process changing transformation to its followers typically associated with **intense ideological conviction or religious zeal**.

Marx recognized that Hegel's dialectic does not advance cultures under its sway but rather **nihilizes them**. Marx envisioned a critical philosophy to **tear down Western culture** and a proletariat of middle-class nihilists to do so. From Marx to Alinsky, **a dark, destructive nihilist strain runs through the Left, as characterized by numerous homages to Satan**, et al. (You don't have to be religious to recognize these people adopted Satanic imagery.)

Today, Marx's philosophical criticism manifests as the Frankfurt School's critical theory. Herbert Marcuse's repressive tolerance is one of the more prominent instances of it in America today. Seeking to integrate fully into the Left's larger effort, Marcuse put his critical theory construct at the service of the global Marxist movement. **It adopted Mao's Long March strategy as the execution formula of choice**. The latter is the mass-line counter-state political warfare strategy.

Every aspect of the Left that threatens America today has its genesis in Marxist-inspired programs: from the critical theory of the Frankfurt School to the united front efforts of the Soviet-led Comintern that, in the 1930s, formed Antifa, to the precursor to BLM, to interfaith penetration and more. Moreover, they are the foreseeable progressions of the Left's historic mission.

The current state of conventional analysis on the Left is marooned in a pseudoreality sustained by the archaic political language already identified. As such, **strategic awareness of the Left is non-existent and current constructions are intensely reactive, localized and tactical**. They are under-inclusively defective—and dangerously so.

To **re-remember the misremembered Left**, this analysis adopts the political warfare model used by Mao to implement Marxism in his successful long march effort to control China. The Maoist insurgency model also happens to be the execution strategy American Marxists like Marcuse publicly adopted as their strategy in the early 1970s.

The dialectic brings a mood-altering, thought-process changing, transformation typically associated with intense ideological conviction or religious zeal.

"It is my life, my business, my religion, my hobby, my sweetheart, my wife and mistress, my bread and meat. I work at it in the daytime and dream of it at night. Its hold on me grows, not lessens as time goes on. Therefore I cannot carry on a friendship, a love affair, or even a conversation without relating to this force which both drives and guides my life. I evaluate people, books, ideas and actions according to how they affect the Communist cause and by their attitude toward it. I've already been in jail because of my ideas and if necessary, I'm ready to go before a firing squad."

—LETTER FROM AN AMERICAN COLLEGE STUDENT WHO HAD BEEN CONVERTED TO COMMUNISM IN MEXICO

A dark, destructive nihilist strain runs through the Left.

Every aspect of the Left that America confronts today can be immediately associated with Marxist inspired programs of the past.

Political Warfare recognizes **the role narratives play in overwhelming a rule of law society**. Mass line movements and counter-state activities utilize narratives at the cultural level. The final objective is to power down into the political space. There, fidelity to the narrative will result in non-enforcement of the law. Over time, this non-enforcement will become institutionalized.

By imposing narratives on the opposition, the Left gains influence first and control later. Thus, the abuse of language results in a controlled opposition that then leads to an abuse of power. Political warfare strategies are intensely dialectical, seeking the isolation of American values that are then negated through a relentless process of dialectical negation—*Aufheben der Kultur*.

In sum, mainstream Americans and conservatives are incapable of mounting a strategic response. **The Left has successfully positioned America to play the role it scripted for it.**

As such, this analysis recommends that a group be immediately assembled and resourced to fully develop the Left's scheme of operation that executes strategic, operational, and tactical level responses. In this context, countering the Left must include responses directed at the **dialectical engines**, the inherent **statism**, the **scientism**, and the **information dominance sustained by narratives**. All of the above should be executed through the same political warfare lines of effort as counter mass line efforts.

We face an existential threat. As President Obama made clear, the Left is within 10 to 20 years of realizing the fruits of its long march through America. As a final recommendation: **take the red pill now**. The question is not whether it will be taken, but rather at what level of pain.

“We’ve got to do a better job of getting across that America is freedom—freedom of speech, freedom of religion, freedom of enterprise. And freedom is special and rare. It’s fragile; it needs protection.”—Ronald Reagan farewell speech January 11, 1989

As events unfold over the next several months, please stay informed through our website, <https://unconstrainedanalytics.org/>, where we will offer specific operational guidance, campaign planning insights, and follow-on strategic estimates. There is no central one-size-fits-all solution for this problem. The solutions have to come from individuals and small groups acting together across the country. Central planning of highly decentralized and tailored execution is the hallmark of effective counter-revolutionary operations.



in a struggle, analysis must be unconstrained by preconceptions

For more Unconstrained Analytics reports, go to:

unconstrainedanalytics.org